

# Great Yarmouth URC Area Action Plan

Preferred Options Consultation; Consultee Responses  
September 10, 2007

Rep Reference	Company	First name	Surname	Intervention Area	Comment
GY/LPA/L/0961/4168	1st Euro Group	John	Long		<p>There is no suitable or cost effective alternative for the majority of existing businesses to be relocated to other areas.</p> <p>Regeneration should be achieved on the basis that the existing established EuroCentre businesses remain and are given room and opportunity to flourish and expand, and provision should be made for other complimentary businesses, to further enhance the existing business cluster.</p> <p>The AAP proposals have been too heavily influenced by the conclusions arising out of the Bone Wells employment study.</p> <p>The conclusions are not based on robust evidence, including the suitability of alternative locations. For instance, suggested alternatives such as Beacon are unsuitable because of the estate's restriction to B1 uses only. Many of the 1 st Euro Group's businesses require permission for B2 uses.</p>
GY/LPA/L/0961/4169	1st Euro Group	John	Long		<p>The majority of those employed within 1st Euro Group companies are directly or indirectly associated with the offshore, oil and gas and energy sector, and/or the transport and distribution sector. They are a key component of the sector's presence in the Great Yarmouth and Lowestoft area and as a group, a significant employer in the town.</p> <p>There are a number of 1st Euro Group businesses looking to invest considerable sums of money in their existing premises, to make the most of arising opportunities.</p> <p>The AAP fails to provide any evidence about who is likely to fund the relocation of businesses, and compensate businesses whilst the relocation takes place, or how the relocation would be delivered.</p> <p>Some business, that do not necessarily need a Great Yarmouth location are considering whether to relocate out of the town altogether rather than move to other unsuitable areas</p>
GY/LPA/L/0961/4170	1st Euro Group	John	Long		<p>There is no evidence that EuroCentre businesses can be physically accommodated at other locations given their business requirements and needs.</p> <p>The AAP fails to contain an accurate timeline for the delivery of the proposals/interventions.</p> <p>Runham is the best placed industrial estate in transport and access terms. South Denes, in comparison is heavily congested.</p> <p>Certain businesses currently operating at the EuroCentre location require special licenses, permissions and consents to carry out their business. Many of the licenses and consents are site specific and nontransferable.</p> <p>1st Euro Group contends that proposals for the A47/A149 link road were too quickly abandoned to be replaced by alternative infrastructure requirements.</p>

# Great Yarmouth URC Area Action Plan

Preferred Options Consultation; Consultee Responses  
September 10, 2007

GY/LPA/L/0961/4171	1st Euro Group	John	Long		<p>1st Euro Group suggests that the proposed leisure uses could be located elsewhere in Great Yarmouth, such as close to the urban areas near Breydon Water.</p> <p>Businesses that need river access and use of the slipway have no option other than to stay in the area. There is no evidence in the Sustainability Appraisal or the AAP that the option of maintaining the existing uses at EuroCentre have been tested for its sustainability impacts.</p> <p>1st Euro Group suggests that 1st East and the Council provide resources to enable an alternative solution for the Runham Broad intervention area to be drawn up.</p>
GY/LPA/E/0131c/4106	ALSOP VERRILL LLP on behalf of Tesco Ltd	Simon	Roberts		<p>Object</p> <p>Outline planning permission for a mixed use development of retail, office, general industry and warehousing was granted in 2001. The foodstore and phase 1 of the business start ups has been implemented. The rest of the outline consent has lapsed. The site is shown for a mix of employment (EMP10) and landscape enhancement in the adopted Local Plan. The planning history and current allocation establish the principle of development on this site. Further applications would be supported by a transport assessment. We consider that the development of the site for retail, and possibly other complimentary land uses, would bring the following benefits: provide a more attractive entrance to the town, create a positive entrance helping to attract investment, and provide employment opportunities. The land is prominent on the town's main road network, accessible and well-connected to many of the town's out-of-centre retail and quasi-retail facilities. It would be a poor use if such important land to allow it to remain unused in the future, not least because this does not convey the best impression of the town and its economic prosperity to those travelling past.</p>
GY/LPA/L/0182/869	Atisreal, on behalf of Associated British Ports (ABP)	Philip	Scott		<p>AAP proposals conflict with those for Lowestoft; The Great Yarmouth AAP proposals focus on investment in Great Yarmouth at the expense of Lowestoft.</p> <p>The AAP has potential to damage and limit the commercial viability, employment prospects and opportunities for the port of Lowestoft. References to GY being the "dominant sub-regional port" are dangerously misleading and will damage employment prospects and inward investment in the port of Lowestoft and other ABP ports at Ipswich and Kings Lynn</p> <p>ABP will oppose proposals that are detrimental to Lowestoft port and others in the region.</p>
GY/LPA/L/0182/870	Atisreal, on behalf of Associated British Ports (ABP)	Philip	Scott	Vision and Objectives Phasing	<p>Single vision is focused on EastPort at the detriment of Lowestoft</p> <p>Page 13, Figure 1; Enhancement of the port of Lowestoft needs to be recognised</p> <p>Para 6.9; No commercial justification or need for these proposals and ignore the contribution of the port of Lowestoft</p> <p>Why will 1st East support EastPort and not the port of Lowestoft?</p>
GY/LPA/L/0182/871	Atisreal, on behalf of Associated British Ports (ABP)	Philip	Scott	Shared Objectives	<p>Section 2.2; Misleading that Lowestoft is a failing port (as has seen a 40% increase in cargo since 2004). Cannot simply start to reuse redundant brownfield land. Next para; Misleading as only ABP can release waterfront for public use. Final para; proposals cannot meet the objective that the 2 ports will compliment each other</p>

## Great Yarmouth URC Area Action Plan

Preferred Options Consultation; Consultee Responses  
September 10, 2007

GY/LPA/L/0182/872	Atisreal, on behalf of Associated British Ports (ABP)	Philip	Scott	Great Yarmouth Objectives	Section 2.3; Reference to EastPort is misleading as reference is not also made to the importance of the port of Lowestoft
GY/LPA/L/0182/873	Atisreal, on behalf of Associated British Ports (ABP)	Philip	Scott	Economic Sectors	Section 3.2; Object to statement that GY will provide the dominant sub-regional port facility; creating uncertainty at Lowestoft. Question motives of 1st East to downgrade ports in the region Energy sector; Misleading as no reference of the role of Lowestoft in the offshore renewables sector (see Scroby Sands) Food processing; Equal weighting should be given to Lowestoft
GY/LPA/L/0182/874	Atisreal, on behalf of Associated British Ports (ABP)	Philip	Scott	Public Access to the Waterfront	Para 4.3.7; Acknowledge the principle but will not support aspirations at the expense of port operations
GY/LPA/L/0182/875	Atisreal, on behalf of Associated British Ports (ABP)	Philip	Scott	Key Intervention Areas	Para 5.1.2; Not convinced that EastPort does have all the necessary approvals in place Serious omission that site specific proposals are not included in the AAP Para 5.7.1; EastPort attracting new business is likely to be at the expense of Lowestoft
GY/LPA/L/0182/876	Atisreal, on behalf of Associated British Ports (ABP)	Philip	Scott	EastPort	Para.s 5.7.6 to 5.7.8; The AAP needs commercial justification and factual evidence to back up aspirational statements such as these. The port of Lowestoft should be included in the statement about EastPort being part of the sub-regional economic strategy
GY/LPA/E/0128/625	Badger Building	Edward	Gilder	North Quay	Object Traffic problems should be addressed
GY/LPA/E/0128/626	Badger Building	Edward	Gilder	General comments and specific comments on Bure Harbour Quay, North Quay and Runham Broad	<p>The plan is in conflict at each of its main locations for intervention with government policy on flood risk and at Runham Broad in relation to development on greenfield land adjacent to a National Park. These issues need resolving before the plan goes further with a clear statement from all the agencies involved and particularly The Environment Agency and GO East that they support the proposals. Without this, developers will not have the confidence to proceed.</p> <p>There needs to be an acceptance by the URC that the Housing Trajectory set out in the plan is not deliverable within the stated time scales. The figures for the balance of the Great Yarmouth Borough do not reflect the recent AMR and will need to be adjusted upwards to take account of this.</p> <p>There needs to be acceptance that the URC area will deliver its share of affordable housing for the Borough or that a reduced level of affordable housing will be achieved. Any reduction in provision within the URC area to increase viability must not be balanced by an increase in the percentage sought elsewhere in the district.</p> <p>A proper Section 106 balance sheet needs drawing up at the earliest stage. Without a realistic assessment of costs, appraisals of viability will be meaningless. The public debate needs to include consideration of the relevance of each demand made through the Section 106 process. Seeking Sec106 contributions for a Third Crossing is not compliant with law or policy.</p> <p>The site specific proposals should be supported by qualitative and quantitative analysis of the local market. Insufficient justification has been put forward for the increase in retail space proposed for Great Yarmouth, with no analysis for the consequences for the rest of the town centre.</p> <p>The URC need to view compulsory purchase as an action of first resort not last resort. It is unrealistic to conclude that land assembly at this scale can be delivered by the market. Proper account needs to be taken of the time scales and resources required to deliver that aspect of the proposals.</p> <p>An analysis of the likely economic impact of business relocation and compulsory purchase needs to be carried out urgently to assess the overall viability of the projects.</p>

## Great Yarmouth URC Area Action Plan

Preferred Options Consultation; Consultee Responses  
September 10, 2007

GY/LPA/E/0128/627	Badger Building	Edward	Gilder	Runham Broad	Object Flooding concerns from climate change and sea level rise Consideration should be given to environmental issues and impacts from development/sustainable development should be encouraged
GY/1st/W/1045/4701	Baker Hughes Drilling Fluids	Martin	O'Hara	Runham Broad	Object The Eurocentre is not as described a light storage area with a few offices. It is a well established support base for the SNS oil & Gas business and cannot easily be relocated. Increased investment by the companies located here will be necessary to meet the demands of increased pressure to maximise energy production from the SNS. These proposals are causing uncertainty and unwillingness to invest in the future of our premises. This already has resulted in one company delaying any further development in this area this can only result in the loss of both jobs and missed opportunities
GY/LPA/Q/0150/719	Bastwick Parish Council	D	Ely	Para 3.12 Traffic	A149 / A47 Bure Loop Link to northern parishes urgently required to prevent congestion on Acle Straight, particularly during holiday seasons
GY/LPA/Q/0150/720	Bastwick Parish Council	D	Ely	Third River Crossing	Urgently required as Haven Bridge has limited strength due to age and timber construction Urgently required for viability of Outer Harbour
GY/LPA/Q/0150/721	Bastwick Parish Council	D	Ely	Runham Broad	Object Will be expensive/Where is the funding coming from?
GY/LPA/Q/0150/722	Bastwick Parish Council	D	Ely	Breydon Reach	Support Area is in need of regeneration
GY/LPA/Q/0150/723	Bastwick Parish Council	D	Ely	Haven Approach	Support Area is in need of regeneration
GY/LPA/Q/0150/724	Bastwick Parish Council	D	Ely	Ice House Quay & Nelson's Bridge	Support Area is in need of regeneration
GY/LPA/R/0150/725	Bastwick Plc	D	Ely		Support Further river crossing should be provided

# Great Yarmouth URC Area Action Plan

Preferred Options Consultation; Consultee Responses  
September 10, 2007

GY/LPA/RF/0113/570	Bidwells	John	Long		<p>Object</p> <p>Bidwells can support the Council's and 1st East ambitious Plans for the regeneration of Great Yarmouth and Gorleston. However, it is Bidwells opinion that larger scale/higher density schemes should be permitted at the waterside area and request that the references to small scale development at Gorleston are removed. Instead, the AAP should positively plan for larger scale/higher density developments, including housing at densities beyond 30 dwellings per hectare, along the Gorleston waterfront. There are a no. of benefits claimed for higher density housing, including increased housing opportunities, increased scope to deal with climate change, improved viability and a greater contribution to meeting housing targets. Neither the AAP nor the SA demonstrate any impacts on the port or vice versa, indeed the SA has not considered this option at all.</p> <p>Planning Obligation requirements ought to be set out in a DPD rather than a SPD and subjected to public scrutiny and independent examination.</p> <p>Object to requirements for development to meet BREEAM/EcoHome standards, to provide renewable energy and to include water saving devices. These are laudable aims but outside the scope of planning and duplicate requirements in the Building Regulations.</p>
GY/LPA/E/0013/0013	Broads Authority	Gillian	Morgan	General	Support objectives for mixed use development as reduces commuting levels and protects Broads from development pressure but will enable open space
GY/LPA/E/0013/0014	Broads Authority	Gillian	Morgan	General	Support objectives to enhance waterfront for recreation but need to ensure evaluation of ecology
GY/LPA/E/0013/0015	Broads Authority	Gillian	Morgan	General	Support enhanced entrances into town
GY/LPA/E/0013/0016	Broads Authority	Gillian	Morgan	General	Question what guidance is given to areas in the URC area but outside of intervention areas
GY/LPA/E/0013/0017	Broads Authority	Gillian	Morgan	General	Need to show how intervention areas fit into wider context and how access to green spaces, cycle networks and green corridors can be provided
GY/LPA/E/0013/0018	Broads Authority	Gillian	Morgan	Runham Broad	Support policy
GY/LPA/E/0013/0019	Broads Authority	Gillian	Morgan	Breydon Reach	<p>Support gateway proposal, including access to the waterfront</p> <p>Need to include an environmental policy</p> <p>Need to ensure townscape from across Breydon Water is considered</p> <p>Safeguard provision for water taxis</p> <p>Support Bure Harbour Quay marina, which should include short term visitor moorings</p> <p>Public access into new and existing open spaces should be considered</p>
GY/LPA/E/0013/0020	Broads Authority	Gillian	Morgan	Nelson's Bridge	Support in principle

## Great Yarmouth URC Area Action Plan

Preferred Options Consultation; Consultee Responses  
September 10, 2007

GY/LPA/E/0013/0021	Broads Authority	Gillian	Morgan	Green Infrastructure	Support Supply of more jobs and employment is necessary Plans should take in to consideration other areas existing and future plans and policies
GY/LPA/L/0175/855	Brown and Co.	John W	Weston	Haven Approach	Object Riseborough Farms are actively pursuing development of this land.
GY/LPA/L/0183/877	Bure Marine Ltd	Michael	Gouldby	Runham Broad	This proposal must be proved as 'sound and deliverable'. It is causing confusion and uncertainty for existing businesses and will be expensive to implement.
GY/LPA/L/0183/878	Bure Marine Ltd	Michael	Gouldby	Breydon Reach	Support. This is a great and early opportunity for 1st East to enhance the image and appearance of the town and to enhance tourism and employment. To make it attractive to developers the AAP suggested no. of houses should be significantly increased.
GY/LPA/L/0183/879	Bure Marine Ltd	Michael	Gouldby	Haven Approach	The continued viability of Pasta Foods should remain paramount.
GY/LPA/L/0183/880	Bure Marine Ltd	Michael	Gouldby	Ice House Quay	Although JH Bunn wish to move to S. Denes the town cannot afford to lose other working quays and berths affected by this ambitious proposal. A much reduced scheme compromise might work. Request for meeting between GYPA, IPH, GYPUA, GYBC and 1st East
GY/LPA/L/0183/881	Bure Marine Ltd	Michael	Gouldby	South Denes	The details of these proposals need further discussion, including the viability of relocation of EurCentre enterprises to this location.
GY/LPA/L/0183/882	Bure Marine Ltd	Michael	Gouldby	Nelson's Bridge	A third crossing is desirable if it does not jeopardise the operation of existing berth holders, directly and those upstream. Further consideration needs to be given to effects on Gapton Hall Roundabout
GY/1st/W/1048/4704	Business Telecom Limited	Paul	Temple	Runham Broad	Support
GY/1st/E/0008/0008	Cobholm / Lichfield Community				Noise mitigation must be undertaken for crossings More affordable housing needed – the 15% allocation should be social housing. North Quay – if 440 new homes are to be built how many existing homes will be demolished make way for the redevelopment Noise from 3rd crossing. Will there be compensation/sound proofing provided for homes near it? Development of a New Quay in Cobholm is a good idea (apart from the marina)

## Great Yarmouth URC Area Action Plan

Preferred Options Consultation; Consultee Responses  
September 10, 2007

GY/1st/W/1043/4688	Conductor Installation Services Ltd	Andy	Penman	Runham Broad	Support Yesterday I was invited to a meeting with other occupiers of the estate to hear more of the details about the redevelopment. All who attended the meeting yesterday were pleased to hear of any possible improvements for the area but were dismayed by the lack of information supplied by First East and the incorrect assumptions in their documentations. In summary:- The incorrect assumption that the Eurocentre is used for light storage with a few supporting offices. The lack of consultation with existing business and real information about the proposals. The incorrect assumption that the current businesses can be easily relocated within the Gt Yarmouth area. The publication of the proposals which has created uncertainty for business which has already caused one business on the estate to delay a significant capital project which would have sustained current jobs and created more.
GY/LPA/Q/0140/663	Dabbrook Services Ltd	Anna	Smith		
GY/LPA/Q/0140/664	Dabbrook Services Ltd	Anna	Smith	Runham Broad	Object
GY/LPA/Q/0140/665	Dabbrook Services Ltd	Anna	Smith	Breydon Reach	Support Support improvement/revamp of train station
GY/LPA/Q/0140/666	Dabbrook Services Ltd	Anna	Smith	Haven Approach	Object
GY/LPA/Q/0140/667	Dabbrook Services Ltd	Anna	Smith	Ice House Quay	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0140/668	Dabbrook Services Ltd	Anna	Smith	South Denes	Support Increase Parking Facilities
GY/LPA/Q/0140/669	Dabbrook Services Ltd	Anna	Smith	Nelson's Bridge	Support Further river crossing should be provided
GY/LPA/Q/0148/706	East Coast Waste Ltd			Runham Broad	Object
GY/LPA/Q/0148/707	East Coast Waste Ltd			Breydon Reach	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0148/708	East Coast Waste Ltd			Haven Approach	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0148/709	East Coast Waste Ltd			Ice House Quay	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0148/710	East Coast Waste Ltd			South Denes	Object
GY/LPA/Q/0148/711	East Coast Waste Ltd			Nelson's Bridge	Support
GY/LPA/R/0195/994	East Coast Waste Ltd	Paul	Clements	Runham Broad	Object Local Organisations/Business and workers should be supported
GY/LPA/E/0129/629	East of England Development Agency	Susan	Heinrich	General	Need to consider the Regional Economic Strategy (RES) Support vision in general but could be more specific in addressing need for urban renaissance, regeneration (see RES sections on GY) GY role as Regionally Strategic Employment location should be recognised, in line with changed policy E3, to support port expansion etc Support identification of key economic sectors, see RES Support inclusion of East Port Concern that soundness tests may not be met – conformity (no reference to the RES), coherence, consistency and effectiveness (need to show how fits in with other DPDs – the Core Strategy, plus clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring). Ideally indicate how much of Borough's employment land it is accommodating and evidence to show which types of employment uses in which sectors the URC wish to attract Need indication of timing of overall and individual phases – key for submission stage

## Great Yarmouth URC Area Action Plan

Preferred Options Consultation; Consultee Responses  
September 10, 2007

GY/LPA/E/0129/630	East of England Development Agency	Susan	Heinrich	General	The Highways agency is keen to work closely with Yarmouth Borough council to ensure that cumulative impacts of future development traffic within the Borough is considered and addressed in a holistic manner Growing demand for transport to the regional centre and around town must be met Traffic problems should be addressed Concern over the generation of traffic from future development S106 contributions are necessary eg. for cultural & leisure facilities & activities Plans should take in to consideration other areas existing and future plans and policies
GY/LPA/E/0119/583	East of England Regional Assembly	Stewart	Patience	General	There is a need for both AAPs to contribute to the diversification of the economy e.g. renewable energy and environmental technologies for consistency with Policy GYL1 of the Proposed Changes document.
GY/LPA/E/0119/584	East of England Regional Assembly	Stewart	Patience	Mixed Uses	The preferred option is consistent with Policy ENV7 of the Proposed Changes which states that new development should provide a mix of uses where appropriate.
GY/LPA/E/0119/585	East of England Regional Assembly	Stewart	Patience	Urban Design	This is consistent with Policy ENV7 which requires new development to be based upon urban design principles.
GY/LPA/E/0119/586	East of England Regional Assembly	Stewart	Patience	Sustainable Construction	Policy ENG1 of the proposed changes requires Local Development Documents to set ambitious but viable targets for on-site renewable energy. Therefore for consistency with the Proposed Changes there is a need to explore the setting of higher targets to provide energy from these sources. This is consistent with Policy ENV7 which requires Local Development Documents to promote sustainable construction. However, given that the recently published Code for Sustainable Homes is intended to replace the existing Ecohomes Standard in April 2007 there is a need to review this policy.
GY/LPA/E/0119/587	East of England Regional Assembly	Stewart	Patience	Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems (SUDs)	Policy WAT4 of the Proposed Changes which requires the incorporation of Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems in all appropriate developments. Therefore to be consistent with this policy there is a need to require the incorporation of SUDs in all developments, rather than introduce a threshold.
GY/LPA/E/0119/588	East of England Regional Assembly	Stewart	Patience	Water Conservation	The preferred option is consistent with Policy WAT1 which requires development identified within the RSS to be matched with improvements in water efficiency.
GY/LPA/E/0119/589	East of England Regional Assembly	Stewart	Patience	Implementation Plan	EERA welcomes this strategy as it will help to ensure the implementation of the policies of the Proposed Changes document.
GY/LPA/E/0119/590	East of England Regional Assembly	Stewart	Patience	Great Yarmouth Objectives	The objectives are consistent with policy GYL1 of the Proposed Changes which requires Local Development Documents to identify priority areas and projects for regeneration and taking advantage of key waterfront sites. The objective of improving accessibility to the port, town centre and main tourist area is also consistent with this policy,
GY/LPA/E/0119/591	East of England Regional Assembly	Stewart	Patience	Housing Strategy	This is consistent with policies H1 and GYL1 of the Proposed Changes document which set out the need for additional housing within Great Yarmouth Borough.
GY/LPA/E/0119/592	East of England Regional Assembly	Stewart	Patience	Retail Development	The AAP proposes extensions to the existing town centre and is therefore consistent with Policy E5 which requires retail and town centre uses to be focused at the major town centres including Great Yarmouth.

## Great Yarmouth URC Area Action Plan

Preferred Options Consultation; Consultee Responses  
September 10, 2007

GY/LPA/E/0119/593	East of England Regional Assembly	Stewart	Patience	Flood Risk	To be consistent with Policy WAT4 of the Proposed Changes there is a need to demonstrate how the Strategic Flood Risk Assessment has been taken into account in the preparation of this Area Action Plan.
GY/LPA/E/0119/594	East of England Regional Assembly	Stewart	Patience	Planning Obligations	The planning obligations identified would contribute towards the implementation of the policies of the Proposed Changes (policies H3, T4, ENV1 and GYL1). However there is a need to consider whether the range of planning obligations identified is sufficient given the wider range of potential social and community facilities identified in the AAP.
GY/LPA/E/0119/595	East of England Regional Assembly	Stewart	Patience	Key Intervention Areas	These proposals are consistent with policy GYL1 of the Proposed Changes which requires Local Development Documents to identify priority areas and projects for regeneration and taking advantage of key waterfront sites. The objective of improving accessibility to the port, town centre and main tourist area is also consistent with this policy.
GY/LPA/E/0119/596	East of England Regional Assembly	Stewart	Patience	Transport and Movement	The proposed improvements to public transport and for pedestrian and cyclists is consistent with policies of the proposed changes (Policies T4, T5, T9 and GYL1). In addition Policy GYL1 of the proposed changes document requires LDDs to promote transport improvements into the Great Yarmouth and Lowestoft area and between the towns. Consideration therefore needs to be given to how this could be addressed through the Area Action Plan.
GY/LPA/E/0119/597	East of England Regional Assembly	Stewart	Patience	Social and Community Facilities	Policy H2 of the Proposed Changes document requires development to be coordinated with infrastructure provision. Therefore there is a need to set out how these facilities will be provided including any contributions which are to be sought from developers.
GY/LPA/L/0176/856	EastPort Great Yarmouth	Helen	Speechley	Nelson's Bridge	Support conduct of feasibility studies on alternative alignments within the defined area of search, so that a final decision can be made in light of all relevant information.
GY/LPA/L/0176/857	EastPort Great Yarmouth	Helen	Speechley	South Denes	Point of clarification; frontage land to the east of South Beach Parade (northwards through to Nelson's Monument) is also within the area reserved for port expansion
GY/LPA/L/0941/4141	EDF Energy Networks Ltd	Dale	Harrison		Only a modest level of spare capacity available on network. Major reinforcement will be required under proposals – likely to be installation of new 132,000 volt cable from GY power station to the GY grid sub station, new grid transformer, to equip the “reserved” Market Gates primary sub station and potential for local network reinforcement. Funding may need to be met via development as EDF cannot invest speculatively.
GYLW/LPA/E/1116/5211	English Heritage	Katharine	Fletcher		Glad to see protection of heritage, re-use of old buildings and promotion of mixed use. References to the economic and cultural value of heritage are welcome. Support references to need to remove clutter around Hall Plain and Nelson's monument.
	English Heritage	<b>The following comments relate to the Sustainability Appraisal</b>			
GYLW/LPA/E/1116/5214	English Heritage	Katharine	Fletcher	para 2.24.1	Under landscapes and townscapes, poor quality and lack of distinctiveness should be referred to here.
GYLW/LPA/E/1116/5215	English Heritage	Katharine	Fletcher	Fig 3.14	larger scale map to show historic env. Designations. Include historic parks and gardens on this map instead of 3.11

## Great Yarmouth URC Area Action Plan

Preferred Options Consultation; Consultee Responses  
September 10, 2007

GYLW/LPA/E/1116/5216	English Heritage	Katharine	Fletcher	3.16	Archaeological resource should be mentioned here. Use cultural heritage assesment to inform plans.
GYLW/LPA/E/1116/5217	English Heritage	Katharine	Fletcher	para. 3.17.2	The need to knit together parts of the urban fabric that have been fragmented through well thought out new development, that reinforces distinctive assets and qualities should be mentioned here.
GYLW/LPA/E/1116/5218	English Heritage	Katharine	Fletcher		ref should be made to the need to carry out archaeological assesment before planning decisions are taken, in accordance with PPG16.
GYLW/LPA/E/1116/5219	English Heritage	Katharine	Fletcher		Suggests changes to fourth and final indicators.
GYLW/LPA/E/1116/5220	English Heritage	Katharine	Fletcher	para 8.11.7	Instead of 'appropriate assessment', 'appropriate charaterisation and assessment' would be more appropriate.
GY/LPA/L/0192/970	Environment Agency	Carrie	Williams	Goals and Objectives	None of the objectives are based on environmental considerations – all are economic or social; equal weighting should be given to all three Support green infrastructure but could enhance by adding reference to a green grid
GY/LPA/L/0192/971	Environment Agency	Carrie	Williams	Housing Strategy	Para 3.3.3; Remove reference to PPG3 and add in reference to PPS3 Para 4.2.5; A sequential approach for development in relation to PPS25 will also be essential
GY/LPA/L/0192/972	Environment Agency	Carrie	Williams	Sustainable Construction	The AAP should support the highest possible standards of sustainable construction and design in line with PPS1; minimise use of resources, minimise waste, use of natural thermal systems, energy and water efficiency Support that the SA recognises that further work is required to attain energy efficiency targets and address water supply Para 4.3.11; Reference to EcoHomes should be replaced with the Code for Sustainable Homes Suggest a stepped approach to expect all development to be carbon neutral; all development to achieve at least 3 star rating up to 2013, at least 4 star rating up to 2016 and at least 6 star rating post 2016
GY/LPA/L/0192/973	Environment Agency	Carrie	Williams	Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems	Para 4.3.17 and 4.3.18; Support proposals as surface water drainage systems within the URC area are nearing capacity Suggest a Water Cycle Study is carried out for the URC (see Graham Wilson of the Sustainable Communities Delivery Group for delivery and funding – 01733 464499) Para 4.3.18; Object to the statement “.....to achieve 50% attenuation of water run off at peak times” conflicts with PPS25 and must be altered There may be problems implementing infiltration of SUDs in some areas of GY where poor natural drainage, a high water table or where contamination occurs; risk assessment in line with PPS23 will be essential
GY/LPA/L/0192/974	Environment Agency	Carrie	Williams	Water Conservation	Para 4.3.19; Support but a Water Conservation Strategy would assist in achieving high standards
GY/LPA/L/0192/975	Environment Agency	Carrie	Williams	Design for Biodiversity	Para 4.3.20; Support but all planting must be native species and preferably local species A requirement for green roofs would assist in management of surface water run off

## Great Yarmouth URC Area Action Plan

Preferred Options Consultation; Consultee Responses  
September 10, 2007

GY/LPA/L/0192/976	Environment Agency	Carrie	Williams	Ground Conditions	Precautionary approach to brownfield sites; PPS23 should be adhered to – concerns relate to controlled waters and waste only Agree that an accurate database of all contaminated sites will be required, as stated in the SA
GY/LPA/L/0192/977	Environment Agency	Carrie	Williams	Flood Risk	Remove reference to PPG25 and add in reference to PPS25
GY/LPA/L/0192/978	Environment Agency	Carrie	Williams	Sequential and Exception Tests	The sequential test to flood risk must be undertaken during the production of all LDDs (see para. 14 of PPS25) and the PPS25 Exception Test must be adhered to as appropriate
GY/LPA/L/0192/979	Environment Agency	Carrie	Williams	GY Strategic Flood Risk Assessment	Needs reviewing in light of PPS25 (was undertaken under PPG25) and cannot be relied on in the AAP in its current format New climate change allowances would mean that regeneration area defences would be overtopped, such as at Ice House Quay (Bollard Quay, wall no. 80C overtopped by 0.8m) Residual risks behind defences are not accurately represented at present The hazard definition in PPS25 have changed and will affect the AAP Existing quays and walls offer a low standard of protection Para; 4.3.27; Question whether 1st East intend on changing the concrete raised River wall protecting GY, in light of the statement “.....instead, innovative solutions with raised quay levels, partial underground parking.....”
GY/LPA/L/0192/980	Environment Agency	Carrie	Williams	Planning Obligations	As it is intended that developer contributions will be sought to fund new defences, the financial viability and implications of a scheme must be established to ensure they do not become a barrier to investment (as a guide, recent example to reconstruct quay and flood wall defence at East Quay and Trinity Quay cost approx. £10,000 per linear metre) In light of the GY Strategic Review of flood defence, the estimated whole life cost of necessary improvement is £81 million The EA cannot be relied upon to fund any improvements to defences in the GY area; proposals will undergo feasibility to win funding
GY/LPA/L/0192/981	Environment Agency	Carrie	Williams	Runham Broad	Within Flood Zone 3, not covered by the GY SFRA; needs a strategic overview of flood risk to inform layout and design Support water compatible uses in this location but 80 residential units will be subject to sequential testing Concern over development proposed right up to waterfront edge Support some water compatible uses in the flood plain as long as remain operational and safe in times of flood, result in no net loss floodplain storage, do not impede water flows and do not increase flood risk elsewhere Biodiversity in proximity to wetland needs to be addressed Ideal location for flagship sustainable buildings – could be achieved through an SPD

## Great Yarmouth URC Area Action Plan

Preferred Options Consultation; Consultee Responses  
September 10, 2007

GY/LPA/L/0192/982	Environment Agency	Carrie	Williams	Breydon Reach	See previous comments about the SFRA in relation to new guidance in PPS25 Some areas could be classed as functional floodplain such as the marina Support comments in the SA that the implications of the marina on water quality and hydrology must be understood Hazard mapping need to be updated in light of PPS25 Appropriate Assessment to assess biodiversity should be undertaken asap, in consultation with the EA Para 0.4.2 of the SA; Urge that all developments must avoid negative impacts upon Breydon Water, not just some
GY/LPA/L/0192/983	Environment Agency	Carrie	Williams	Haven Approach	Will need updated SFRA in line with PPS25 as area is within Flood Zone 3
GY/LPA/L/0192/984	Environment Agency	Carrie	Williams	Ice House Quay	Will need updated SFRA in line with PPS25 as area is within Flood Zone 3 Hazard mapping will also need to be updated Likely that developer contributions will need to be sought to improve flood defences
GY/LPA/L/0192/985	Environment Agency	Carrie	Williams	Nelson's Bridge	See EA comments as part of EA Scoping
GY/LPA/L/0192/986	Environment Agency	Carrie	Williams	South Denes	Request that residential elements are located in the lower risk flood zone (South Gate)
GY/LPA/L/0192/987	Environment Agency	Carrie	Williams	Southtown and Gorleston	Will need updated SFRA in line with PPS25 as area is within Flood Zone 3
GY/LPA/L/0192/988	Environment Agency	Carrie	Williams	Social and Community Facilities	Request that these facilities are located in the lower risk flood and hazard zones
GY/LPA/L/0192/989	Environment Agency	Carrie	Williams	Environmental Improvements	Support proposals for environmental improvements Para 5.15.1; Disagree that foreign species should be used – native or local should be used
GY/LPA/L/0192/990	Environment Agency	Carrie	Williams	Implementation Plan	Much of the regeneration will not be acceptable until flood defences are upgraded to a higher standard
GY/LPA/L/0192/991	Environment Agency	Carrie	Williams	Table 4.1	Set high sustainability standards
GY/LPA/Q/0052/0213	Evanscent	J	Evans	Runham Broad	Support Provide social, community and arts facilities S106 contributions are necessary eg. for cultural & leisure facilities & activities Area is in need of regeneration
GY/LPA/Q/0052/0214	Evanscent	J	Evans	Breydon Reach	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0052/0215	Evanscent	J	Evans	Haven Approach	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0052/0216	Evanscent	J	Evans	Ice House Quay	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0052/0217	Evanscent	J	Evans	South Denes	Support Create more jobs and employment opportunities

## Great Yarmouth URC Area Action Plan

Preferred Options Consultation; Consultee Responses  
September 10, 2007

GY/LPA/E/0118/576	GO-East	Richard	Kay	General	<p>Consideration should be given as to how the Submission DPD could useful explain the fit between the AAP and both other DPD documents and other relevant strategies</p> <p>It is important to ensure the text is updated to reflect current regional and national policy and advice eg PPS3 and the East of England Plan.</p> <p>We request that you ensure that clear policies are incorporated with the Submission DPD.</p> <p>We request that you ensure that only necessary text is included in the Submission DPD, with the aim of a creating a focussed policy and strategy document.</p> <p>We request that you consider whether appropriate reference to the Casino ought to be reflected in the Submission AAP.</p> <p>We request that you ensure there is clarity over which AAP area specific policies are included in the Submission DPD, and where there is cross-reference to generic Development Control policies in another DPD.</p> <p>We request that you ensure in the Submission DPD that there is clarity between the AAP DPD and the Site Specific and Development Control DPD with respect to specific policies for specific sites which fall geographically within the AAP area.</p> <p>We request that you ensure the Submission DPD appropriately address the risks of non-delivery of some aspects of the proposals, and has sufficiently flexible policies and proposals to deal with changing circumstances.</p> <p>We request that you ensure that appropriate map(s) (particularly the required submission Proposals Map with appropriate allocations illustrated) be included with the Submission DPD, and that they are of sufficiently high quality to clear illustrate the DPD proposals.</p>
GY/LPA/E/0118/577	GO-East	Richard	Kay	4.3.25	We request that you ensure the Submission DPD demonstrates how it has responded to the conclusions of the SFRA.
GY/LPA/E/0118/578	GO-East	Richard	Kay	Chpt 5	We request that the local authority ensure it is satisfied, and can demonstrate at the Examination, that all reasonable options have been tested and a précis of the alternatives have been set out in the Preferred Options DPD.
GY/LPA/E/0118/579	GO-East	Richard	Kay	5.15	We request that you consider whether specific policy for individual Key Intervention Areas relating to Environmental Improvements should more appropriately be merged with other policy relating to those specific areas.
GY/LPA/E/0118/580	GO-East	Richard	Kay	Table 5.8	We consider this table could helpfully be broken down to specific sites, with caveats if necessary
GY/LPA/E/0118/581	GO-East	Richard	Kay	5.16.2	We request that you consider whether sufficient evidence has been gathered on employment land demand, together with a review of the quality and quantity of existing land, in order to support the proposals of the AAP and support the evidence base to demonstrate that the proposals are realistic and could be implementable.
GY/LPA/E/0118/582	GO-East	Richard	Kay	4.4 plus chpt 6	<p>We request that you ensure a full, realistic, evidence based 'means of implementation' is set out in the DPD, which is specific to the proposals of the AAP.</p> <p>6.9.2 and elsewhere - We request that you ensure only policies and proposals suitable for a DPD are included in the Submission DPD, and that financial or Business Planning matters of the URC are addressed in other appropriate documents.</p>
GY/1st/E/0009/0009	Gorleston Community				<p>Save the Ice House</p> <p>Ensure health and education services are provided to support new residents</p> <p>Marina at Bure Harbour looks as though it has no support but it will provide a much needed uplift and encourage people with spending power</p> <p>Things need to happen faster</p> <p>We need the third river crossing and dualling of A47 (Acle straight)</p>

## Great Yarmouth URC Area Action Plan

Preferred Options Consultation; Consultee Responses  
September 10, 2007

GY/LPA/E/0135/641	Great Yarmouth Borough Council Community Services (Housing Needs and Welfare)	Mark	Burns		Support overall but will be difficult for those outside of URC area to see benefits for them. Needs to correspond to the Sustainable Community Strategy (SCS) – will be developed in 2007. Support that housing should support employment and that high quality housing will attract investors Current workforce and residents should not be forgotten Affordable housing will be required – make emphasis clear and link to SCS Support urban design, public access, sustainable construction, water conservation and biodiversity proposals Affordable housing should be mixed in with market and create a balance and not promote statements about creating commercially and sub optimal mixes AAP implies that affordable housing on sites should be minimised – disagree with this, and also assumptions in social terms which are not evidence based Agree that affordable housing could be waived on key sites, cannot assume off site everywhere as unfeasible due to size of URC area and goes against PPS3 Housing mix should meet needs of current workforce, which may result in more intermediate rented or low cost owner occupation is required, in keeping with regional levels Support affordable housing SPD Support working with HCs and RSLs – sites will need to be identified in the September 2007 bids – see comments on categories for bids About to complete sub-regional Housing Market Assessment (Summer 2007) – initial findings can be made available and could be pertinent to the URC.
GY/LPA/L/0178/859	Great Yarmouth Caravan Services	Stuart	Day	Runham Broad	Object Traffic problems should be addressed Further river crossing should be provided Tourism should be encouraged Local Organisations/Business and workers should be supported
GY/LPA/L/0188/926	Great Yarmouth Port Authority	Alex	Woods	Para 1.2.2	Factual error – GYPA are still responsible for the stretch of water north of Haven Bridge and are the navigational authority for the Lower Bure through to grid references TG 5190 1009 / TG 5193 1012 – the former County boundary of GY (albeit in consultation with the Broads Authority) The name “Norfolk and Suffolk Broads” has not yet been adopted and has been dropped from the Parliamentary Bill
GY/LPA/L/0188/927	Great Yarmouth Port Authority	Alex	Woods	Page 11 Environment	Concern over statements regarding potential ground contamination / hazardous installations in relation to port use; GYPA and tenants have always complied with legislation and are heavily regulated by the Environment Agency and English Nature, but admit that hazardous materials are used
GY/LPA/L/0188/928	Great Yarmouth Port Authority	Alex	Woods	Spatial Issues	Public access to the waterfront should remain restricted in working areas of the port for Health and Safety and recent legislation on the security of port installations “the International Ship and Port Facility Security Code” Where is the evidence to support the statement that port activities are gravitating towards the south? Recent activity has been growing in the Southtown Quay / Ice House Quay area. GYPA have a strategy that involves activity gradually moving south but in medium to long term Acknowledge that road traffic to and from the port currently passes close to the town centre, which will remain until a third crossing is provided; no alternative other than the sea front
GY/LPA/L/0188/929	Great Yarmouth Port Authority	Alex	Woods	Para 2.1.2	Concern that AAP will stifle employment development or drive established companies from the town

## Great Yarmouth URC Area Action Plan

Preferred Options Consultation; Consultee Responses  
September 10, 2007

GY/LPA/L/0188/930	Great Yarmouth Port Authority	Alex	Woods	Para 2.2.1	3rd para; Agree that the changing relationship between the port and the town must be managed but must be done sympathetically to the port, recognising the impact of the port on employment 4th para; Disagree with comment ".....in many places these restrictions are no longer necessary" (see previous Health and Safety comments)
GY/LPA/L/0188/931	Great Yarmouth Port Authority	Alex	Woods	Para 2.3.1	1st para; "Integration of Eastport" - EastPort must not be isolated from the wider regeneration programme and partnership working with 1st East would enable wider community benefits 4th para; "Improve the character and identity of the Inner Harbour" - the proposals do not support the statement ".....without compromising the integrity of existing employment activities"
GY/LPA/L/0188/932	Great Yarmouth Port Authority	Alex	Woods	Economic Strategy	Para 3.1.1; Agree with the statement provided the needs of the current economy are not pushed aside and are supported and encouraged to grow
GY/LPA/L/0188/933	Great Yarmouth Port Authority	Alex	Woods	Port Marine Industries	Para 3.2; the GYPA Quay Strategy (2006) agreed that inner port activity would migrate further south over a medium to long term period but this para is written without regard to consultation with GYPA and is out of context. The inner harbour activity is growing and we cannot assume that all activity to the north of the proposed crossing can be related to the south of it
GY/LPA/L/0188/934	Great Yarmouth Port Authority	Alex	Woods	Energy Sector	Agree with statement but outer and inner harbours will continue to be managed as an integrated unit. It would be wrong to restrict growth of the outer harbour Will oppose proposals that will have an impact upon GYPA's statutory duties
GY/LPA/L/0188/935	Great Yarmouth Port Authority	Alex	Woods	Housing	Para 3.3; Concerns over timing of housing at Ice House Quay – agree that the area is suitable but leases and tenants in situ. Should note that port activity at Southtown Quay has increased over the past year from approx. 7 vessel calls per month to a current 28 – would be a detrimental impact to the town if one tenant (Shell UK) was requested to move
GY/LPA/L/0188/936	Great Yarmouth Port Authority	Alex	Woods	Preferred Options	Para 4.2.3; Support re-orientation of the River but International law (ISPS Code, H&S etc) must be considered. Would be acceptable at South Quay as long as there is means of isolation when required for shipping
GY/LPA/L/0188/937	Great Yarmouth Port Authority	Alex	Woods	Para 4.2.4;	Concern that proposals are residential led and not enough emphasis on employment
GY/LPA/L/0188/938	Great Yarmouth Port Authority	Alex	Woods	Para 4.2.5;	Economic activity must be generated to sustain residential. Arrangements must be made to allow for the relocation of businesses that rely on waterfront activity at Ice House Quay
GY/LPA/L/0188/939	Great Yarmouth Port Authority	Alex	Woods	Para 4.3.2;	Mixed use may not be achievable at all locations proposed due to legal requirements
GY/LPA/L/0188/940	Great Yarmouth Port Authority	Alex	Woods	Para 4.3.7;	Agree with recognition in text that there may be operational and security restrictions
GY/LPA/L/0188/941	Great Yarmouth Port Authority	Alex	Woods	Para 4.3.21;	Reiterate point that hazards surrounding a working port are recognised and inappropriate development will be opposed where potential conflict could occur
GY/LPA/L/0188/942	Great Yarmouth Port Authority	Alex	Woods	Para 4.2.23;	GYPA will work in partnership with 1st East to identify potential contamination
GY/LPA/L/0188/943	Great Yarmouth Port Authority	Alex	Woods	4.3.25;	GYPA work with the Environment Agency to modify quays which are at risk of flooding (E.g. Riverside Road, Gorleston and Berth 8)

## Great Yarmouth URC Area Action Plan

Preferred Options Consultation; Consultee Responses  
September 10, 2007

GY/LPA/L/0188/944	Great Yarmouth Port Authority	Alex	Woods	South Denes, Para 5.7;	Agree area needs regeneration but disagree with location of green space as earmarked for EastPort
GY/LPA/L/0188/945	Great Yarmouth Port Authority	Alex	Woods	South Denes, Para 5.7.5;	Conflicts earlier options – waterbourne leisure must be confined to upper reaches – South Quay, new Bure Harbour Quay and new Runham Broad only, as long as no significant impact on port operations
GY/LPA/L/0188/946	Great Yarmouth Port Authority	Alex	Woods	South Denes, Para 5.7.6;	EastPort will not necessarily be the main operational area of the port, although accepted that mix of cargo and size of vessels will be different
GY/LPA/L/0188/947	Great Yarmouth Port Authority	Alex	Woods	South Denes, Para 5.7.7;	Agree with comments about port security
GY/LPA/L/0188/948	Great Yarmouth Port Authority	Alex	Woods	Five Key Intervention Areas	No new areas of water that flow into the River Yare should be contemplated without appropriate Environmental Impact Assessment, to consider alterations to tidal flow, the need for dredging, quay structure repair, additional traffic on the River etc
GY/LPA/L/0188/949	Great Yarmouth Port Authority	Alex	Woods	Bure Harbour Quay	Para 5.3.5; General support for a leisure marina but is not acceptable as currently shown on plans (no explanation as to why) Leisure marina requires further work; would cause conflict in the town and increased bridge lifts would be required
GY/LPA/L/0188/950	Great Yarmouth Port Authority	Alex	Woods	Ice House Quay	Para 5.5; Disagree with assumption that this area is interspersed with dereliction as a lot of activity in this area. Agree that all businesses need waterfront. Would be willing to consider relocation of activity if suitable alternatives found
GY/LPA/L/0188/951	Great Yarmouth Port Authority	Alex	Woods	Nelson's Bridge	Para 5.6; Support a third crossing but premature in light of NCC Highways study. Alignment on the plan cannot be supported as obliterates a customer. While relocation could be considered, 1st East have not grasped the scale of businesses that need waterfront access Require further consultation with 1st East prior to AAP submission to the SoS
GY/LPA/L/0188/952	Great Yarmouth Port Authority	Alex	Woods	Phasing	Para 6.9; In light of a high % of Ice House Quay being used for commercial shipping, proposals should be moved to Stage 2
GY /LPA/L/0927/4073	Great Yarmouth Port Users Association	Keith	Vincent	Page 10	Agree that port / marine businesses have declined in the 4 years before 2003. Suggest that this trend has continued to present day and as such, the GYPUA membership of 28 now represents a higher proportion of the total number of businesses in this sector

## Great Yarmouth URC Area Action Plan

Preferred Options Consultation; Consultee Responses  
September 10, 2007

GY /LPA/L/0927/4074	Great Yarmouth Port Users Association	Keith	Vincent		Disagree that port activity will migrate southwards as logistically not possible for some businesses, landowners may sell up rather than relocate, some businesses will not be able to absorb new overheads, even with financial grants in place, considerations such as draft of water, condition of quay headings, space for cranes, landing and lay-down and storage may not be sufficient Cannot mix port operational activity with other uses. The majority of port activity will not be through EastPort as this will be limited to large vessels Where in the AAP does it indicate where new jobs will be created? Proposals are likely to result in loss of jobs and loss of opportunities for growth Question funding, with concern that the number of residential units has been chosen in line with the requirement for developer contribution, rather than the number it will be able to successfully market (see other waterfront developments at Norwich / Ipswich where developers cannot sell) Support regeneration in principle but unable to support the AAP proposals Disappointed with lack of engagement since December 2005
GY /LPA/L/0927/4075	Great Yarmouth Port Users Association	Keith	Vincent	Page 67	Consider that risk of obtaining section 106 funding should be high and significant impact
GY /LPA/L/0927/4076	Great Yarmouth Port Users Association	Keith	Vincent	Para 1.6.2	The rail freight link failed due to the inability of the operator to provide a reliable service
GY /LPA/L/0927/4077	Great Yarmouth Port Users Association	Keith	Vincent	Para 2.3.1;	Contradiction between linking the port with the town and taking traffic away as fast as possible
GY /LPA/L/0927/4078	Great Yarmouth Port Users Association	Keith	Vincent	Page 19	EastPort would prefer cargo operation rather than passenger ferry operation so what are the risks if there is no ferry?
GY /LPA/L/0927/4079	Great Yarmouth Port Users Association	Keith	Vincent	Para 3.3.4;	Construction specifications may be costly and unattractive to purchasers
GY /LPA/L/0927/4080	Great Yarmouth Port Users Association	Keith	Vincent	Para 3.3.4;	Question where employment facilities will be located to sustainably support new residential
GY /LPA/L/0927/4081	Great Yarmouth Port Users Association	Keith	Vincent	Para 4.2.5;	What research is there to assess the impact of 2,000 new residential units?
GY /LPA/L/0927/4082	Great Yarmouth Port Users Association	Keith	Vincent	Para 4.3.24	Have potential contamination sites been identified and costs quantified?
GY /LPA/L/0927/4083	Great Yarmouth Port Users Association	Keith	Vincent	Para 4.3.25;	FRAs have concentrated on rising sea levels but recent flooding problems in the town have been due to drainage systems
GY /LPA/L/0927/4084	Great Yarmouth Port Users Association	Keith	Vincent	Para 4.3.29	The town's unique selling points have not been enhanced

## Great Yarmouth URC Area Action Plan

Preferred Options Consultation; Consultee Responses  
September 10, 2007

GY /LPA/L/0927/4085	Great Yarmouth Port Users Association	Keith	Vincent		Para 5.5.2- Unlikely that tidal flow can support waterfront inlets. Marketing effect of reducing waterfront units? Para 5.13.7- New bridge would need to allow for wide or unusual sized loads Para 5.14.1- Increasing public perception that increasing foreigners / asylum seekers makes the town intimidating and unsafe Para 6.1- River crossing needs to be a first phase consideration
GY/LPA/E/0127/621	Great Yarmouth Racecourse Ltd	Glenn	Tubby	Runham Broad	Support More park and ride schemes should be provided Traffic problems should be addressed Further river crossing should be provided
GY/LPA/E/0127/622	Great Yarmouth Racecourse Ltd	Glenn	Tubby	Nelson's Bridge	Support Traffic problems should be addressed
GY/LPA/E/0127/623	Great Yarmouth Racecourse Ltd	Glenn	Tubby	South Denes	Tourism should be encouraged Supply of more jobs and employment is necessary
GY/LPA/E/0127/624	Great Yarmouth Racecourse Ltd	Glenn	Tubby		More park and ride schemes should be provided Traffic problems should be addressed Further river crossing should be provided
GY/1st/L/0133/639	Great Yarmouth Stevedoring Company Ltd	Roger	Lewin		Port related activity should continue
GY/LPA/L/0930/4096	GYLSP	Chris	Hacon		Support Support for the whole scheme
GY /LPA/L/0928/4087	Hans House Group of Companies	Bruce	Giddy	Breydon Reach	increase housing units from 440 to 650. Consider North Quay for Casino Support for the whole scheme
GY/LPA/E/0130a/631	Highways Agency	Eric	Cooper		
GY/LPA/E/0130b/632	Highways Agency	Eric	Cooper	The Trunk Road Network The A12 & The A47 Transport and Movement & Gapton Hall Industrial Estate	Question levels of traffic assessment undertaken to date; without evidence, proposals could be unsound Cumulative traffic impact could be significant on trunk roads, particularly at A12 junctions Infrastructure investment should prioritise sustainable modes before consideration of highway capacity requirements. Comments only relate to what the HA is responsible for; the A12 and A47 Congestion associated with junction capacity, particularly at peak times, exacerbated by seasonal traffic, conflicting with the town centre, port, commercial and commuter / school traffic Significant congestion at the Breydon Bridge, Vauxhall roundabout and Gapton Hall Prone to congestion at peak times, particularly at Vauxhall roundabout, exacerbated by seasonal traffic Support proposals for sustainable travel but request include reference to need for a holistic view of traffic implications of the AAP Reiterate point to emphasise that private cars would not seek use of strategic network over local to maintain access to the port Car parking should be reviewed in light of providing sustainable alternatives Gapton Hall Industrial Estate is not suitable for further development due to potential capacity at the roundabout

# Great Yarmouth URC Area Action Plan

Preferred Options Consultation; Consultee Responses  
September 10, 2007

GY/LPA/E/0130b/633	Highways Agency	Eric	Cooper	Vision and Objectives Planning Obligations	The need to protect the strategic roles of the A12 and A47 is not sufficiently recognised in the AAP. Suggest that the objective "To foster strong economic / community linkages....." is strengthened to highlight the importance of the port to the town and the region as an economic driver and in providing linkages to Europe Suggest that the objective "Improved Accessibility" should recognise the role of the trunk road also as a distributor route providing a by-pass function to the town Transport Assessments should be undertaken for all the proposed developments in combination, to ensure the cumulative impacts are considered and addressed. Could be achieved using the GY Transport Model Para 4.4.2; Suggest include traffic management / demand management measures and the need for travel planning
GY/LPA/E/0130b/634	Highways Agency	Eric	Cooper	Spatial Strategy & Development Principles and Policies	The proposals will significantly redistribute traffic around the town; residential development could generate significant am peak additional trips – the AAP should include detailed information of the effects and how they can be managed, along with the implication of growth on the rest of the Borough and impacts on the highway network Support sustainable transport proposals in connection with mixed use Suggest that include the principle that private car based trips would not seek use of the strategic road network in the absence of a local alternative to ensure that the trunk road meets primary purpose of proving access to the ports
GY/LPA/E/0130b/635	Highways Agency	Eric	Cooper	Runham Broad	Proposals will significantly increase traffic, particularly seasonal. Concern over A12 / A47 Vauxhall roundabout Request that the HA is involved in development proposals for this area and that any TAs meets requirements of C04/2001 and any updates
GY/LPA/E/0130b/636	Highways Agency	Eric	Cooper	Intervention Areas	<b>Breydon Reach</b> - No evidence in the AAP for traffic implications; could result in several hundred trips in am peak Support proposal for bus / rail interchange next to railway station and long term car park but analysis of secondary effect of increasing local car movements which would exacerbate nearby trunk road junctions will have to be considered <b>Haven Approach</b> - Support landscape intervention to create green corridor; Any development in the vicinity of Gapton Hall roundabout is likely to require upgrade to the junction <b>Ice House Quay</b> - Potential impact of residential units on the trunk road network and on Gapton Hall roundabout Para 5.5.2; Developer financial contributions should relate to all development and not just this area Request that the HA is involved in development proposals for this area and that TA is undertaken <b>Nelson's Bridge</b> - Support third River crossing to provide direct access to port Proposals will require further discussion once NCC study complete Impact upon South Denes will need to be discussed, as area will have direct access to the trunk road network via the bridge
GY/LPA/L/0191/960	Holmes & Hills				Support Develop attractive entrances to town
GY/LPA/L/0191/961	Holmes & Hills				A wide mix of housing should be provided, including affordable housing

# Great Yarmouth URC Area Action Plan

Preferred Options Consultation; Consultee Responses  
September 10, 2007

GY/LPA/L/0191/962	Holmes & Hills				<p>site can accommodate up to 700 dwellings Enhance Access Develop attractive entrances to town Marina at Bure Harbour should be promoted</p>
GY/LPA/L/0191/960	Holmes & Hills, on behalf of Landfast Marina Developents			Bure Harbour Quay	<p>Object to AAP proposals for approx 210 dwellings on this site. Our client has been formulating proposals for development here, which have received widespread support. A greater no. of units are required to make the scheme viable - this would be consistent with the allocation of 6000 houses to Great Yarmouth in the East of England Plan. Up to 700 units and 5 or 6 storeys would be appropriate, particularly since the EA has advised that ground floors should not be habitable. Any development will require improved access arrangements, probably from the SW linking to Tesco's roundabout. This is an important gateway for which a landmark development is appropriate and it is in part a previously developed site. There are therefore planning and economic reasons to increase density.</p>
GY/1st/E/0124/616	Hopkins Homes Ltd	Robert	Eburne		<p>We are pleased to announce the company's interest and involvement in the Urban Regeneration Company project at Great Yarmouth and Lowestoft spearheaded by 1st East. We have a strong track record in delivering high quality development projects and will be happy to work with 1st East to facilitate a flagship urban regeneration scheme at Blue Harbour Quay which is part of the Breydon Reach Intervention Area. The involvement of 1st East is already generating developer and business confidence and we feel that we can play a pivotal role in delivering the cornerstone of the 1st East vision for Great Yarmouth that: "people who value their environment, place and quality of life will want to live there". A wide mix of housing should be provided, including affordable housing</p>
GY/1st/W/1076/4902	Hopkins Homes Ltd	Robert	Eburne	Breydon Reach	<p>Support The Blue Harbour Quay site is an excellent opportunity to deliver a flagship, high quality, residential led mixed use scheme which can be a catalyst for further regeneration. Hopkins Homes Ltd will be a lead partner in this project and is committed to delivering an enduring contribution to the built environment here. From our analysis of the site constraints and options for redevelopment we take the view that the site has the capacity to accommodate a significantly greater number of residential units than the "Design and Land Use Components" Table suggests. We envisage a mixed use development centred upon a landmark building which would see the density of the site significantly increase without compromising the overall appearance of the wider townscape.</p>

# Great Yarmouth URC Area Action Plan

Preferred Options Consultation; Consultee Responses  
September 10, 2007

GY/LPA/E/0125/617	House Builders Federation	Paul	Cronk		<p>Policies need to be underpinned by evidence for affordable housing</p> <p>The Council will need to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Have a flexible responsive supply of land managed in a way that makes efficient and effective use of land, including the re-use of previously developed land, where appropriate</li> <li>Be market responsive</li> <li>Work collaboratively with stakeholders (such as the HBF)</li> <li>Take account of the need to deliver low-cost market housing as part of the housing mix</li> <li>Set separate targets for social-rented and intermediate housing</li> <li>Take into account any physical, environmental, land ownership, land-use, investment constraints or risks associated with broad locations or specific sites, such as physical access restrictions, contamination, stability, flood risk, the need to protect natural resources e.g. water and biodiversity and complex land ownership issues</li> <li>Undertake a Sustainability Appraisal</li> <li>Include housing and local previously-developed land targets and trajectories, and strategies for bringing previously-developed land into housing use.</li> </ul> <p>Para 3.3.3 - PPG3 replaced by PPS3, with emphasis that sufficient suitable, viable and deliverable development sites are bought forward in order to ensure delivery rates are achieved.</p> <p>Para. 3.3.4 &amp; 3.3.5 - Support market related housing including sufficient family housing provision, rather than over-emphasis on high-density flat development</p> <p>Unclear evidence base to justify the provision of roof gardens (particularly in respect of family accommodation).</p> <p>Para. 4.3.10 &amp; 4.3.11 Compliance with BREEAM and Eco Homes standards no longer required given the code for sustainable homes, which will shortly replace these</p> <p>Environmental demands on new homes will seriously damage ability to reach the 200,000, zero carbon target by 2016; undermine building efficiency and product standardisation at the expense of longer-term, more viable solutions</p> <p>Lack of expertise to set achievable standards or understand the impact of the demands on the financial viability of development</p> <p>Conflict between setting standards through building regulations and planning - if imposed by planning, risk of poor understanding of technology or contribution to carbon reduction. HBF favours new technical requirements set out through building regulations or the Code for Sustainable Homes, rather than via local planning policies</p> <p>Renewable energy production requirements must be practical; financial viability and future maintenance and conform with the East of England Plan or national policy. Smaller-scale developments are unlikely to be capable of providing such production due to cost</p> <p>Lifetime homes standard has no status but already dealt with by building regulations</p> <p>Developers must, as a matter of law comply with the Building Regulations; excessive and unwarranted to require all dwellings to be built to such standards. Require larger plot sizes and result in lower density developments being achieved.</p> <p>Para. 4.4.3 - Planning Obligation requirements to be set out in a DPD rather than a SPD, subjected to public scrutiny.</p> <p>Para. 5.3.18 - No evidence base why parking standards should be more restrictive than in the past; government policy is to deter car use by ensuring that alternative transport choices are available, not to reduce actual car ownership. Latest government policy shifting away from restrictive parking standards, towards flexible approach of local characteristics.</p>
GY/LPA/Q/0171/835	John Martin Construction	Malcolm	Mc Allister	Runham Broad	<p>Support</p> <p>Tourism should be encouraged</p> <p>Diversify Economy</p>
GY/LPA/Q/0171/836	John Martin Construction	Malcolm	Mc Allister	Breydon Reach	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0171/837	John Martin Construction	Malcolm	Mc Allister	Haven Approach	<p>Support</p> <p>Improve access to and maintenance of existing and provide more open space is required</p>
GY/LPA/Q/0171/838	John Martin Construction	Malcolm	Mc Allister	Ice House Quay	<p>Support</p> <p>Ensure that the potential of the waterfront is fully exploited</p>

# Great Yarmouth URC Area Action Plan

Preferred Options Consultation; Consultee Responses  
September 10, 2007

GY/LPA/Q/0171/839	John Martin Construction	Malcolm	Mc Allister	South Denes	Support Tourism should be encouraged
GY/LPA/Q/0171/840	John Martin Construction	Malcolm	Mc Allister	Nelson's Bridge	Support Further river crossing should be provided
GY/LPA/L/0189/955	Michael Haslam Associates Ltd, on behalf of Terry Hall	Michael	Haslam		Object to the designation of Goreleston as being only suitable for "small scale" regeneration. This should be omitted to just read regeneration.
GY/LPA/Q/0017/0031	Midland Hotel			Runham Broad	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0017/0032	Midland Hotel			Breydon Reach	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0017/0033	Midland Hotel			Haven Approach	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0017/0034	Midland Hotel			Ice House Quay	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0017/0035	Midland Hotel			South Denes	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0017/0036	Midland Hotel			Nelson's Bridge	Support
LWGY/HAL/E/1125/5266	National Grid	Mark	Alcock		<p>National Grid Property Holdings Ltd own two sites within the area covered by the Great Yarmouth and Waveney AAP (Preferred Options and Sustainability Appraisal document). These are Southtown Road/Suffolk Road, Gorleston and Admiralty Road, Great Yarmouth.</p> <p>The main aim of National Grid Property is to remove environmental liabilities and to maximise the potential value of land within its portfolio. Both sites at the present time do contain operational gas equipment/depot buildings, including gas holders at the Admiralty Road site. However significant areas of both sites are unoccupied and therefore available for development in the short to medium term. Both sites should therefore be considered in the future development of AAP documents.</p> <p>The Admiralty Road site is abutted on two sides by established residential property. The site lies within a proposed mixed use predominantly residential area inside the URC boundary. The removal of the gas holders would allow the future potential of the site to be comprehensively investigated resulting in a positive influence on the surrounding URC area designated an environmental improvement area. It is important to state that National Grid have full ownership of the entire site and on this basis fully control the entire land holding.</p> <p>It is noted within the Preferred Options document that the site is designated as a landscaped open space area. Clearly this results in some concern for National Grid as the future potential to investigate development and regeneration of the site would be detrimentally affected due to low site values. The potential for the National Grid site to be developed as residential led mixed use scheme should be outlined at this stage of the plan formation to allow flexibility thereby maximising potential to achieve a catalyst for regeneration in the local area. This approach fully supports 1st East's objective to stimulate significant increased levels of growth and to fully harness the potential of the area. Landscaping and high quality design should be a pre-requisite for future redevelopment in order to achieve significant environmental improvement, with open space distributed evenly throughout proposed built up regeneration areas.</p> <p>The National Grid site is located within an accessible and sustainable location and therefore offers the potential to create a catalyst for sustainable development where people can live and work in close proximity to services, employment and infrastructure as envisaged within the AAP.</p>

# Great Yarmouth URC Area Action Plan

Preferred Options Consultation; Consultee Responses  
September 10, 2007

					I would be grateful if you would consider the comments made on behalf of National Grid at this early stage and keep me informed throughout future stages of the Area Action Plan formation.
GY/LPA/E/0014/0022	Natural England	Clive	Doarks	1.2.1	Support integration with the National Park
GY/LPA/E/0014/896	Natural England	Clive	Doarks	Para 4.3 Development Principles	Support Design for Biodiversity principles
GY/LPA/E/0014/897	Natural England	Clive	Doarks	Runham Broad	Support as our consultation principles have been considered Will encourage early production of an Appropriate Assessment – see framework template
GY/LPA/E/0014/898	Natural England	Clive	Doarks	Haven Approach	Support but need to maximize biodiversity interest, green corridors and links to the countryside
GY/LPA/E/0014/899	Natural England	Clive	Doarks	Para. 5.2.7	Lack of clarity over link road alignment but no objection as long as located within brownfield land of Bure Loop – support both alignments shown. Note that do not support the protected route shown in the Local Plan as will be constraint to Runham Broad delivery
GY/LPA/E/0014/900	Natural England	Clive	Doarks	Para 1.7	Need to consider better links between coast and Broads
GY/LPA/E/0014/901	Natural England	Clive	Doarks	Par.a 2.1.2	Need to add in goal about recognizing that people value their environment, place and quality of life
GY/LPA/E/0014/902	Natural England	Clive	Doarks	Para. 3.3	Support housing strategy with general principle that new housing follows new local employment opportunities
GY/LPA/E/0014/903	Natural England	Clive	Doarks	Para. 4.3.5	Support principle that out of town / edge of town locations will be discouraged
GY/LPA/E/0014/904	Natural England	Clive	Doarks	Para. 4.3.18	Support SUDs in principle, but concern over risk of flooding to low lying areas
GY/LPA/E/0014/905	Natural England	Clive	Doarks	Para. 4.3.19	Support water conservation measures but concern over impact on demand
GY/LPA/E/0014/906	Natural England	Clive	Doarks	Para. 4.3.20	Support
GY/LPA/E/0014/907	Natural England	Clive	Doarks	Para. 4.3.25	Lack of clarity over technical feasibility of maintaining flood protection – may result in need for a tidal barrier, with damaging changes to hydrology etc
GY/LPA/E/0014/908	Natural England	Clive	Doarks	Para. 5.10	Support no development on greenfield land
GY/LPA/E/0014/909	Natural England	Clive	Doarks	2.24.1	Aspects and issues omitted compared to 1.6.1 in AAP
GY/LPA/E/0014/910	Natural England	Clive	Doarks	4.3.35	Support SUDs in principle, but concern over risk of flooding to low lying areas
GY/LPA/E/0014/911	Natural England	Clive	Doarks	6.1.1.	support
GY/LPA/E/0014/912	Natural England	Clive	Doarks	6.2.2	Support
GY/LPA/E/0014/913	Natural England	Clive	Doarks	2.4	mention 'Biodiversity and Habitat Regualtion Sites' in this section
GY/LPA/E/0014/914	Natural England	Clive	Doarks	3.15	section should include sentence- see page 4 of response
GY/LPA/E/0014/915	Natural England	Clive	Doarks	3.18.4	SA - exploration necessary during SA and AA
GY/LPA/E/0014/916	Natural England	Clive	Doarks	table 5.1	SA - reference to english nature should read Natural England
GY/LPA/E/0014/917	Natural England	Clive	Doarks	table 5.2	SA - Water resources should be added as a sustainability objective
GY/LPA/E/0014/918	Natural England	Clive	Doarks	8.11.1	Biodiversity - specifications, see p.4 of response
GY/LPA/E/0014/919	Natural England	Clive	Doarks	8.11.8	Landscape and Townscape - visual impact analysis necessary and a design review mechanism.
GY/LPA/E/0014/920	Natural England	Clive	Doarks	8.11.9	Population and Human Health - How will leisure facilities and green spaces be provided and managed?

## Great Yarmouth URC Area Action Plan

Preferred Options Consultation; Consultee Responses  
September 10, 2007

GY/LPA/E/0123/615	Neighbourhood Management Officer	Rob	Gregory		Response includes draft action plan for neighbourhood management prog.& map of GY neighbourhood management area & full summary report of a community consultation Community inputs or forums and groups should be promoted to help shape development
GY/1st/E/0007/0007	Network Rail	Stephen	Austin		Following representation of inclusion of a site for development made at the Issues and Options stage, the AAP is unclear how this site could now be developed. Request views on proposed use / future development of site. Good opportunity for mixed use / commercial / residential development Concern over use of this site for transport interchange as isolated from station and request that alternative locations are considered Would like to see justification for use of this site as an interchange Would seek planning contributions for transport links (see list of requirements) from new development and would welcome pooling of contributions to mitigate combined impact on the railway
GY/LPA/L/0187/923	Norfolk Chamber of Commerce and Industry	Caroline	Williams		The Chamber supports regeneration and was delighted when 1st East was approved. However, the lack of economic justification in the AAP calls into question the economic viability of the proposals, is creating uncertainty in the marketplace and raising doubts that economic regeneration will be delivered sufficient to support the planned infrastructure and housing. Consultation with the business community has been ineffective - all companies affected should have direct, face to face contact. There are a number of incorrect assumptions in the AAP - e.g. concerning the ease of relocating businesses from the Eurocentre. A better indication of timescales for implementation is required.
GY/LPA/Q/0071/0335	Norfolk Constabulary	David	Law	Runham Broad	Support Area is in need of regeneration
GY/LPA/Q/0071/0336	Norfolk Constabulary	David	Law	Breydon Reach	Support Support improvement/revamp of train station
GY/LPA/Q/0071/0337	Norfolk Constabulary	David	Law	Haven Approach	Support Develop attractive entrances to town
GY/LPA/Q/0071/0338	Norfolk Constabulary	David	Law	Ice House Quay	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0071/0339	Norfolk Constabulary	David	Law	South Denes	Support Tourism should be encouraged Create more jobs and employment opportunities
GY/LPA/Q/0071/0340	Norfolk Constabulary	David	Law	Nelson's Bridge	Support Improve the congestion and problems relating to Gapton Hall Roundabout Further river crossing should be provided
GY/LPA/E/0115a/572	Norfolk County Council	Stephen	Faulkner		Support for over arching strategies and objectives
GY/LPA/E/0115b/573	Norfolk County Council	Stephen	Faulkner		Need to refer to requirements for Transport Assessments in relation to new housing provision
GY/LPA/E/0115b/574	Norfolk County Council	Stephen	Faulkner		Need to refer to planning contributions towards NCC services; education, libraries, adult social services and cross reference to NCC's Planning Obligations Standards

## Great Yarmouth URC Area Action Plan

Preferred Options Consultation; Consultee Responses  
September 10, 2007

GY/LPA/E/0115b/575	Norfolk County Council	Stephen	Faulkner		Reference to planning gain in the implementation section is misleading , reference should be to obligations; make clearer that contributions sought in the URC area are required to mitigate against impact of new development. Wider benefits / planning gain is different – reword
GY/LPA/E/0115c/576	Norfolk County Council	Stephen	Faulkner		A149 / A47 Bure Loop Link Road – reference to it should be removed from the AAP due to previous assessments indicating likelihood to create congestion at Vauxhall roundabout, unlikely to attract Government funding and priority is to deliver the Third River Crossing. However, principle could be revisited at time of next LDF review
GY/LPA/E/0115c/577	Norfolk County Council	Stephen	Faulkner		Pedestrian / cyclist link between A47 and A149 acceptable in principle
GY/LPA/E/0115c/578	Norfolk County Council	Stephen	Faulkner		Support priority for Third River Crossing; but to show a preferred alignment on a plan is prejudicial and misleading, plus a tunnel has not been ruled out; an indicative hatched line would be more appropriate
GY/LPA/E/0115c/579	Norfolk County Council	Stephen	Faulkner		Lack of clarity on funding of development
GY/LPA/E/0115c/580	Norfolk County Council	Stephen	Faulkner	Para. 4.2.3	Orientation towards the sea at South Denes is required as well as over the river
GY/LPA/E/0115c/581	Norfolk County Council	Stephen	Faulkner	Para. 5.7.5 Nelson's Monument	Support environmental enhancement in this area
GY/LPA/E/0115c/582	Norfolk County Council	Stephen	Faulkner	Para. 4.3.20 Biodiversity	Need to make reference to future maintenance of open space
GY/LPA/E/0115c/583	Norfolk County Council	Stephen	Faulkner	Para. 5.2.7 Transport	No evidence provided to support statement that traffic congestion and air quality will be improved with the A47 / A149 Link Road
GY/LPA/E/0115c/584	Norfolk County Council	Stephen	Faulkner	Runham Broad Table 5.1	Unclear exactly what mixed uses will comprise (e.g. scale / type of retail). Large scale retail that does not relate to tourism will not be supported Need to clarify what is happening to the adult social services portacabins located in the green space on the map, east of the river. Suggest that current NCC site is redeveloped for residential, leisure, tourism or community use
GY/LPA/E/0115c/585	Norfolk County Council	Stephen	Faulkner	Ice House Quay Para. 5.5.2	Concern over wide range of speciality retail proposed; neighbourhood, bars, restaurants more appropriate
GY/LPA/E/0115c/586	Norfolk County Council	Stephen	Faulkner	Maps	Poor quality
GY/LPA/E/0115c/587	Norfolk County Council	Stephen	Faulkner	Impact on Schools	Little capacity to absorb additional primary at present, although levels are falling, see Spring 2007 pupil forecasts. Requirement for a new primary school will also depend on level of flats, a site being available and delivery through planning contributions Sites are restricted on expansion – primary and secondary Note that schools are employers and suitable housing in locality is required Mention travel to and from schools and role of School Travel Plans in section 5.13 If demolition of housing was to occur, NCC would need to know for forecasting

## Great Yarmouth URC Area Action Plan

Preferred Options Consultation; Consultee Responses  
September 10, 2007

GY/LPA/E/0115c/588	Norfolk County Council	Stephen	Faulkner	Para. 4.3.17	Greater reference to flood risk and drainage, with explanation of mitigation
GY/LPA/E/0115c/589	Norfolk County Council	Stephen	Faulkner	5.2	clarification of site availability necessary Area is in need of regeneration
GY/LPA/E/0185/893	Norfolk Wildlife Trust	John	Hiskett		I have no problem with the visions for each action area. However I am keen to comment on some of these proposals once more detailed plans are drawn up. At Runham Broad there is great scope for new wildlife and habitat creation as highlighted in the 1st East brochure. However, there is also potential for more local habitat creation for the other proposal areas. This is particularly true for the Haven Approach where the area adjacent to the roundabout currently consists of Wetland habitat. Enhancement in this area could contribute to the ecological network idea by helping to create a green wedge in to the town.
GY/LPA/L/0934/4110	Orbit Housing Group Ltd.	Brian	Edwards		acknowledgement letter
GY/LPA/L/0190/956	Pasta Foods	Peter	Barry	Haven Approach	Objects to arbitrary removal of its warehouse and car park in Haven Approach plans. Also objects to the designation of the adjacent vacant land for leisure and future employment uses – which are unlikely to be economically viable. 1st East has displayed a lack of consultation and commercial / financial knowledge
GY/LPA/L/0190/957	Pasta Foods	Peter	Barry	Ice House Quay	Objects to arbitrary removal of its warehouse and car park in Haven Approach plans. Also objects to the designation of the adjacent vacant land for leisure and future employment uses – which are unlikely to be economically viable. 1st East has displayed a lack of consultation and commercial / financial knowledge. Object to replacement of its mill with mixed use development in the Ice House Quay proposal. The mill is a key element in the overall production process and one of only two semolina mills in the UK. Pasta Foods is the largest manufacturing employer in Great Yarmouth, employing 130 people. There would be significant costs involved in relocating heavy machinery. Press reports are creating uncertainty in the work force and new investment planning. The removal of warehousing will either push up the cost of warehousing generally, or, if it is to be relocated to South Denes, will be affected by congestion from East Port and significant housing proposed by 1st East. There is a lack of a clear infrastructure plan and the effects of this on congestion are a concern, particularly around the companys premises. Seeking legal costs See reply from Peter Warner – asks for clarification of company's future vision if Council are to support the points raised
GY/LPA/L/0935/4114	Peacock & Smith, on behalf of WM Morrison Supermarkets				Support identification of sites for retail development and support proposals for North Quay to form an extension to the town centre. This will help foster a competitive and innovative retail sector. Any policy on SUDS and sustainable construction should retain flexibility to account for individual site conditions and subject to tests of viability and suitability.

## Great Yarmouth URC Area Action Plan

Preferred Options Consultation; Consultee Responses  
September 10, 2007

GY/LPA/E/0122/966	Pegasus Planning Group	Amanda	Dawson		Object Flooding concerns from climate change and sea level rise AAP /SA requirements do not comply with Planning Policy/Government guidance
GY/LPA/E/0122/614	Pegasus Planning Group, on behalf of CW and J Cargill	Amanda	Dawson		Object It is unclear how the figures in para. 1.4.4 on the residual housing requirement in the URC area were derived. References in para. 3.3.4 to "a maximum net density of 60dph" are not consistent with PPS3. It is unlikely that AAP figures of 1800-2000 houses will actually be delivered in Plan period as URC sites are complex and neither available or achievable. AAP does not properly reflect guidance on flooding in PPS25 and residential elements of ice House Quay, Breydon Reach and Runham Broad should be omitted as not suitable for sensitive housing.
GY/LPA/E/0122/963	Pegasus Planning Group, on behalf of CW and J Cargill	Amanda	Dawson		Object AAP /SA requirements do not comply with Planning Policy/Government guidance
GY/LPA/E/0122/964	Pegasus Planning Group, on behalf of CW and J Cargill	Amanda	Dawson		Object AAP /SA requirements do not comply with Planning Policy/Government guidance
GY/LPA/E/0122/965	Pegasus Planning Group, on behalf of CW and J Cargill	Amanda	Dawson		Object No new homes should be built Flooding concerns from climate change and sea level rise AAP /SA requirements do not comply with Planning Policy/Government guidance
GY/LPA/L/0184/883	RPS, on behalf of Pleasure and Leisure Corporation and Henry Boot Developments	Nick	Laister		Jointly, these companies propose a casino led complex south of the Pleasure Beach, which is estimated to create employment for 100 staff and bring other substantial investment and economic benefits. Support the identification on the Proposals Map of a site for leisure/tourism and a new landmark. Amend land use proposals map to correctly show boundaries of the casino site. Move leisure symbol to centre of site. Mark their proposed car park site on plan. Support proposals for Nelson's bridge and upgrade of Nelson's monument, but land shown on seafront for environmental enhancement conflicts with plans for casino. Support proposals to restrict greenfield development near Gapton Hall roundabout. An appropriate level of parking must be provided for in leisure developments, therefore references in AAP to restrictive levels of parking should not apply
GY/LPA/L/0939/4121	RSPB	Kate	Kelly		All allocations must have regard to Sites of Nature Conservation Interest
GY/LPA/L/0939/4122	RSPB	Kate	Kelly		Policies to be screened in accordance with Habitat Regulations to ensure allocations do not cause significant effect
GY/LPA/L/0939/4123	RSPB	Kate	Kelly		AAP boundary contains or is adjacent to; Breydon Water SSSI, SPA, Ramsar, Halvergate marshes SSSI, GY North Denes SSSI, SPA, Broadland Ramsar SPA and The Broads SAC, Winterton-Horsey Dunes SSSI, SAC (details supplied)
GY/LPA/L/0939/4124	RSPB	Kate	Kelly	Economic Strategy	Supports diversification of tourism industry, including use of The Broads Promotes Ecotourism; breaks away from traditional seasonal patterns, promoting quiet recreational opportunities and also benefits local communities

## Great Yarmouth URC Area Action Plan

Preferred Options Consultation; Consultee Responses  
September 10, 2007

GY/LPA/L/0939/4125	RSPB	Kate	Kelly	Section 4.3 – Development Principles	Supports commitment to reducing carbon emissions and development 10,000 sq.m+ or 10+ units incorporating renewable energy techniques, reaching BREEAM “very good” and using sustainable building materials Support SUDs and Water Conservation Strategies on 10+ units or 0.1ha Supports inclusion of biodiversity opportunities but would like to see targets for incorporation
GY/LPA/L/0939/4126	RSPB	Kate	Kelly	Runham Broad	Supports accessible green space Supports new Broad and environmental education centre Development must not compromise ability for Broad to support a large high tide roost Ensure no impact on nearby designated nature conservation sites and hydrology Support proposal as it adopts concepts of Bure Loop
GY/LPA/L/0939/4127	RSPB	Kate	Kelly	Breydon Reach	Potential impact on ground percolation and surface run off to be considered as less than 400m from SSSI and SPA Concern about increased marine traffic and impact on nature conservation Against dredging Concern over increased noise, movement and lighting on Breydon Water and Broadland
GY/LPA/L/0939/4128	RSPB	Kate	Kelly	Nelson’s Bridge	Concern over impact on hydrological and sedimentation regimes of river if bridge supports located in channel Concern over surface water run off from bridge into river and impact on Breydon Water SSSI Concern that bridge will prevent or displace bird flight from coast inland
GY/LPA/L/0939/4129	RSPB	Kate	Kelly	Sustainable Development	BREEAM rating of 'very good' should be achieved by homes.
GY/LPA/L/0939/4130	RSPB	Kate	Kelly	SUDS	Support
GY/LPA/L/0939/4131	RSPB	Kate	Kelly	Water Conservation	Support
GY/LPA/L/0939/4132	RSPB	Kate	Kelly	Design for Biodiversity	Support. targets should be set for incorporating biodiversity
GY/LPA/L/0939/4133	RSPB	Kate	Kelly	Biodiversity	Support Improve access to and maintenance of existing and provide more open space
GY/LPA/L/0939/4134	RSPB	Kate	Kelly	Recreation Sport and Leisure	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0143/677	Scott Wilson	Barrie	Burgess		Support - Cycle and pedestrian routes should be provided and improved should be a priority Support for the whole scheme
GY/LPA/Q/0143/678	Scott Wilson	Barrie	Burgess	Runham Broad	Support Provide social, community and arts facilities Develop attractive entrances to town
GY/LPA/Q/0143/679	Scott Wilson	Barrie	Burgess	Breydon Reach	Support Develop attractive entrances to town
GY/LPA/Q/0143/680	Scott Wilson	Barrie	Burgess	Haven Approach	Support - but existing businesses need close involvement Transport links to Bure marina also useful
GY/LPA/Q/0143/681	Scott Wilson	Barrie	Burgess	Ice House Quay	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0143/682	Scott Wilson	Barrie	Burgess	South Denes	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0143/683	Scott Wilson	Barrie	Burgess	Nelson's Bridge	Support - work should start asap
GY/LPA/Q/0143/684	Scott Wilson	Barrie	Burgess		

## Great Yarmouth URC Area Action Plan

Preferred Options Consultation; Consultee Responses  
September 10, 2007

GY/LPA/RF/0186/894	Scroby Fayre Group	David	Fish	Runham Broad	Object Local plan proposes growth and expansion of Euro Centre Industrial Area Traffic problems should be addressed Local Organisations/Business and workers should be supported
GY/LPA/RF/0186/895	Scroby Fayre Group	David	Fish	Haven Approach	Object The land in the plan is owned by Scroby Fayre, purchased to enable the growth of industry. Company has not been consulted.
GYLW/1st/W/1056/4753	Silverteam Limited	Stuart	Harvey	Runham Broad	Support Great Yarmouth is already a tourist destination enhancing the natural area and promoting the Broads can only bring prosperity.
GYLW/1st/W/1056/4754	Silverteam Limited	Stuart	Harvey	Breydon Reach	Support New affordable housing is desperately needed
GYLW/1st/W/1056/4755	Silverteam Limited	Stuart	Harvey	Ice House Quay	Support
GYLW/1st/W/1056/4756	Silverteam Limited	Stuart	Harvey	Haven Approach	Support
GYLW/1st/W/1056/4757	Silverteam Limited	Stuart	Harvey	Nelsons' Bridge	Support
GYLW/1st/W/1056/4758	Silverteam Limited	Stuart	Harvey	South Denes	Support
GY/LPA/L/0181/868	Smiths Gore	William	Edwards	Runham Broad	Client owns land in River Bure Loop. Want to co-operate with council, but want to be kept informed.
GY/LPA/L/0932/4104	Somerton Parish Council	Norman	Grayling		Support in general but consider that plans are ambitious. Consideration to incorporate a surge tide barrier into the construction of the Third Crossing to protect the lower urban area. Support improvement to Gapton to relieve congestion. Consider existing industry; businesses should not be forced to relocate outside Borough. Support any traffic congestion relief proposals but question funding. Support Nelson's Bridge if Outer Harbour goes ahead. A11 and A12 will need upgrade.
GY/LPA/E/0015/0023	Sport England (East)	Philip	Raiswell		Support  It is clear from the linear, high density and brownfield nature of most of the development areas that it will be difficult to provide significant on-site sport and recreational facilities, particularly open space/playing fields. We therefore believe that a s106 obligation strategy should look to secure contributions towards off-site provision in these areas, based on local needs which might be the provision of new facilities or improvements to the existing stock. Sport England can play an active role in identifying those needs, using the planning tools referred to above, and in the preparation of an SPD seeking to secure infrastructure payments from the proposed new developments. In addition Sport England believes that significant additional housing such as Ice House Quay (1200 units) will generate significant demand for indoor sports facilities such as sports halls and swimming pools, demands that can be estimated using the Sports Facility Calculator referred to above. The demand may not be sufficient to justify new facilities but would be significant enough to justify quantitative or qualitative improvements to existing facilities. Sport England would advocate the use of the Calculator to estimate and quantify the level of contribution required to off-set this additional demand, and we would be happy to meet up with officers to discuss the use of the SFC for these purposes. Provision of sports /leisure/Recreation/entertainment/ arts facilities/ community centres necessary S106 contributions are necessary eg. for cultural & leisure facilities & activities Ice House should be developed Ensure that the potential of the waterways are fully exploited. Support comprehensive regeneration programme for the Great Yarmouth- Lowestoft sub-region. Sport England can play an active role in helping the various local authorities and the URC to plan and secure new facilities through the following planning tools; 1) Active Places ( <a href="http://www.activeplaces.com">www.activeplaces.com</a> ) – the national sports facility database, can be used to help audit existing provision of sports facilities within the URC area.

# Great Yarmouth URC Area Action Plan

Preferred Options Consultation; Consultee Responses  
September 10, 2007

					<p>2) Active Places Power (<a href="http://www.activeplacespower.com">www.activeplacespower.com</a>) – a collection of strategic planning tools which can help assess relative provision of sports facilities within a local authority area using strategic planning tools such as 'Facilities per 1000 population'. Both Great Yarmouth Borough Council and Waveney District Council are registered to use this facility.</p> <p>3) Sports Facility Calculator (SFC) – this planning tool estimates the level of demand for new built sports facilities (sports halls, swimming pools, indoor bowls) generated by new housing developments. It also estimates the cost of providing such facilities and can therefore help estimate committed sums for s106 obligations towards such provision. The SFC can be downloaded from the Sport England website at <a href="http://www.sportengland.org/spatialplanning">www.sportengland.org/spatialplanning</a></p> <p>4) Active Design- practical guidance for local authorities in integrating sport and physical activity into master plans for new strategic housing or mixed use developments. Again, this guidance can be downloaded from the Sport England website.</p> <p>Support opening up waterfront locations for sport and physical activity opportunities; will help to make a revitalized, attractive place to live for residents of new and existing communities.</p>
GY/1st/L/0132/4108	St Andrews Riverside Triangle (START)	Margaret	Ward		<p>START submitted detailed views to GYBC in 2003 and reiterate and update many of these comments (not all of which relate to the URC area). Key comments include the importance of recognising that the infrastructure of the Riverside area is neglected and needs greater priority, by appointment of a lead officer within GYBC. Buildings in the 2marsh" area should be low rise and take account of flood risk. Then high Street is an important retail asset and further development opportunities should be encouraged. Pedestrian access and signage to Riverside needs to be improved. Commercial and industrial activities should be retained and enhanced. Volunteer Lifeboat station should be listed and linked to RNLI and gift shop, perhaps made a museum of Gorleston fishing. Conservation Area Plan should be adopted. START assumes Third Crossing will be built at Maltings/Southtown Rd. This should be a major priority and is vital to regeneration of Southtown and Gorleston and to improved links to Yarmouth. Theatre site on waterfront is supported and should include an art gallery. Opportunities for riverside housing are recognised.</p>
GY/1st/L/0132/4107	START	Margaret	Ward		<p>Local Organisations/Business and workers should be supported</p>
GYLW/1st/WEB/0005/0005	Suffolk Constabulary	Bruce	Granger		<p>Ground floor levels to be used as car parking only Infrastructure in place as a priority Priorities for both Great Yarmouth and Gorleston are further bridges over rivers, possibly 2 at GY, to link in with Caister Road Consideration of redevelopment of low lying quaysides; South Denes North Denes could be redeveloped to incorporate car parking / park and ride but should also incorporate safe car parking criteria as well as leisure / refreshment facilities (removing areas of Marham Grass to give clear sand areas) South of Pleasure Beach also needs parking facilities for visitors, especially if a third river crossing could take them direct to this area; this would avoid excessive traffic having to travel through the town / seafront Proposals would probably increase usage of seafront facilities.</p>
GY/LPA/Q/0102/0512	Swift Taxis and Private Hire Ltd	Michael	Smith	Runham Broad	<p>Support Tourism should be encouraged</p>
GY/LPA/Q/0102/0513	Swift Taxis and Private Hire Ltd	Michael	Smith	Breydon Reach	<p>Support Area is in need of regeneration</p>

## Great Yarmouth URC Area Action Plan

Preferred Options Consultation; Consultee Responses  
September 10, 2007

GY/LPA/Q/0102/0514	Swift Taxis and Private Hire Ltd	Michael	Smith	Haven Approach	Support Area is in need of regeneration
GY/LPA/Q/0102/0515	Swift Taxis and Private Hire Ltd	Michael	Smith	Ice House Quay	Support Policies should be included to protect and enhance cultural heritage
GY/LPA/Q/0102/0516	Swift Taxis and Private Hire Ltd	Michael	Smith	South Denes	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0102/0517	Swift Taxis and Private Hire Ltd	Michael	Smith	Nelson's Bridge	Support
GY/LPA/L/0111/0567	The Clearance Hotel	Max	Rockach		Dykes near Breydon Water need to be raised to prevent flooding Traffic bottleneck opposite Coopers & Prince of Wales Against redevelopment of Market Rows, this should be restored Opposed to third crossing and Outer Harbour St Georges Theatre area needs environmental improvements All flood defences need to be strengthened
GY/LPA/L/0180/867	The Imperial Hotel	Aileen	Mobbs		Support Tourism should be encouraged
GY/LPA/E/0016/0024	The Theatres Trust	Rose	Freeman		Policies should be included to protect and enhance cultural heritage
GY/LPA/E/0016/0025	The Theatres Trust	Rose	Freeman		Provide social, community and arts facilities Policies should be included to protect and enhance cultural heritage
GY/LPA/E/0016/0026	The Theatres Trust	Rose	Freeman		It is important that the need for developer contributions for cultural activities and facilities is identified as we are concerned and wish to be assured that theatre buildings will benefit appropriately under the terms of S106 Agreements and we note that an SPD will be prepared to develop detailed policies. Investing time and resources in such a document will set down clearly what is required of the developer and other funding partners. S106 contributions are necessary eg. for cultural & leisure facilities & activities
GY/LPA/E/0016/0027	The Theatres Trust	Rose	Freeman		Provide social, community and arts facilities
GY/LPA/E/0016/0028	The Theatres Trust	Rose	Freeman		Parking policies should consider contributors to the economy eg. Theatres, cultural and entertainment facilities, visitors
GY/LPA/E/0016/0029	The Theatres Trust	Rose	Freeman		Policies should be included to protect and enhance cultural heritage
GY/LPA/E/0016/0030	The Theatres Trust	Rose	Freeman		Support We support the development of a cultural quarter in the King Street area which would benefit St George's Theatre and suggest that you consider the creation of a new entertainment quarter at an appropriate location on the seafront to promote regeneration. Provide social, community and arts facilities Policies should be included to protect and enhance cultural heritage
GY/LPA/L/0938/4119	Vauxhall Holiday Park Limited	Martin	Kershen	Runham Broad	Provide expert advice on geological effects of new water area. Confirm that access road is not through Holiday Park. A wide mix of housing should be provided, including affordable housing
GY/LPA/L/0938/4120	Vauxhall Holiday Park Limited	Martin	Kershen		Marina on Cobholm site should be in Runham. Provide social, community and arts facilities

## Great Yarmouth URC Area Action Plan

Preferred Options Consultation; Consultee Responses  
September 10, 2007

GY/LPA/E/0121/613	Winterton on Sea Parish Council	Pam	Winter		<p>What is timescale? Not enough time to comment properly. Preferred Options - 1st Breydon Reach. Not easy to understand; seems very complicated, could have been simpler. High aspirations for the area. How much did this document cost to produce? Concerns raised about what is reported in the press that existing firms like Pasta Foods are being refused expansion because 1st East want to build river frontage apartments, cafes etc. A blow to a major employer in the town; sufficient remuneration if forced to relocate. Address the congestion at Gapton Hall roundabout. Should start as soon as possible.</p>
GY/LPA/L/0177/858	Yarmouth Stadium	Stephen	Franklin	South Denes	<p>large casino is vital in regeneration of GY Further river crossing should be provided Local Organisations/Business and workers should be supported Diversify Economy Create more jobs and employment opportunities</p>
GY/1st/W/0966/4197		Charles	Selbourne	Runham Broad	<p>Support Similar to Whitlingham Broad in Norwich this area would soon be welcomed by those in tourist industry. Traffic routes from Acle Straight must be simplified, with a new road taking flow north of Vauxhall towards Heliport/Caister</p>
GY/1st/W/0966/4198		Charles	Selbourne	Breydon Reach	<p>Support North Quay would be an ideal location for some tall skyscraper homes, the views up and down river plus over to Breydon water, would be sought by many prestigious companies and home owners. The marina is a great need and is placed well for Sea-going, Bure and Yare traffic. The main approach to the town should be over this bridge, widened for a dual carriage way each way, to relieve Haven Bridge, and bring traffic round the back (North) into town centre</p>
GY/1st/W/0966/4199		Charles	Selbourne	Ice House Quay	<p>Support Provide social, community and arts facilities</p>
GY/1st/W/0966/4200		Charles	Selbourne	Haven Approach	<p>Object I don't think this should be a main approach to Gt Yarmouth, as the bridge is too small - but is ideal for pedestrian use - and the area by town hall cannot take through traffic, which should go north, or south via new 'Nelson' Bridge. Make this area a pedestrian park area.</p>
GY/1st/W/0966/4201		Charles	Selbourne	Nelsons' Bridge	<p>Support Has anyone thought of installing a skytrain/monorail transport facility to move people between Gt Yarmouth and Gorleston/Gapton areas? Quiet, exciting, unobtrusive - like in Sydney, Aus. Further river crossing should be provided</p>
GY/1st/W/0966/4202		Charles	Selbourne	South Denes	<p>Support Access to this area should be restricted to Port needs</p>

# Great Yarmouth URC Area Action Plan

Preferred Options Consultation; Consultee Responses  
September 10, 2007

GY/1st/W/1041/4686				Runham Broad	Object Not enough details given at this stage Threat of CPO Will create uncertainty for current businesses and hold back their short term development
GY/1st/W/1042/4687				Runham Broad	Object Incorrect assumption in documentation. Lack of consultation with current business has created unnecessary uncertainty for capital expenditure on the site with the associated job creation The area sustains heavy industry with very significant employment Previous attempts to relocate have proven cost prohibitive The current uncertainty is destroying the confidence to create new opportunity
GY/1st/W/1046/4702		Ian	McFadyen	Runham Broad	Object This proposal will significantly disrupt and possibly destroy many of the thriving businesses on the Eurocentre site. No suitable replacement sites are available. Inadequate thought has been given to the cost and practicalities of relocating an entire business park. Communication links at S. Denes will be poor for many years to come. Eurocentre could be a viable commercial business park with a relatively small amount invested in it. The road links to the A47 are better at Eurocentre than almost any other business park in GY, so why compound traffic problems by trying to move business to S. Denes? Consultation with the businesses and landlords on Eurocentre has been totally inadequate and many of the assumptions and supposed 'facts' regarding Eurocentre, which have been used to support the case for the Runham Broad proposal, are plainly wrong. The current uncertainty is already impacting investment decisions at Eurocentre. As a result of this proposal my firm will now seriously evaluate the benefits of relocating to Norwich or Aberdeen.
GY/1st/W/1048/4705		Paul	Temple	Breydon Reach	Support
GY/1st/W/1048/4706		Paul	Temple	Ice House Quay	Support
GY/1st/W/1048/4707		Paul	Temple	Haven Approach	Support
GY/1st/W/1048/4708		Paul	Temple	Nelsons' Bridge	Support Vital and all efforts should be made to bring forward the date for completion. In taking the commercial from Ice House Quay the existing road links will be unable to cope
GY/1st/W/1049/4709				Runham Broad	Object This is a really bad idea, why ruin an area that has a multitude of flourishing businesses that have been in existence for many many years. I doubt that anyone from 1st East has been to this area or they would know this fact. There are enough leisure broads in the area, as there are also plenty of hotels, we need to regenerate the infrastructure that is already there instead of bulldozing peoples livelihoods that they have taken years to build up. Come on 1st East, walk on down to the Eurocentre, come and see the thriving business community we have down here! The only thing that I can see that would be useful is a separate entrance for the commercial traffic, but without developers being able to build housing there would be no-one to pay for it. Maps are not much use.
GY/1st/W/1049/4710				Breydon Reach	Object We already have plans for a new outer harbour, just how many harbours do we need?

## Great Yarmouth URC Area Action Plan

### Preferred Options Consultation; Consultee Responses

September 10, 2007

GY/1st/W/1049/4711				Ice House Quay	Support If you are relating to the old derelict warehousing area of the quay, then a big resounding yes to anything that is going to utilise this eyesore of an area.
GY/1st/W/1049/4712				Haven Approach	Object What would happen to Pasta Foods? there is nothing much wrong with the approach as it is, just one small yard that used to be Spandlers (I believe) that needs to be utilised.
GY/1st/W/1049/4713				Nelsons' Bridge	Object
GY/1st/W/1049/4714				South Denes	Support There are a lot of empty units on the South Denes estate, like the idea of renewable energy agenda.
GYLW/1st/W/0969/4210				Runham Broad	Support
GYLW/1st/W/0969/4211				Breydon Reach	Support
GYLW/1st/W/0969/4212				Haven Approach	Support
GYLW/1st/W/0969/4213				Nelsons' Bridge	Support
GYLW/1st/W/0969/4214				South Denes	Support
GYLW/1st/W/0970/4221		A	Richardson	Runham Broad	Support anything that that increases and improves the environment for both humans and creatures and plants has got to be good.
GYLW/1st/W/0970/4222		A	Richardson	Breydon Reach	Support as long as there is provision made in planning against flooding
GYLW/1st/W/0970/4223		A	Richardson	Ice House Quay	Support can only improve this area, once again flooding prevention
GYLW/1st/W/0970/4224		A	Richardson	Haven Approach	Support
GYLW/1st/W/0970/4225		A	Richardson	Nelsons' Bridge	Support the river crossing must be a second priority to Lowestoft's 3rd crossing.
GYLW/1st/W/0970/4226		A	Richardson	South Denes	Support
GYLW/1st/W/1032/4618		Paul	Belton	Runham Broad	Support This will give Gt Yarmouth a real sense of connection to the Broads and not to have its back turned on it.

## Great Yarmouth URC Area Action Plan

### Preferred Options Consultation; Consultee Responses

September 10, 2007

GYLW/1st/W/1032/4619		Paul	Belton	Breydon Reach	Support As above plus a good use of land for a mix of homes and commercial use. The marina will be a welcome boast to the economy and increase visitor number
GYLW/1st/W/1032/4620		Paul	Belton	Ice House Quay	Support Will enhance the water front-but ensure that public open space is built into the plans
GYLW/1st/W/1032/4621		Paul	Belton	Haven Approach	Support After the removal of the railway line and station I always felt that this area lacked focus, the proposals should address these issues.
GYLW/1st/W/1032/4622		Paul	Belton	Nelsons' Bridge	Support A third crossing is much needed to ensure quality access to the south denes area
GYLW/1st/W/1032/4623		Paul	Belton	South Denes	Support Will ensure viability for all the other schemes, again ensure that quality public open space is built into the plans
GYLW/1st/W/1039/4661		Gary	Catchpole	Runham Broad	Support It will create more jobs and make good use of an area which at the moment is a bit of an eyesore
GYLW/1st/W/1039/4662		Gary	Catchpole	Breydon Reach	Support
GYLW/1st/W/1039/4663		Gary	Catchpole	Ice House Quay	Support
GYLW/1st/W/1039/4664		Gary	Catchpole	Haven Approach	Support
GYLW/1st/W/1039/4665		Gary	Catchpole	Nelsons' Bridge	Support
GYLW/1st/W/1039/4666		Gary	Catchpole	South Denes	Support
GYLW/1st/W/1040/4674		C	Stockwell	Runham Broad	Object I like the idea of creating a new Broad facility which can be kept in check by the Broads Authority encouraging new wildlife habitat and conservation. Conservation being the important word here, this does not sit well with housing and this is the area in which I disagree. The 80 homes proposed by this development would ruin such a development.
GYLW/1st/W/1040/4675		C	Stockwell	Breydon Reach	Object Again like the idea but the housing is inappropriate, or should I say the way housing is squeezed into these flood plains totally inappropriate
GYLW/1st/W/1040/4676		C	Stockwell	Ice House Quay	Object Totally inappropriate for this area, 1200 housing at risk of being flooded! This is a working port which the people of Great Yarmouth have relied on to bring economic growth to the area and as such would be most unsuitable for housing. You cannot have housing along side a working port which operates 24 hrs 7 days a week.
GYLW/1st/W/1040/4677		C	Stockwell	Haven Approach	Support Sounds idyllic would love to see a pretty approach to the town, surely you have made a mistake here, as there appears to be no housing in your plans
GYLW/1st/W/1040/4678		C	Stockwell	Nelsons' Bridge	Support Great idea would be good to have a 3rd river crossing, especially if Great Yarmouth outer harbour project goes ahead. But we have to be careful as to where it is sited and sympathetic and totally helpful to businesses which may be displaced

## Great Yarmouth URC Area Action Plan

### Preferred Options Consultation; Consultee Responses

September 10, 2007

GYLW/1st/W/1040/4679		C	Stockwell	South Denes	Support Great Yarmouth needs to have a thriving business community, and the port has always been able to offer this. But keep housing away from the working port.
GYLW/1st/W/1053/4731		Paul	Williams	Runham Broad	Support seems to be a positive for the public, business and the environment.
GYLW/1st/W/1053/4732		Paul	Williams	Breydon Reach	undecided
GYLW/1st/W/1053/4733		Paul	Williams	Ice House Quay	Object think the other areas are more important
GYLW/1st/W/1053/4734		Paul	Williams	Haven Approach	Support at present Yarmouth does not look good as you approach it
GYLW/1st/W/1053/4735		Paul	Williams	Nelsons' Bridge	Support the crossing would breath new life into this neglected part of town, the Nelson name alone would attract tourists.
GYLW/1st/W/1053/4736		Paul	Williams	South Denes	Support this part of town has been so neglected but it has so much potential, if these areas were regenerated I think the surrounding areas would become more attractive to both business and tourism.
GYLW/1st/W/1057/4765		John	Yelverton	Runham Broad	Support It will provide an easily accessible leisure facility and a major new visitor destination with few obvious drawbacks.
GYLW/1st/W/1057/4766		John	Yelverton	Breydon Reach	Support The new transport interchange is long overdue. The current situation at the railway station is a poor advert for the town and tends to discourage day visitors arriving by rail. The town needs to be linked to the retail area, and this seems to fit the bill. However as I don't actually live there, I am not sure of the implications for the area as it stands now.
GYLW/1st/W/1057/4767		John	Yelverton	Ice House Quay	Support This is an area that is also overdue for this kind of redevelopment.
GYLW/1st/W/1057/4768		John	Yelverton	Haven Approach	Support This is an area that is also overdue for this kind of redevelopment.
GYLW/1st/W/1057/4769		John	Yelverton	South Denes	I have no knowledge of the situation, so have no opinion either way.
GYLW/1st/W/1058/4774				Runham Broad	Support
GYLW/1st/W/1058/4775				Breydon Reach	Support
GYLW/1st/W/1058/4776				Ice House Quay	Support
GYLW/1st/W/1058/4777				Haven Approach	Support
GYLW/1st/W/1058/4778				Nelsons' Bridge	Support
GYLW/1st/W/1058/4779				South Denes	Support
GYLW/1st/W/1059/4786		Malcolm	Howe	Runham Broad	Object
GYLW/1st/W/1059/4787		Malcolm	Howe	Breydon Reach	Support
GYLW/1st/W/1059/4788		Malcolm	Howe	Ice House Quay	Object
GYLW/1st/W/1059/4789		Malcolm	Howe	Haven Approach	Object
GYLW/1st/W/1059/4790		Malcolm	Howe	Nelsons' Bridge	Object Another river crossing is unnecessary. More roads=more traffic. Money should be invested in public transport instead, particularly rail links
GYLW/1st/W/1059/4791		Malcolm	Howe	South Denes	Object It should be used for housing instead, not mixed use, that would eliminate the need for the previous two.

## Great Yarmouth URC Area Action Plan

### Preferred Options Consultation; Consultee Responses

September 10, 2007

LWGY/1st/W/1044/4689		Barrie	Burgess	Runham Broad	Support High quality hotel would have great views. Watersports is a good idea How do existing Eurocentre industry feel about relocation?
LWGY/1st/W/1044/4690		Barrie	Burgess	Breydon Reach	Support Marina is a must have - research shows a great demand Buildings here must be of a high standard and up to 8 storeys to make a statement
LWGY/1st/W/1044/4691		Barrie	Burgess	Ice House Quay	Support Good area for mixed uses. Waterfront walks a must
LWGY/1st/W/1044/4692		Barrie	Burgess	Haven Approach	Support How do existing businesses feel about relocation. Cobholm allotments must be screened
LWGY/1st/W/1044/4693		Barrie	Burgess	Nelsons' Bridge	Support Must push for crossing at earliest time with all studies done.
LWGY/1st/W/1044/4694		Barrie	Burgess	South Denes	Support Casino to be located at southern end of Pleasure Beach to be first point of call on leaving industry area. Landmark tower structure to be visible from miles around eg as high as Nelsons monument
LWGY/1st/W/1050/4715		Richard	Stow	Runham Broad	Support
LWGY/1st/W/1050/4716		Richard	Stow	Breydon Reach	Support
LWGY/1st/W/1050/4717		Richard	Stow	Ice House Quay	Support
LWGY/1st/W/1050/4718		Richard	Stow	Haven Approach	Support
LWGY/1st/W/1050/4719		Richard	Stow	Nelsons' Bridge	Support
LWGY/1st/W/1050/4720		Richard	Stow	South Denes	Support
GY/1st/E/0001/0001		Mark	Lowen		After completing your online questionnaire I was disappointed to notice the lack of any plans for Park & Rides for either Great Yarmouth or Lowestoft. With all the proposals for redevelopment hoping to put these areas back on the map, how will they cope with an increase in visitor numbers when the towns parking facilities cannot accommodate current levels?
GY/1st/W/0963/4179				Runham Broad	Worried that this would be an expensive white elephant.
GY/1st/W/0963/4180				Breydon Reach	Would cause more congestion on roads already not coping at current levels. Traffic problems should be addressed
GY/1st/W/0963/4181				Ice House Quay	More restaurants, bars and coffee shops should be provided Area is in need of regeneration
GY/1st/W/0963/4182				Haven Approach	Improve the congestion and problems relating to Gapton Hall Roundabout
GY/1st/W/0963/4183				Nelsons' Bridge	Traffic problems should be addressed
GY/1st/W/0963/4184				South Denes	Area is in need of regeneration
GY/1st/W/0972/4239		Clare	Blamey	Runham Broad	Support Will spread the development out to this area of the town.

## Great Yarmouth URC Area Action Plan

### Preferred Options Consultation; Consultee Responses

September 10, 2007

GY/1st/W/0972/4240		Clare	Blamey	Breydon Reach	Support Good use of the North Quay area - entry point to the town for rail visitors desperately needs upgrading. Bure harbour - is there still going to be provision for the travellers' site as it is important that this should be retained.
GY/1st/W/0972/4241		Clare	Blamey	Ice House Quay	Support Good use of brown field sites again (there are many more in the town which should be used before using any new land or knocking any historic buildings down.
GY/1st/W/0972/4242		Clare	Blamey	Haven Approach	Support Another major gateway to the town for visitors.
GY/1st/W/0972/4243		Clare	Blamey	Nelsons' Bridge	Support Map not clear enough to see where this is.
GY/1st/W/0973/4244		Jeff	English	Runham Broad	Support Provides a much needed recreational facility
GY/1st/W/0973/4245		Jeff	English	Breydon Reach	Support Brings a derelict area back into economic use also enhances the appearance making the town more attractive to visitors
GY/1st/W/0973/4246		Jeff	English	Ice House Quay	Support Provides a new quarter for town and much needed vibrancy. Care needs to be taken so that the mistakes made in the Norwich Riverside redevelopment, where housing and entertainment venues are insufficiently segregated, are not repeated here.
GY/1st/W/0973/4247		Jeff	English	Haven Approach	Support Improves the appearance of an important route into the town and complements the proposed development of Ice House Quay and North Quay.
GY/1st/W/0973/4248		Jeff	English	Nelsons' Bridge	Support Very necessary to improve access to the seafront for visitors and for commercial traffic to the outer harbour. It is essential that the bridge works are completed as the harbour development progresses so that we do not have increased traffic congestion in the town if the bridge construction were delayed.
GY/1st/W/0973/4249		Jeff	English	South Denes	Support Essential to provide for the relocation of businesses from Ice House Quay prior to the redevelopment there.
GY/1st/W/0975/4256		AF & GR	Riches	Runham Broad	Support Should provide more leisure activity sites. However what will happen to the existing industrial premises in the area?
GY/1st/W/0975/4257		AF & GR	Riches	Breydon Reach	Support Will vastly improve areas that at present are run down and eyesores.
GY/1st/W/0975/4258		AF & GR	Riches	Ice House Quay	Support Should visually improve and area of old industrial premises and make it more attractive to local residents.
GY/1st/W/0975/4259		AF & GR	Riches	Haven Approach	Support Will enhance the area
GY/1st/W/0975/4260		AF & GR	Riches	South Denes	Support I am not sure if the landscaped areas will be used by tourists but they will make the area more attractive. If the Nelson Monument is to be seen as a prominent tourist attraction then more landscaping around it will be needed.

## Great Yarmouth URC Area Action Plan

### Preferred Options Consultation; Consultee Responses

September 10, 2007

GY/1st/W/0989/4359		Rebecca	Thomas	Runham Broad	<p>Object</p> <p>I have a vested interest in this as I work in the area, we have taken many years to build up our business &amp; do not want to relocate. There are already enough hotels in Great Yarmouth, there are already enough broads for leisure activities, why not regenerate that which is already in existence instead of ruining peoples livelihoods and raising unemployment. Many 'hotels' have people living in them all year round - perhaps the planning authority should sort out these problems before allowing the wanton destruction of peoples businesses. This project should not be allowed to go ahead at any cost. Personally my view is that this has been thought up by people who do not live and work in the area &amp; we were definately not asked our thoughts on this matter. We were not aware of the original period of consultation. 1st East might also like to know that we have protected species in the dykes in this area and they will have serious problems trying to do any building here as we have experienced problems in the past, I would have thought that someone should have done their homework before wasting everyone's time and money on a 'pie in the sky' project such as this. Organisations/Businesses should not have to move</p>
GY/1st/W/0989/4360		Rebecca	Thomas	Breydon Reach	<p>Support</p> <p>This one looks mainly ok to me, but there are already plans in hand to refurbish the old Vauxhall footbridge (former railbridge), is is just a matter of who gets there first??</p>
GY/1st/W/0989/4361		Rebecca	Thomas	Ice House Quay	<p>Support</p> <p>This area is mainly derelict as fas as I can gather, so this would seem like a good idea in principle.</p>
GY/1st/W/0989/4362		Rebecca	Thomas	Haven Approach	<p>Object</p> <p>I would need to see more detailed plans as it is not clear from this information how you would integrate the existing local businesses, or would they be bulldozed as in the Runham Broad plan?</p>
GY/1st/W/0989/4363		Rebecca	Thomas	Nelsons' Bridge	<p>Support</p> <p>Agree in principle, but there was also another plan for a 'third' river crossing near Runham - what happened to this one? (As far as I can gather there has been talk of another river crossing for at least 40 years - lets hope that this is not all talk) Please note that the road infrastructure needs to be sorted out before there is any increase in traffic to the Yarmouth areas, especially the infamous Gapton Hall roundabout - we already see gridlock throughout Yarmouth with the summer visitors as it is, without any more traffic on wholly unsuitable roads.</p>
GY/1st/W/0989/4364		Rebecca	Thomas	South Denes	<p>Support</p> <p>This area is desperate for redevelopment, many of the buildings lie derelict, several of which are of historical interest. I think that this was destined to happen either with or without 1st East when the outer harbour gets underway.</p>
GY/1st/W/0992/4381		Karen	Foster	Runham Broad	<p>Object</p> <p>because you have not come up with any ideas - except fishing lakes and why does it take 21 board members to run 3 peopple - well and two general dogs bodies. Why are you saying you are a Ltd company and you are not registered at Company House?</p>
GY/1st/W/0992/4382		Karen	Foster	Breydon Reach	<p>Object</p> <p>Where are the ideas from the people that you should be actioning? These are all your ideas - I believe your consultation is phoney.</p>

## Great Yarmouth URC Area Action Plan

### Preferred Options Consultation; Consultee Responses

September 10, 2007

GY/1st/W/0992/4383		Karen	Foster	Ice House Quay	Object Who is going to be making the money since the council have bought up all the derelict land in advance of these plans. Are you saying the jobs you will be creating are those of waitresses and similar? Supply of more jobs/ employment is necessary
GY/1st/W/0992/4384		Karen	Foster	Haven Approach	Object What about road widening - this is the first issue that needs to be tackled.
GY/1st/W/0992/4385		Karen	Foster	Nelsons' Bridge	Object Your maps and plans are too small and are not clearly marked - nobody knows whose house/business is going to be affected and who will be under the compulsory purchase orders etc.
GY/1st/W/0992/4386		Karen	Foster	South Denes	Object How is this going to create jobs for locals that give people a chance at a decent career and a decent wage.
GY/1st/W/0994/4399		P	Griffen	Runham Broad	Support This is an area that has needed improvement although I cannot see where the benefits are for this site. New homes, provided they are affordable, are always needed but as I am sure you must be aware by now more housing means yet more traffic. Where is all this going to go?
GY/1st/W/0994/4400		P	Griffen	Breydon Reach	Object Fail to see the usefulness of this part of the project the existing waterway is hardly used as it is
GY/1st/W/0994/4401		P	Griffen	Ice House Quay	Support
GY/1st/W/0994/4402		P	Griffen	Haven Approach	Object this area though in need of a bit of t.l.c is at the moment the cause of the worst bottle neck in the town, priority should be given to the road system to upgrade to enable to cope with increased traffic in the future.
GY/1st/W/0994/4403		P	Griffen	Nelsons' Bridge	Support I agree in principle but yet again it seems you have overlooked the impact on traffic, yet another area of severe traffic congestion? what are the alternatives? pleased at least to see the words 3rd river crossing but cant help feeling that it will end up somewhere near Pasteur Rd or the roundabout from hell by B&Q. It would need to go as far away from this area as possible to be of any help.
GY/1st/W/0994/4404		P	Griffen	South Denes	Support this would seem to be by far the most immediate area for concern as it has been left barren and completely unused for as long as I can remember putting nothing whatsoever back into the town, but yet again what about access by road. Do you see the pattern emerging here? with the outer harbour on the horizon so to speak aren't things only going to go from bad to worse? Perhaps a 3rd river crossing is the answer this will take through traffic away from Yarmouth and not through the centre of it.

## Great Yarmouth URC Area Action Plan

### Preferred Options Consultation; Consultee Responses

September 10, 2007

GY/1st/W/0996/4411		Bridget	Heriz	Runham Broad	Support Enhances quality of life for residents of Great Yarmouth (somewhere safe and accessible to walk, be close to nature, etc.) as well as economic resource.
GY/1st/W/0996/4412		Bridget	Heriz	Breydon Reach	Support Will make a huge difference to perception and well-being of the town: improved transport facilities (bus, pedestrian, cycle etc.) will be of great benefit.
GY/1st/W/0996/4413		Bridget	Heriz	Ice House Quay	Support If there will also be pedestrian access to the river front, with cafes, restaurants, etc. this will be a great enhancement to the whole surrounding area. Approve emphasis on importance of good design in report.
GY/1st/W/0996/4414		Bridget	Heriz	Haven Approach	Support Approve on all points - at present this is a very depressing entry which belies the unique and historic character of the town.
GY/1st/W/0996/4415		Bridget	Heriz	Nelsons' Bridge	Support I agree with choice of option for third river crossing.
GY/1st/W/0996/4416		Bridget	Heriz	South Denes	Support Hope the plans for live-in work spaces includes provision for creative industries (artists studios, rehearsal space, etc.) to encourage creative sector in the town - perhaps linked to the plan for cultural hub in King Street .
GY/1st/W/1000/4430				Runham Broad	Support Nice idea in principle, but what happens to the Caravan Park and the existing Industrial Estate? I cannot see the current companies on the Estate being too pleased about being moved to South Denes with the inherent traffic problems until the 3rd Crossing is built - at present they have access directly onto the A47 without having to negotiate the chaos in the Town.  How big is the Broad to be, is it to be big enough for competitive sailing, water skiing etc.? Will there be another marina for smaller river type boats?
GY/1st/W/1000/4431				Breydon Reach	Support Both schemes hold a lot of attractions and would clearly benefit two run down areas. I can foresee very little objections to either of them. What sized boats are envisaged in the marina? If they are to be large sea-going yachts/motor yachts, where the money is, then you are potentially encouraging chaos with the Haven Bridge being opened on a very more regular basis!
GY/1st/W/1000/4432				Ice House Quay	Support Could be most spectacular development and a real boon to Yarmouth. Expensive type river front apartments opposite the South Quay will not sit well with the existing housing on the other side of Southtown Road. It will be expensive to resite Pasta's Mill to South Denes! And where are Bennetts and Matalan to be resited?
GY/1st/W/1000/4433				Haven Approach	Support Nice concept, a nice avenue of trees down Pasteur Road could be very attractive. New roundabout half way down could alleviate the traffic problems in Station Road due to Homebase/Lidl etc.

## Great Yarmouth URC Area Action Plan

### Preferred Options Consultation; Consultee Responses

September 10, 2007

GY/1st/W/1000/4434				Nelsons' Bridge	Support The Bridge is fundamental to practically the whole Regeneration Project. The regeneration of South Denes and hence the practicality of moving any existing businesses to this area to relieve space is dependent upon this crossing to improve communications and at present this is so far down the line that it jeopardises everything else.
GY/1st/W/1000/4435				South Denes	Support Sounds like a sensible use of the land and butts on to the Harbour areas. But success again will depend upon 3rd Crossing.
GY/1st/W/1009/4470		P T	Kempton	Breydon Reach	Support - would tie in with the "historical" atmosphere of the town and has wide public use advantages.
GY/1st/W/1009/4471		P T	Kempton	Ice House Quay	Support - perhaps would make a greater impact because of the provision of extra homes and this might give it an edge over the Breydon plan
GY/1st/W/1017/4507				Runham Broad	Object - we have a mix of quiet and busy broads already, mixing water sports with quiet zones ?
GY/1st/W/1017/4508				Breydon Reach	Support - this area is particularly unattractive visually with a strange mix of run down premises, car lots etc., and a dilapidated pedestrian bridge.
GY/1st/W/1017/4509				Ice House Quay	Object - this area is still heavily used by industry, many of these firms would be forced to close rather than relocate.
GY/1st/W/1017/4510				Haven Approach	Object - this area is still heavily used by industry, many of these firms would be forced to close rather than relocate.
GY/1st/W/1017/4511				Nelsons' Bridge	Support - a third river crossing is essential for any outer harbour development.
GY/1st/W/1017/4512				South Denes	Support
GY/1st/W/1018/4513				Runham Broad	Support Tourism remains important to Yarmouth - links us to Broads Tourism Jellicoe Road would be a better junction for link road onto A149 - would open up more recreational and employment land
GY/1st/W/1018/4514				Breydon Reach	Support Cobholm Marina Scheme is exciting - locals will love it too! Not Marbella but somewhere people will walk round and enjoy. Need new Car Parking in Station/Conge areas.
GY/1st/W/1018/4515				Ice House Quay	Support Need top quality architecture opposite South Quay - not sheds!
GY/1st/W/1018/4516				Haven Approach	Support Pasteur Road could be very attractive entrance. Please can space be found to accommodate a new Yarmouth Police Station and Fire Station - Southtown makes an excellent site to cover whole Borough.
GY/1st/W/1018/4517				Nelsons' Bridge	Support Crucial to sorting out traffic problems in Yarmouth
GY/1st/W/1018/4518				South Denes	Support Outer Harbour is turning point for the better. Make sure sufficient back-up land is available. Need attractive public viewing point overlooking new port

## Great Yarmouth URC Area Action Plan

### Preferred Options Consultation; Consultee Responses

September 10, 2007

GY/1st/W/1063/4812		D	Hudson	Runham Broad	Object This area is a known flood area and to build houses seems ridiculous and from previous grand plans for the town it seems to be all hot air and no firm plans just artists impressions which over the years have ended up nothing like it was ever envisaged, if it was ever implemented.
GY/1st/W/1063/4813		D	Hudson	Breydon Reach	Object again all this area is in a known flood plain and together with more houses putting greater pressure on an already antiquated sewer system seems stupid. Has anyone thought about the inconvenience not to say the disruption of traffic as the haven bridge is opened each time to let these boats enter and leave??
GY/1st/W/1063/4814		D	Hudson	Ice House Quay	Object Like the previous plans all this area is a flood plain coupled with a further 1200 houses on the sewer system let alone transport system and all the additional pressure on local doctors, dentists and hospital again seems ill thought out. With the town already known as the most deprived in the UK (Government Statistics) who is going to be able to buy these houses and use the leisure facilities?
GY/1st/W/1063/4815		D	Hudson	Haven Approach	Support While this sounds a good idea who is going to fund what after all appears to be a non profit making venture?? Who would want to use them too, certainly not the visitors to the town most of whom have no idea where each place is!
GY/1st/W/1063/4816		D	Hudson	Nelsons' Bridge	Object With the proposed harbour this appears a good idea but at what cost to land and home owners on the Gorleston side of the river and what about the harbour traffic that needs to exit via south quay, Caister road and possible the Acle straight, will they all have to make a long round about trip to get to these locations?? Or do you only envisage harbour traffic wanting to exit via Gorleston & Lowestoft routes?
GY/1st/W/1063/4817		D	Hudson	South Denes	Object while this idea would have been excellent some 20/30 years ago it is no longer viable nor readily accessible to traffic once the harbour has been built, unless all traffic is routed along South Quay which will then become gridlocked, especially at peak times! This is no area to situate a leisure complex for the towns residents or visitors as it is too remote from the centre of town and the seafront!
GY/1st/W/1064/4818		Penny	Linden	Runham Broad	Support
GY/1st/W/1064/4819		Penny	Linden	Breydon Reach	Object - Concerned about the impact in Cobholm of contractors vehicles during the building. Also numbers of homes, far too many, and not reassured either about the numbers of social homes to be contributed.
GY/1st/W/1064/4820		Penny	Linden	Ice House Quay	Object Again, the impact of contractors traffic on the current residents of Southtown, and lack of commitment regarding social housing.
GY/1st/W/1064/4821		Penny	Linden	Haven Approach	Support Glad to see no new roads joining Southtown and Cobholm, they are not necessary. The ward is not divided - both areas have friends and families in each, and manage to get to visit already - there is no Berlin Wall!
GY/1st/W/1064/4822		Penny	Linden	Nelsons' Bridge	Support
GY/1st/W/1064/4823		Penny	Linden	South Denes	Support Be good if there could be a viewing platform on Seafront and the river so people can view the changes as they happen.

# Great Yarmouth URC Area Action Plan

Preferred Options Consultation; Consultee Responses  
September 10, 2007

GY/LPA/E/0002/0002		Nick	Balls	<p>Website PDF detail of specific development areas (at this time) lack much detail and therefore at present can promote little coherent comment.</p> <p>In consideration of looking only at the specific development areas it is of great concern that plans affecting other areas may be missed.</p> <p>An example of this is the very probable requirement that within the next 30 years a "Yare/Bure" flood barrier will need to be built. It would be essential, that while no plans for such a scheme exist at this point. Due allowance be given in your plans for this highly likely future construction.</p> <p>Emphasis of these projects seems to be mainly on the development of housing. Has the infrastructure of support for such housing been correctly incorporated into the plans?</p> <p>a) Space to expand the new port b) School and College requirements c) Transport to the regional centre (Norwich)</p> <p>Looking at existing plans I wonder just how much specialist knowledge is being brought in to develop them.</p> <p>The alignment of Nelson Bridge for example should be at 90° to the river, otherwise it is technically far more difficult for larger vessels to pass under. I would suspect that we need to bring in many more people to accurately assess such technical issues. After all it's no good making the town "pretty" if nothing works!</p> <p>In general terms I think the broad plan is sound. I have my concerns over public/private initiative and feel that very close control by non commercial interest needs to be fully maintained in order for this to benefit the town, not just individual companies or people.</p> <p>It is also my considered opinion that the long term potential of East Port is likely to have a far greater impact on this town than most here realise.</p> <p>As I write the company Chikara Holdings has announced a €400M order for five high speed freight triamarans , which will be operating in the southern north sea by 2008. Such craft could make the crossing to Holland in 3Hrs.</p> <p>It is these types of commercial commitment that make it essential that we do leave sufficient development potential for creating proper wealth in the town, rather than private wealth that the development of new houses will make.</p>
			<b>Following comments relate to Sustainability Appraisal</b>	
GY/LPA/L/0718/3090		Doug	Begbie	<p>Provide an improved and integrated transport system, including public transport Cycle and pedestrain routes should be provided and improved</p>
GY/LPA/L/0935/4111				<p>Support PPS6 AAP /SA requirements do not comply with Planning Policy/Government guidance</p>
GY/LPA/L/0937/4117		Barbara Brett	& David Nettleship	<p>Old lifeboat shed needs revamping, remove or revamp amusement arcade. Remove wheely bins from Beach Road. Canopy covered areas - see photo. Increase Parking Facilities Enhance Access Cycle and pedestrain routes should be provided and improved Community inputs or forums and groups should be promoted to help shape development Improve public access to the waterfront Plans require more detail to enable comments to be made</p>
GY/LPA/L/0937/4118		Barbara Brett & David Nettleship		<p>Improve access to and maintenance of existing and provide more open space Area is in need of regeneration</p>

## Great Yarmouth URC Area Action Plan

### Preferred Options Consultation; Consultee Responses

September 10, 2007

GY/LPA/L/1083/4943		Nick	Pownall		Create more jobs and employment opportunities Concerns over provision of emergency services Growing Utility demand  Flooding concerns from climate change and sea level rise Provide infrastructure and amenities to support future development Plans require more detail to enable comments to be made
GY/LPA/Q/0018/0037		J	Blake	Runham Broad	Support Further river crossing should be provided
GY/LPA/Q/0018/0038		J	Blake	Breydon Reach	Support Develop attractive entrances to town
GY/LPA/Q/0018/0039		J	Blake	Haven Approach	Support Develop attractive entrances to town
GY/LPA/Q/0018/0040		J	Blake	Ice House Quay	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0018/0041		J	Blake	South Denes	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0018/0042		J	Blake	Nelson's Bridge	Support Further river crossing should be provided
GY/LPA/Q/0019/0043		D	Penn		Support
GY/LPA/Q/0019/0044		D	Penn	Runham Broad	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0019/0045		D	Penn	Breydon Reach	Support Growing demand for transport to the regional centre and around town must be met
GY/LPA/Q/0019/0046		D	Penn	Haven Approach	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0019/0047		D	Penn	Ice House Quay	Support Ice House should be developed
GY/LPA/Q/0019/0048		D	Penn	South Denes	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0019/0049		D	Penn	Nelson's Bridge	Support Further river crossing should be provided
GY/LPA/Q/0020/0050		Joy	Cusaitis	Runham Broad	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0020/0051		Joy	Cusaitis	Breydon Reach	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0020/0052		Joy	Cusaitis	South Denes	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0020/0053		Joy	Cusaitis	Nelson's Bridge	Support Further river crossing should be provided
GY/LPA/Q/0021/0054				Runham Broad	Support Tourism should be encouraged Create more jobs and employment opportunities Consideration should be given to environmental issues and impacts from development/sustainable development should be encouraged
GY/LPA/Q/0022/0055		Ivan	Lees	Runham Broad	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0022/0056		Ivan	Lees	Breydon Reach	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0022/0057		Ivan	Lees	Haven Approach	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0022/0058		Ivan	Lees	Ice House Quay	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0022/0059		Ivan	Lees	South Denes	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0022/0060		Ivan	Lees	Nelson's Bridge	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0023/0061					Overall these are exciting suggestions, but there are shops etc. empty in the town centre, so where are all the new people coming from?

## Great Yarmouth URC Area Action Plan

### Preferred Options Consultation; Consultee Responses

September 10, 2007

GY/LPA/Q/0023/0062				Runham Broad	Support Concern over proximity of heliport to wildlife area Consideration for wildlife
GY/LPA/Q/0023/0063				Breydon Reach	Would long term car park include park and ride? Support improvement/revamp of train station
GY/LPA/Q/0023/0064				Haven Approach	Haven approach should be landscaped
GY/LPA/Q/0023/0065				Ice House Quay	Support Retail is inappropriate
GY/LPA/Q/0023/0066				South Denes	Support Nelson's monument should be relocated/made more of a feature
GY/LPA/Q/0023/0067				Nelson's Bridge	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0024/0068				Runham Broad	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0024/0069				Breydon Reach	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0024/0070				Haven Approach	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0024/0071				Ice House Quay	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0024/0072				South Denes	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0024/0073				Nelson's Bridge	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0025/0074				Runham Broad	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0025/0075				Breydon Reach	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0025/0076				Haven Approach	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0025/0077				Ice House Quay	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0025/0078				South Denes	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0025/0079				Nelson's Bridge	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0026/0080				Runham Broad	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0026/0081				Breydon Reach	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0026/0082				Haven Approach	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0026/0083				Ice House Quay	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0026/0084				South Denes	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0026/0085				Nelson's Bridge	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0027/0086				Runham Broad	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0027/0087				Breydon Reach	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0027/0088				Haven Approach	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0027/0089				Ice House Quay	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0027/0090				South Denes	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0027/0091				Nelson's Bridge	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0028/0092				Runham Broad	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0028/0093				Breydon Reach	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0028/0094				Haven Approach	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0028/0095				Ice House Quay	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0028/0096				South Denes	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0028/0097				Nelson's Bridge	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0029/0098		JD & A	Woods	Breydon Reach	Support Marina at Bure Harbour should be promoted
GY/LPA/Q/0029/0099		JD & A	Woods	South Denes	Support Tourism should be encouraged
GY/LPA/Q/0029/0100		JD & A	Woods	Nelson's Bridge	Support Further river crossing should be provided
GY/LPA/Q/0030/0101					Further river crossing should be provided

## Great Yarmouth URC Area Action Plan

### Preferred Options Consultation; Consultee Responses

September 10, 2007

GY/LPA/Q/0030/0102				Runham Broad	Support Plans require more detail to enable comments to be made
GY/LPA/Q/0030/0103				Breydon Reach	Support Plans require more detail to enable comments to be made
GY/LPA/Q/0030/0104				Haven Approach	Support Plans require more detail to enable comments to be made
GY/LPA/Q/0030/0105				Ice House Quay	Support Plans require more detail to enable comments to be made
GY/LPA/Q/0030/0106				South Denes	Support Plans require more detail to enable comments to be made
GY/LPA/Q/0030/0106				Nelson's Bridge	Support Plans require more detail to enable comments to be made
GY/LPA/Q/0031/0107				Runham Broad	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0031/0108				Breydon Reach	Object
GY/LPA/Q/0031/0109				Haven Approach	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0031/0110				Ice House Quay	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0031/0111				South Denes	Object
GY/LPA/Q/0031/0112				Nelson's Bridge	Support Further river crossing should be provided
GY/LPA/Q/0032/0113				Runham Broad	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0032/0114				Breydon Reach	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0032/0115				Haven Approach	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0032/0116				Ice House Quay	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0032/0117				South Denes	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0032/0118				Nelson's Bridge	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0033/0119		Pam	Shaw		Will be expensive/Where is the funding coming from?
GY/LPA/Q/0033/0120		Pam	Shaw	Runham Broad	Support Flooding concerns from climate change and sea level rise
GY/LPA/Q/0033/0121		Pam	Shaw	Breydon Reach	Support Flooding concerns from climate change and sea level rise
GY/LPA/Q/0033/0122		Pam	Shaw	Haven Approach	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0033/0123		Pam	Shaw	Ice House Quay	Support Flooding concerns from climate change and sea level rise
GY/LPA/Q/0033/0124		Pam	Shaw	South Denes	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0033/0125		Pam	Shaw	Nelson's Bridge	Support Further river crossing should be provided
GY/LPA/Q/0034/0126		C	Jones	Runham Broad	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0034/0127		C	Jones	Breydon Reach	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0034/0128		C	Jones	Haven Approach	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0034/0129		C	Jones	Ice House Quay	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0034/0130		C	Jones	South Denes	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0034/0131		C	Jones	Nelson's Bridge	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0035/0132		B	Nash	Nelson's Bridge	Support Further river crossing should be provided
GY/LPA/Q/0036/0133			Hollingsworth		Support for the whole scheme
GY/LPA/Q/0036/0134			Hollingsworth	Runham Broad	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0036/0135			Hollingsworth	Breydon Reach	Support

## Great Yarmouth URC Area Action Plan

### Preferred Options Consultation; Consultee Responses

September 10, 2007

GY/LPA/Q/0036/0136			Hollingsworth	Haven Approach	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0036/0137			Hollingsworth	Ice House Quay	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0036/0138			Hollingsworth	South Denes	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0036/0139			Hollingsworth	Nelson's Bridge	Support Bridge should be a tunnel
GY/LPA/Q/0037/0140		L	Greck	Runham Broad	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0037/0141		L	Greck	Breydon Reach	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0037/0142		L	Greck	Haven Approach	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0037/0143		L	Greck	Ice House Quay	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0037/0144		L	Greck	South Denes	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0037/0145		L	Greck	Nelson's Bridge	Support Further river crossing should be provided
GY/LPA/Q/0038/0146					More park and ride schemes should be provided
GY/LPA/Q/0038/0147				Runham Broad	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0038/0148				South Denes	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0039/0149				Runham Broad	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0039/0150				Breydon Reach	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0039/0151				Haven Approach	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0039/0152				Ice House Quay	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0039/0153				South Denes	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0039/0154				Nelson's Bridge	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0040/0155			Milton		Traffic problems should be addressed Further river crossing should be provided Create more jobs and employment opportunities
GY/LPA/Q/0040/0156			Milton	Nelson's Bridge	Support Further river crossing should be provided
GY/LPA/Q/0041/0157		A	Guoda		Further river crossing should be provided Work should start immediately
GY/LPA/Q/0041/0158		A	Guoda	Runham Broad	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0041/0159		A	Guoda	Breydon Reach	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0041/0160		A	Guoda	Haven Approach	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0041/0161		A	Guoda	Ice House Quay	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0041/0162		A	Guoda	South Denes	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0041/0163		A	Guoda	Nelson's Bridge	Support Improve the congestion and problems relating to Gapton Hall Roundabout Traffic problems should be addressed Further river crossing should be provided
GY/LPA/Q/0042/0164		C	Chidlow		Traffic problems should be addressed Concern over the generation of traffic from future development
GY/LPA/Q/0042/0165		C	Chidlow	Runham Broad	Support, but a fourth crossing is required to cope with extra traffic
GY/LPA/Q/0042/0166		C	Chidlow	Breydon Reach	Support

## Great Yarmouth URC Area Action Plan

### Preferred Options Consultation; Consultee Responses

September 10, 2007

GY/LPA/Q/0042/0167		C	Chidlow	Haven Approach	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0042/0168		C	Chidlow	Ice House Quay	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0042/0169		C	Chidlow	South Denes	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0042/0170		C	Chidlow	Nelson's Bridge	Object Concerned about where the Bridge will be and how it will reflect on the build up of traffic.
GY/LPA/Q/0043/0171		N J	Sampson	Runham Broad	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0043/0172		N J	Sampson	Breydon Reach	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0043/0173		N J	Sampson	Haven Approach	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0043/0174		N J	Sampson	Ice House Quay	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0043/0175		N J	Sampson	South Denes	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0043/0176		N J	Sampson	Nelson's Bridge	Object 3rd Crossing should be from Roundabout at the end of Acle Straight- across Bure to Yarmouth Stadium area, Nelson's Bridge will only increase congestion in town as people try to avoid congestion around by-pass Traffic problems should be addressed
GY/LPA/Q/0044/0177					Work should start immediately
GY/LPA/Q/0045/0178					Provide an improved and integrated transport system, including public transport Action should be taken with regard to empty shops
GY/LPA/Q/0045/0179				Haven Approach	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0046/0180				Runham Broad	Support Provide social, community and arts facilities
GY/LPA/Q/0046/0181				Breydon Reach	Object Traffic problems should be addressed
GY/LPA/Q/0046/0182				Haven Approach	Object
GY/LPA/Q/0046/0183				Ice House Quay	Object Ice House should remain unchanged
GY/LPA/Q/0046/0184				South Denes	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0046/0185				Nelson's Bridge	Support Bridge must be as far upstream as possible to reduce the number of lifts
GY/LPA/Q/0047/0186		Neil	Bowman		Support for the whole scheme
GY/LPA/Q/0047/0187		Neil	Bowman	Runham Broad	Support Provide social, community and arts facilities
GY/LPA/Q/0047/0188		Neil	Bowman	Breydon Reach	Support Increase Parking Facilities
GY/LPA/Q/0047/0189		Neil	Bowman	Haven Approach	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0047/0190		Neil	Bowman	Ice House Quay	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0047/0191		Neil	Bowman	South Denes	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0047/0192		Neil	Bowman	Nelson's Bridge	Support Further river crossing should be provided
GY/LPA/Q/0048/0193		Rob	Gibson	Runham Broad	Support

## Great Yarmouth URC Area Action Plan

### Preferred Options Consultation; Consultee Responses

September 10, 2007

GY/LPA/Q/0048/0194		Rob	Gibson	Breydon Reach	Support Support improvement/revamp of train station
GY/LPA/Q/0048/0195		Rob	Gibson	Haven Approach	Object
GY/LPA/Q/0048/0196		Rob	Gibson	Ice House Quay	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0048/0197		Rob	Gibson	South Denes	Object
GY/LPA/Q/0048/0198		Rob	Gibson	Nelson's Bridge	Support Acle straight should be dualled
GY/LPA/Q/0049/0199		Colin	Tovey		I would like to know time scales of the project and how long it will take to complete. Create more jobs and employment opportunities Support for the whole scheme
GY/LPA/Q/0049/0200		Colin	Tovey	Runham Broad	Support Area is in need of regeneration
GY/LPA/Q/0049/0201		Colin	Tovey	Breydon Reach	Support Area is in need of regeneration
GY/LPA/Q/0049/0202		Colin	Tovey	Haven Approach	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0049/0203		Colin	Tovey	Ice House Quay	Support Area is in need of regeneration
GY/LPA/Q/0049/0204		Colin	Tovey	South Denes	Support Area is in need of regeneration
GY/LPA/Q/0049/0205		Colin	Tovey	Nelson's Bridge	Support Further river crossing should be provided
GY/LPA/Q/0050/0206				Runham Broad	Object More park and ride schemes should be provided Tourism should be encouraged
GY/LPA/Q/0050/0207				Breydon Reach	Support Increase Parking Facilities A wide mix of housing should be provided, including affordable housing
GY/LPA/Q/0050/0208				Haven Approach	Object Acle straight should be dualled
GY/LPA/Q/0050/0209				Ice House Quay	Support Provide an improved and integrated transport system, including public transport Growing demand for transport to the regional centre and around town must be met
GY/LPA/Q/0050/0210				South Denes	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0050/0211				Nelson's Bridge	Support Further river crossing should be provided
GY/LPA/Q/0053/0218		R	Johnson	Runham Broad	Object
GY/LPA/Q/0053/0219		R	Johnson	Breydon Reach	Object
GY/LPA/Q/0053/0220		R	Johnson	Haven Approach	Object - no use to anyone
GY/LPA/Q/0053/0221		R	Johnson	Ice House Quay	Object - Southtown Rd will not cope with extra traffic

## Great Yarmouth URC Area Action Plan

### Preferred Options Consultation; Consultee Responses

September 10, 2007

GY/LPA/Q/0053/0222		R	Johnson	South Denes	Object, but the Denes are an eyesore and need something done to improve it
GY/LPA/Q/0053/0223		R	Johnson	Nelson's Bridge	Support - this will improve traffic congestion and problems relating to Gapton Hall Roundabout
GY/LPA/Q/0054/0224					
GY/LPA/Q/0054/0225					
GY/LPA/Q/0054/0226					
GY/LPA/Q/0054/0227					
GY/LPA/Q/0054/0228					
GY/LPA/Q/0054/0229					
GY/LPA/Q/0055/0230		R D	Parker		What about the Gorleston side of the River and the Sea Front?
GY/LPA/Q/0055/0231		R D	Parker	Runham Broad	Object
GY/LPA/Q/0055/0232		R D	Parker	Breydon Reach	Support Area is in need of regeneration
GY/LPA/Q/0055/0233		R D	Parker	Haven Approach	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0055/0234		R D	Parker	Ice House Quay	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0055/0235		R D	Parker	South Denes	Object
GY/LPA/Q/0055/0236		R D	Parker	Nelson's Bridge	Support Further river crossing should be provided
GY/LPA/Q/0056/0237		Natalie	Hunt	Runham Broad	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0056/0238		Natalie	Hunt	Breydon Reach	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0056/0239		Natalie	Hunt	Haven Approach	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0056/0240		Natalie	Hunt	Ice House Quay	Support Provide an improved and integrated transport system, including public transport A wide mix of housing should be provided, including affordable housing Provide social, community and arts facilities
GY/LPA/Q/0056/0241		Natalie	Hunt	South Denes	Support Consideration should be given to environmental issues and impacts from development/sustainable development should be encouraged
GY/LPA/Q/0056/0242		Natalie	Hunt	Nelson's Bridge	
GY/LPA/Q/0057/0243		Richard	Starr	Runham Broad	Object Will be expensive/Where is the funding coming from?
GY/LPA/Q/0057/0244		Richard	Starr	Breydon Reach	Support Area is in need of regeneration
GY/LPA/Q/0057/0245		Richard	Starr	Haven Approach	Support Develop attractive entrances to town
GY/LPA/Q/0057/0246		Richard	Starr	Ice House Quay	Support Will be expensive/Where is the funding coming from?
GY/LPA/Q/0057/0247		Richard	Starr	South Denes	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0057/0248		Richard	Starr	Nelson's Bridge	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0058/0249		A	Khan	Breydon Reach	Support Support improvement/revamp of train station
GY/LPA/Q/0058/0250		A	Khan	Haven Approach	Support

## Great Yarmouth URC Area Action Plan

### Preferred Options Consultation; Consultee Responses

September 10, 2007

GY/LPA/Q/0058/0251		A	Khan	Ice House Quay	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0058/0252		A	Khan	South Denes	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0058/0253		A	Khan	Nelson's Bridge	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0059/0254		K	Bradley		Flooding concerns from climate change and sea level rise
GY/LPA/Q/0059/0255		K	Bradley	Runham Broad	Object
GY/LPA/Q/0059/0256		K	Bradley	Breydon Reach	Object
GY/LPA/Q/0059/0257		K	Bradley	Haven Approach	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0059/0258		K	Bradley	Ice House Quay	Object
GY/LPA/Q/0059/0259		K	Bradley	South Denes	Support Flooding concerns from climate change and sea level rise
GY/LPA/Q/0059/0260		K	Bradley	Nelson's Bridge	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0060/0261		Robert	Bunyard	Runham Broad	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0060/0262		Robert	Bunyard	Breydon Reach	Support More park and ride schemes should be provided
GY/LPA/Q/0060/0263		Robert	Bunyard	Haven Approach	Support Improve the congestion and problems relating to Gapton Hall Roundabout Traffic problems should be addressed
GY/LPA/Q/0060/0264		Robert	Bunyard	Ice House Quay	Support Parking policies should consider contributors to the economy eg. Theatres, cultural and entertainment facilities, visitors
GY/LPA/Q/0060/0265		Robert	Bunyard	South Denes	Support Area is in need of regeneration
GY/LPA/Q/0060/0266		Robert	Bunyard	Nelson's Bridge	Support Further river crossing should be provided
GY/LPA/Q/0061/0267		Neville Cecil	Westgate		Support for the whole scheme Area is in need of regeneration
GY/LPA/Q/0061/0268		Neville Cecil	Westgate	Runham Broad	Support Area is in need of regeneration
GY/LPA/Q/0061/0269		Neville Cecil	Westgate	Breydon Reach	Support Tourism should be encouraged Create more jobs and employment opportunities Develop attractive entrances to town
GY/LPA/Q/0061/0270		Neville Cecil	Westgate	Haven Approach	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0061/0271		Neville Cecil	Westgate	Ice House Quay	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0061/0272		Neville Cecil	Westgate	South Denes	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0061/0273		Neville Cecil	Westgate	Nelson's Bridge	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0062/0274					Work should start immediately
GY/LPA/Q/0062/0275				Runham Broad	Object Will be expensive/Where is the funding coming from?
GY/LPA/Q/0062/0276				Breydon Reach	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0062/0277				Haven Approach	Support Consideration should be given to environmental issues and impacts from development/sustainable development should be encouraged Will be expensive/Where is the funding coming from?
GY/LPA/Q/0062/0278				Ice House Quay	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0062/0279				South Denes	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0062/0280				Nelson's Bridge	Support

## Great Yarmouth URC Area Action Plan

### Preferred Options Consultation; Consultee Responses

September 10, 2007

GY/LPA/Q/0063/0281		Chris	Templer	Runham Broad	Object - poor accessibility and flooding concerns
GY/LPA/Q/0063/0282		Chris	Templer	Breydon Reach	Object - existing town centre retail facilities need improving
GY/LPA/Q/0063/0283		Chris	Templer	Haven Approach	Object - existing town centre needs improving. A glamorous entrance could lead to disappointment
GY/LPA/Q/0063/0284		Chris	Templer	Ice House Quay	Object
GY/LPA/Q/0063/0285		Chris	Templer	South Denes	Support - this should get priority and casino will become a year-round attraction
GY/LPA/Q/0063/0286		Chris	Templer	Nelson's Bridge	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0064/0287				Runham Broad	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0064/0288				Breydon Reach	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0064/0289				Haven Approach	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0064/0290				Ice House Quay	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0064/0291				South Denes	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0064/0292				Nelson's Bridge	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0065/0293					Flooding concerns from climate change and sea level rise
GY/LPA/Q/0065/0294				Runham Broad	Object Flooding concerns from climate change and sea level rise
GY/LPA/Q/0065/0295				Breydon Reach	Object Flooding concerns from climate change and sea level rise
GY/LPA/Q/0065/0296				Haven Approach	Support Develop attractive entrances to town Area is in need of regeneration
GY/LPA/Q/0065/0297				Ice House Quay	Object Flooding concerns from climate change and sea level rise
GY/LPA/Q/0065/0298				South Denes	Object Flooding concerns from climate change and sea level rise
GY/LPA/Q/0065/0299				Nelson's Bridge	Object Flooding concerns from climate change and sea level rise
GY/LPA/Q/0066/0300		G P	Jarus		Traffic problems should be addressed
GY/LPA/Q/0066/0301		G P	Jarus	Runham Broad	Object
GY/LPA/Q/0066/0302		G P	Jarus	Breydon Reach	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0066/0303		G P	Jarus	Haven Approach	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0066/0304		G P	Jarus	Ice House Quay	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0066/0305		G P	Jarus	South Denes	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0066/0306		G P	Jarus	Nelson's Bridge	Support Flooding concerns from climate change and sea level rise

## Great Yarmouth URC Area Action Plan

### Preferred Options Consultation; Consultee Responses

September 10, 2007

GY/LPA/Q/0067/0307		Louise	Bool		Southtown house prices should be on par with other areas such as Newton. I have been informed by numerous estate agents that if my property was eg. in Newtown, the value would increase by £50000. The reasons given were the run down state of the area and lack of money being used correctly.
GY/LPA/Q/0067/0308		Louise	Bool	Runham Broad	Object Traffic problems should be addressed
GY/LPA/Q/0067/0309		Louise	Bool	Breydon Reach	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0067/0310		Louise	Bool	Haven Approach	Support Area is in need of regeneration
GY/LPA/Q/0067/0311		Louise	Bool	Ice House Quay	Support Area is in need of regeneration
GY/LPA/Q/0067/0312		Louise	Bool	South Denes	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0067/0313		Louise	Bool	Nelson's Bridge	Support Traffic problems should be addressed
GY/LPA/Q/0068/0314		Ros	McManus		These plans appear good , but further explanation is needed. Perhaps this could be done by explaining about each specific area through the community partnerships. The general sharing session we had before was helpful (Central Area)
GY/LPA/Q/0068/0315		Ros	McManus	Runham Broad	Plans require more detail to enable comments to be made
GY/LPA/Q/0068/0316		Ros	McManus	Breydon Reach	Increase Parking Facilities Area is in need of regeneration
GY/LPA/Q/0068/0317		Ros	McManus	Haven Approach	Area is in need of regeneration
GY/LPA/Q/0068/0318		Ros	McManus	Ice House Quay	Plans require more detail to enable comments to be made
GY/LPA/Q/0068/0319		Ros	McManus	South Denes	Nelson's monument should be relocated/made more of a feature
GY/LPA/Q/0068/0320		Ros	McManus	Nelson's Bridge	Traffic problems should be addressed Further river crossing should be provided
GY/LPA/Q/0069/0321		E A	Eggleton		Provide social, community and arts facilities
GY/LPA/Q/0069/0322		E A	Eggleton	Runham Broad	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0069/0323		E A	Eggleton	Breydon Reach	Support Traffic problems should be addressed
GY/LPA/Q/0069/0324		E A	Eggleton	Haven Approach	Support Traffic problems should be addressed
GY/LPA/Q/0069/0325		E A	Eggleton	Ice House Quay	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0069/0326		E A	Eggleton	South Denes	Object
GY/LPA/Q/0069/0327		E A	Eggleton	Nelson's Bridge	Support Acle straight should be dualled
GY/LPA/Q/0070/0328					Provide an improved and integrated transport system, including public transport
GY/LPA/Q/0070/0329				Runham Broad	Support What sort of recreation and sports facilities? Plans require more detail to enable comments to be made

## Great Yarmouth URC Area Action Plan

### Preferred Options Consultation; Consultee Responses

September 10, 2007

GY/LPA/Q/0070/0330				Breydon Reach	Support Area is in need of regeneration
GY/LPA/Q/0070/0331				Haven Approach	Consideration should be given to environmental issues and impacts from development/sustainable development should be encouraged
GY/LPA/Q/0070/0332				Ice House Quay	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0070/0333				South Denes	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0070/0334				Nelson's Bridge	Support Traffic problems should be addressed
GY/LPA/Q/0072/0341		Tanya	King		Flooding concerns from climate change and sea level rise
GY/LPA/Q/0072/0342		Tanya	King	Runham Broad	Support Create more jobs and employment opportunities Provide social, community and arts facilities
GY/LPA/Q/0072/0343		Tanya	King	Breydon Reach	Object Growing health and education requirements should be met
GY/LPA/Q/0072/0344		Tanya	King	Haven Approach	Support Area is in need of regeneration
GY/LPA/Q/0072/0345		Tanya	King	Ice House Quay	Object - no need for more houses but more recreation facilities are ok in moderation
GY/LPA/Q/0072/0346		Tanya	King	South Denes	Support Area is in need of regeneration
GY/LPA/Q/0072/0347		Tanya	King	Nelson's Bridge	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0073/0348		W	Cooper		Flooding concerns from climate change and sea level rise
GY/LPA/Q/0073/0349		W	Cooper	Runham Broad	Support Tourism should be encouraged Flooding concerns from climate change and sea level rise
GY/LPA/Q/0073/0350		W	Cooper	Breydon Reach	Object No new homes should be built
GY/LPA/Q/0073/0351		W	Cooper	Haven Approach	Object
GY/LPA/Q/0073/0352		W	Cooper	Ice House Quay	Support Area is in need of regeneration
GY/LPA/Q/0073/0353		W	Cooper	South Denes	Object
GY/LPA/Q/0073/0354		W	Cooper	Nelson's Bridge	Support Provide an improved and integrated transport system, including public transport
GY/LPA/Q/0074/0355					
GY/LPA/Q/0074/0356				Runham Broad	Object
GY/LPA/Q/0074/0357				Breydon Reach	Object
GY/LPA/Q/0074/0358				Haven Approach	Object
GY/LPA/Q/0074/0359				Ice House Quay	Object
GY/LPA/Q/0074/0360				South Denes	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0074/0361				Nelson's Bridge	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0075/0362		J	Carter		Traffic problems should be addressed
GY/LPA/Q/0075/0363		J	Carter	Runham Broad	Support More park and ride schemes should be provided Tourism should be encouraged Provide social, community and arts facilities
GY/LPA/Q/0075/0364		J	Carter	Breydon Reach	Agree with transport proposals but too many new homes. Could include river crossing to link Caister with A12.

## Great Yarmouth URC Area Action Plan

### Preferred Options Consultation; Consultee Responses

September 10, 2007

GY/LPA/Q/0075/0365		J	Carter	Haven Approach	Support Area is in need of regeneration
GY/LPA/Q/0075/0366		J	Carter	Ice House Quay	Support Nelson's monument should be relocated/made more of a feature
GY/LPA/Q/0075/0367		J	Carter	South Denes	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0075/0368		J	Carter	Nelson's Bridge	Support in part, a new crossing to north of town is also needed
GY/LPA/Q/0076/0369				Runham Broad	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0076/0370				Breydon Reach	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0076/0371				Haven Approach	Object
GY/LPA/Q/0076/0372				Ice House Quay	Object
GY/LPA/Q/0076/0373				South Denes	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0076/0374				Nelson's Bridge	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0077/0375		Karen	Randell		Provide social, community and arts facilities
GY/LPA/Q/0077/0376		Karen	Randell	Runham Broad	Support Flooding concerns from climate change and sea level rise
GY/LPA/Q/0077/0377		Karen	Randell	Breydon Reach	Support Flooding concerns from climate change and sea level rise
GY/LPA/Q/0077/0378		Karen	Randell	Haven Approach	Support Flooding concerns from climate change and sea level rise
GY/LPA/Q/0077/0379		Karen	Randell	Ice House Quay	Support Flooding concerns from climate change and sea level rise
GY/LPA/Q/0077/0380		Karen	Randell	South Denes	Support Flooding concerns from climate change and sea level rise
GY/LPA/Q/0077/0381		Karen	Randell	Nelson's Bridge	Object Flooding concerns from climate change and sea level rise
GY/LPA/Q/0078/0382			Steward	Runham Broad	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0078/0383			Steward	Breydon Reach	Object
GY/LPA/Q/0078/0384			Steward	Haven Approach	Object
GY/LPA/Q/0078/0385			Steward	Ice House Quay	Object Growing health and education requirements should be met
GY/LPA/Q/0078/0386			Steward	South Denes	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0078/0387			Steward	Nelson's Bridge	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0079/0388				Runham Broad	Object
GY/LPA/Q/0079/0389				Breydon Reach	Support Provide an improved and integrated transport system, including public transport
GY/LPA/Q/0079/0390				Haven Approach	Support Traffic problems should be addressed
GY/LPA/Q/0079/0391				Ice House Quay	Support Flooding concerns from climate change and sea level rise
GY/LPA/Q/0079/0392				South Denes	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0079/0393				Nelson's Bridge	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0080/0394		P	Rainer	Breydon Reach	Support Increase Parking Facilities
GY/LPA/Q/0080/0395		P	Rainer	Haven Approach	Support Traffic problems should be addressed Area is in need of regeneration
GY/LPA/Q/0080/0396		P	Rainer	Nelson's Bridge	Support Further river crossing should be provided

## Great Yarmouth URC Area Action Plan

### Preferred Options Consultation; Consultee Responses

September 10, 2007

GY/LPA/Q/0081/0397		Len	Gent	Runham Broad	Support Create more jobs and employment opportunities
GY/LPA/Q/0081/0398		Len	Gent	Breydon Reach	Support Create more jobs and employment opportunities
GY/LPA/Q/0081/0399		Len	Gent	Haven Approach	Support Create more jobs and employment opportunities
GY/LPA/Q/0081/0400		Len	Gent	Ice House Quay	Create more jobs and employment opportunities
GY/LPA/Q/0081/0401		Len	Gent	South Denes	Support Create more jobs and employment opportunities
GY/LPA/Q/0081/0402		Len	Gent	Nelson's Bridge	Support Create more jobs and employment opportunities
GY/LPA/Q/0082/0403		Stephen	Bower	Runham Broad	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0082/0404		Stephen	Bower	Breydon Reach	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0082/0405		Stephen	Bower	Haven Approach	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0082/0406		Stephen	Bower	Ice House Quay	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0082/0407		Stephen	Bower	South Denes	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0082/0408		Stephen	Bower	Nelson's Bridge	Support Further river crossing should be provided
GY/LPA/Q/0083/0409					Provide an improved and integrated transport system, including public transport
GY/LPA/Q/0083/0410				Runham Broad	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0083/0411				Breydon Reach	Support Development of New Quay in Cobholm is a good idea
GY/LPA/Q/0083/0412				Haven Approach	Support Concerns over provision of emergency services
GY/LPA/Q/0083/0413				Ice House Quay	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0083/0414				South Denes	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0083/0415				Nelson's Bridge	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0084/0416		Adrian	Gardiner		Support - consideration should be given to environmental issues and impacts from development, particularly invertebrate sites
GY/LPA/Q/0084/0417		Adrian	Gardiner	Runham Broad	Support but consideration should be given to environmental issues and impacts from development/sustainable development should be encouraged
GY/LPA/Q/0084/0418		Adrian	Gardiner	Breydon Reach	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0084/0419		Adrian	Gardiner	Haven Approach	Support - improved links are required between Cobholm and Southtown
GY/LPA/Q/0084/0420		Adrian	Gardiner	Ice House Quay	Support but traffic problems should be addressed
GY/LPA/Q/0084/0421		Adrian	Gardiner	South Denes	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0084/0422		Adrian	Gardiner	Nelson's Bridge	Support - further river crossing essential for EastPort
GY/LPA/Q/0085/0423		D	Taylor		
GY/LPA/Q/0085/0424		D	Taylor	Runham Broad	Object
GY/LPA/Q/0085/0425		D	Taylor	Breydon Reach	Support Increase Parking Facilities Tourism should be encouraged Area is in need of regeneration
GY/LPA/Q/0085/0426		D	Taylor	Haven Approach	Object
GY/LPA/Q/0085/0427		D	Taylor	Ice House Quay	Support Will be expensive/Where is the funding coming from?
GY/LPA/Q/0085/0428		D	Taylor	South Denes	Object Traffic problems should be addressed
GY/LPA/Q/0085/0429		D	Taylor	Nelson's Bridge	Object

## Great Yarmouth URC Area Action Plan

### Preferred Options Consultation; Consultee Responses

September 10, 2007

GY/LPA/Q/0086/0430				Runham Broad	Support Area is in need of regeneration
GY/LPA/Q/0086/0431				Breydon Reach	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0086/0432				Haven Approach	Support Work should start immediately Area is in need of regeneration
GY/LPA/Q/0086/0433				Ice House Quay	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0086/0434				South Denes	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0086/0435				Nelson's Bridge	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0087/0436		M	Knell		Support for the whole scheme
GY/LPA/Q/0087/0437		M	Knell	South Denes	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0088/0438				Runham Broad	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0088/0439				Breydon Reach	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0088/0440				Haven Approach	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0088/0441				Ice House Quay	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0088/0442				South Denes	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0088/0443				Nelson's Bridge	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0089/0444			Durrant	Ice House Quay	Support Area is in need of regeneration
GY/LPA/Q/0090/0445				Runham Broad	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0090/0446				Breydon Reach	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0090/0447				Haven Approach	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0090/0448				Ice House Quay	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0090/0449				South Denes	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0090/0450				Nelson's Bridge	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0091/0451		John	Hubbard	Runham Broad	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0091/0452		John	Hubbard	Breydon Reach	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0091/0453		John	Hubbard	Haven Approach	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0091/0454		John	Hubbard	Ice House Quay	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0091/0455		John	Hubbard	South Denes	Support Area is in need of regeneration
GY/LPA/Q/0091/0456		John	Hubbard	Nelson's Bridge	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0092/0457		G H	Winn	South Denes	Support Area is in need of regeneration
GY/LPA/Q/0092/0458		G H	Winn	Nelson's Bridge	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0093/0459				Runham Broad	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0093/0460				Breydon Reach	Object No new homes should be built
GY/LPA/Q/0093/0461				Haven Approach	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0093/0462				Ice House Quay	Object No new homes should be built
GY/LPA/Q/0093/0463				South Denes	Support Area is in need of regeneration
GY/LPA/Q/0093/0464				Nelson's Bridge	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0094/0465				Runham Broad	Support Support for the whole scheme
GY/LPA/Q/0094/0466				Breydon Reach	Support Support for the whole scheme
GY/LPA/Q/0094/0467				Haven Approach	Support Support for the whole scheme

## Great Yarmouth URC Area Action Plan

### Preferred Options Consultation; Consultee Responses

September 10, 2007

GY/LPA/Q/0094/0468				Ice House Quay	Support Support for the whole scheme
GY/LPA/Q/0094/0469				South Denes	Support Support for the whole scheme
GY/LPA/Q/0094/0470				Nelson's Bridge	Support Support for the whole scheme
GY/LPA/Q/0095/0471		Wilma	Kilpatrick		Acle straight should be dualled Support for the whole scheme
GY/LPA/Q/0095/0472		Wilma	Kilpatrick	Runham Broad	Support Tourism should be encouraged
GY/LPA/Q/0095/0473		Wilma	Kilpatrick	Breydon Reach	Support A wide mix of housing should be provided, including affordable housing
GY/LPA/Q/0095/0474		Wilma	Kilpatrick	Haven Approach	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0095/0475		Wilma	Kilpatrick	Ice House Quay	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0095/0476		Wilma	Kilpatrick	South Denes	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0095/0477		Wilma	Kilpatrick	Nelson's Bridge	Support Further river crossing should be provided
GY/LPA/Q/0096/0478		M H	Rumbelow		Provide infrastructure and amenities to support future development
GY/LPA/Q/0096/0479		M H	Rumbelow	Runham Broad	Support Traffic problems should be addressed Concern over the generation of traffic from future development
GY/LPA/Q/0096/0480		M H	Rumbelow	Breydon Reach	Support Traffic problems should be addressed Concern over the generation of traffic from future development
GY/LPA/Q/0096/0481		M H	Rumbelow	Haven Approach	Support Traffic problems should be addressed Concern over the generation of traffic from future development
GY/LPA/Q/0096/0482		M H	Rumbelow	Ice House Quay	Support Traffic problems should be addressed Concern over the generation of traffic from future development
GY/LPA/Q/0096/0483		M H	Rumbelow	South Denes	Support Traffic problems should be addressed Concern over the generation of traffic from future development
GY/LPA/Q/0096/0484		M H	Rumbelow	Nelson's Bridge	Support Traffic problems should be addressed Concern over the generation of traffic from future development
GY/LPA/Q/0097/0485		Chris	Stanley		I am a soon to be 50 year old sales engineer (electrical), if you are looking for someone who is enthusiastic about this cause and to help you sell it then look no further, I am your man. Support for the whole scheme
GY/LPA/Q/0097/0486		Chris	Stanley	Runham Broad	Support Will be expensive/Where is the funding coming from?
GY/LPA/Q/0097/0487		Chris	Stanley	Breydon Reach	Support Will be expensive/Where is the funding coming from?
GY/LPA/Q/0097/0488		Chris	Stanley	Haven Approach	Support Will be expensive/Where is the funding coming from?
GY/LPA/Q/0097/0489		Chris	Stanley	Ice House Quay	Support Will be expensive/Where is the funding coming from?
GY/LPA/Q/0097/0490		Chris	Stanley	South Denes	Support Area is in need of regeneration

## Great Yarmouth URC Area Action Plan

### Preferred Options Consultation; Consultee Responses

September 10, 2007

GY/LPA/Q/0097/0491		Chris	Stanley	Nelson's Bridge	Support Further river crossing should be provided
GY/LPA/Q/0098/0492		Karl	Reeder	Runham Broad	Object Create more jobs and employment opportunities A wide mix of housing should be provided, including affordable housing
GY/LPA/Q/0098/0493		Karl	Reeder	Breydon Reach	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0098/0494		Karl	Reeder	Haven Approach	Object
GY/LPA/Q/0098/0495		Karl	Reeder	Ice House Quay	Object
GY/LPA/Q/0098/0496		Karl	Reeder	South Denes	Support Area is in need of regeneration
GY/LPA/Q/0098/0497		Karl	Reeder	Nelson's Bridge	Support Provide an improved and integrated transport system, including public transport Further river crossing should be provided
GY/LPA/Q/0099/0498					Work should start immediately Area is in need of regeneration
GY/LPA/Q/0099/0499				Haven Approach	Support Traffic problems should be addressed
GY/LPA/Q/0100/0500		Martin P C	Annis	Runham Broad	Support Area is in need of regeneration
GY/LPA/Q/0100/0501		Martin P C	Annis	Breydon Reach	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0100/0502		Martin P C	Annis	Haven Approach	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0100/0503		Martin P C	Annis	Ice House Quay	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0100/0504		Martin P C	Annis	South Denes	Support Area is in need of regeneration
GY/LPA/Q/0100/0505		Martin P C	Annis	Nelson's Bridge	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0101/0506		G B	Palfrey	Runham Broad	Support Area is in need of regeneration
GY/LPA/Q/0101/0507		G B	Palfrey	Breydon Reach	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0101/0508		G B	Palfrey	Haven Approach	Support Area is in need of regeneration
GY/LPA/Q/0101/0509		G B	Palfrey	Ice House Quay	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0101/0510		G B	Palfrey	South Denes	Support Area is in need of regeneration
GY/LPA/Q/0101/0511		G B	Palfrey	Nelson's Bridge	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0103/0518		P	Bailey	Runham Broad	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0103/0519		P	Bailey	Breydon Reach	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0103/0520		P	Bailey	Haven Approach	Support Area is in need of regeneration
GY/LPA/Q/0103/0521		P	Bailey	Ice House Quay	Support Area is in need of regeneration
GY/LPA/Q/0103/0522		P	Bailey	South Denes	Support Area is in need of regeneration
GY/LPA/Q/0103/0523		P	Bailey	Nelson's Bridge	Object This is not in the right place and will cause traffic problems

## Great Yarmouth URC Area Action Plan

### Preferred Options Consultation; Consultee Responses

September 10, 2007

GY/LPA/Q/0104/0524		Patricia	Page	Runham Broad	Support Area is in need of regeneration
GY/LPA/Q/0104/0525		Patricia	Page	Breydon Reach	Support Area is in need of regeneration
GY/LPA/Q/0104/0526		Patricia	Page	Haven Approach	Support Area is in need of regeneration
GY/LPA/Q/0104/0527		Patricia	Page	Ice House Quay	Support Area is in need of regeneration
GY/LPA/Q/0104/0528		Patricia	Page	South Denes	Support Area is in need of regeneration
GY/LPA/Q/0104/0529		Patricia	Page	Nelson's Bridge	Support Local Organisations/Business and workers should be supported Area is in need of regeneration
GY/LPA/Q/0105/0530		L	Cockrill	Runham Broad	Object
GY/LPA/Q/0105/0531		L	Cockrill	Breydon Reach	Support Provide an improved and integrated transport system, including public transport
GY/LPA/Q/0105/0532		L	Cockrill	Haven Approach	Object
GY/LPA/Q/0105/0533		L	Cockrill	Ice House Quay	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0105/0534		L	Cockrill	South Denes	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0105/0535		L	Cockrill	Nelson's Bridge	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0106/0536		Andy	Docwra	Runham Broad	Support Consideration should be given to environmental issues and impacts from development/sustainable development should be encouraged
GY/LPA/Q/0106/0537		Andy	Docwra	Breydon Reach	Support Area is in need of regeneration
GY/LPA/Q/0106/0538		Andy	Docwra	Haven Approach	Support Develop attractive entrances to town
GY/LPA/Q/0106/0539		Andy	Docwra	Ice House Quay	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0106/0540		Andy	Docwra	South Denes	Support Acle straight should be dualled
GY/LPA/Q/0106/0541		Andy	Docwra	Nelson's Bridge	Support Further river crossing should be provided
GY/LPA/Q/0107/0542				Runham Broad	Support Create more jobs and employment opportunities Plans should take in to consideration other areas existing and future plans and policies
GY/LPA/Q/0107/0543				Breydon Reach	Support Increase Parking Facilities Plans should take in to consideration other areas existing and future plans and policies
GY/LPA/Q/0107/0544				Haven Approach	Support Tourism should be encouraged Create more jobs and employment opportunities
GY/LPA/Q/0107/0545				Ice House Quay	Object No new homes should be built
GY/LPA/Q/0107/0546				South Denes	Support Area is in need of regeneration
GY/LPA/Q/0107/0547				Nelson's Bridge	Object
GY/LPA/Q/0108/0548					Traffic problems should be addressed

## Great Yarmouth URC Area Action Plan

Preferred Options Consultation; Consultee Responses  
September 10, 2007

GY/LPA/Q/0108/0549				Runham Broad	Object - until the traffic problems are solved all Preferred Options should be deferred
GY/LPA/Q/0108/0550				Breydon Reach	Object - until the traffic problems are solved all Preferred Options should be deferred
GY/LPA/Q/0108/0551				Haven Approach	Object - until the traffic problems are solved all Preferred Options should be deferred
GY/LPA/Q/0108/0552				Ice House Quay	Object - until the traffic problems are solved all Preferred Options should be deferred
GY/LPA/Q/0108/0553				South Denes	Object - until the traffic problems are solved all Preferred Options should be deferred
GY/LPA/Q/0108/0554				Nelson's Bridge	Support - essential to provide to cope with EastPort traffic, which will not be allowed on Marine Parade
GY/LPA/Q/0109/0555		Mark	Smith	Runham Broad	Support Growing demand for transport to the regional centre and around town must be met Tourism should be encouraged
GY/LPA/Q/0109/0556		Mark	Smith	Breydon Reach	Support Tourism should be encouraged A wide mix of housing should be provided, including affordable housing
GY/LPA/Q/0109/0557		Mark	Smith	Haven Approach	Object Provide social, community and arts facilities
GY/LPA/Q/0109/0558		Mark	Smith	Ice House Quay	Support A wide mix of housing should be provided, including affordable housing
GY/LPA/Q/0109/0559		Mark	Smith	South Denes	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0109/0560		Mark	Smith	Nelson's Bridge	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0110/0561		A	Davy		More park and ride schemes should be provided
GY/LPA/Q/0110/0562		A	Davy	Breydon Reach	Support Increase Parking Facilities Provide social, community and arts facilities
GY/LPA/Q/0110/0563		A	Davy	Haven Approach	Support Develop attractive entrances to town
GY/LPA/Q/0110/0564		A	Davy	Ice House Quay	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0110/0565		A	Davy	South Denes	Support Local Organisations/Business and workers should be supported Create more jobs and employment opportunities
GY/LPA/Q/0110/0566		A	Davy	Nelson's Bridge	Support Further river crossing should be provided
GY/LPA/Q/0137/643				Runham Broad	Object Create more jobs and employment opportunities Consideration should be given to environmental issues and impacts from development/sustainable development should be encouraged
GY/LPA/Q/0137/644				Breydon Reach	Support Provide social, community and arts facilities

## Great Yarmouth URC Area Action Plan

### Preferred Options Consultation; Consultee Responses

September 10, 2007

GY/LPA/Q/0137/645				Haven Approach	Support Local Organisations/Business and workers should be supported
GY/LPA/Q/0137/646				Ice House Quay	Local Organisations/Business and workers should be supported Area is in need of regeneration
GY/LPA/Q/0137/647				South Denes	Increase Parking Facilities Area is in need of regeneration
GY/LPA/Q/0137/648				Nelson's Bridge	Support Increase Parking Facilities Further river crossing should be provided
GY/LPA/Q/0138/649					Create more jobs and employment opportunities
GY/LPA/Q/0138/650				Runham Broad	Object
GY/LPA/Q/0138/651				Breydon Reach	Support No new homes should be built
GY/LPA/Q/0138/652				Haven Approach	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0138/653				Ice House Quay	Object
GY/LPA/Q/0138/654				South Denes	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0138/655				Nelson's Bridge	Support Further river crossing should be provided
GY/LPA/Q/0139/656		R W	Rozier		Diversify Economy A wide mix of housing should be provided, including affordable housing
GY/LPA/Q/0139/657		R W	Rozier	Runham Broad	Object - make the whole area recreational
GY/LPA/Q/0139/658		R W	Rozier	Breydon Reach	Object Provide social, community and arts facilities
GY/LPA/Q/0139/659		R W	Rozier	Haven Approach	Object - this area has best potnetial for low cost housing
GY/LPA/Q/0139/660		R W	Rozier	Ice House Quay	Support I believe this is basically good. Although would it mean Yarmouth losing it's last dry dock and support to the Harbour Vessels?
GY/LPA/Q/0139/661		R W	Rozier	South Denes	Support and should cover a larger area
GY/LPA/Q/0139/662		R W	Rozier	Nelson's Bridge	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0141/670		M	Taylor		object to removal of allotments Flooding concerns from climate change and sea level rise
GY/LPA/Q/0142/671				Runham Broad	Object Too much emphasis on tourism
GY/LPA/Q/0142/672				Breydon Reach	Object
GY/LPA/Q/0142/673				Haven Approach	Support Local Organisations/Business and workers should be supported Action should be taken with regard to empty shops Flooding concerns from climate change and sea level rise
GY/LPA/Q/0142/674				Ice House Quay	Object Area is in need of regeneration
GY/LPA/Q/0142/675				South Denes	Support Port related activity should continue
GY/LPA/Q/0142/676				Nelson's Bridge	Object
GY/LPA/Q/0144/685				Haven Approach	Support Area is in need of regeneration
GY/LPA/Q/0144/686				South Denes	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0144/687				Nelson's Bridge	Support

## Great Yarmouth URC Area Action Plan

### Preferred Options Consultation; Consultee Responses

September 10, 2007

GY/LPA/Q/0145/689		S	Hircock		Growing demand for transport to the regional centre and around town must be met Traffic problems should be addressed
GY/LPA/Q/0145/690		S	Hircock	Breydon Reach	Support Develop attractive entrances to town
GY/LPA/Q/0145/691		S	Hircock	Haven Approach	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0145/692		S	Hircock	Ice House Quay	Support Ensure that the potential of the waterfront is fully exploited
GY/LPA/Q/0145/693		S	Hircock	Nelson's Bridge	Support Further river crossing should be provided
GY/LPA/Q/0146/694		Neil and Jenni	Livingstone	Runham Broad	Object
GY/LPA/Q/0146/695		Neil and Jenni	Livingstone	Breydon Reach	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0146/696		Neil and Jenni	Livingstone	Haven Approach	Support Develop attractive entrances to town
GY/LPA/Q/0146/697		Neil and Jenni	Livingstone	Ice House Quay	Support Will be expensive/Where is the funding coming from?
GY/LPA/Q/0146/698		Neil and Jenni	Livingstone	South Denes	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0146/699		Neil and Jenni	Livingstone	Nelson's Bridge	Support Further river crossing should be provided
GY/LPA/Q/0147/700				Runham Broad	Support Create more jobs and employment opportunities A wide mix of housing should be provided, including affordable housing
GY/LPA/Q/0147/701				Breydon Reach	Support Create more jobs and employment opportunities A wide mix of housing should be provided, including affordable housing
GY/LPA/Q/0147/702				Haven Approach	Support Create more jobs and employment opportunities A wide mix of housing should be provided, including affordable housing
GY/LPA/Q/0147/703				Ice House Quay	Support Create more jobs and employment opportunities A wide mix of housing should be provided, including affordable housing
GY/LPA/Q/0147/704				South Denes	Support Create more jobs and employment opportunities A wide mix of housing should be provided, including affordable housing
GY/LPA/Q/0147/705				Nelson's Bridge	Support Create more jobs and employment opportunities A wide mix of housing should be provided, including affordable housing
GY/LPA/Q/0149/712		Nigel	Smith		Traffic problems should be addressed Further river crossing should be provided
GY/LPA/Q/0149/713		Nigel	Smith	Runham Broad	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0149/714		Nigel	Smith	Breydon Reach	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0149/715		Nigel	Smith	Haven Approach	Support Develop attractive entrances to town
GY/LPA/Q/0149/716		Nigel	Smith	Ice House Quay	Support Area is in need of regeneration

## Great Yarmouth URC Area Action Plan

### Preferred Options Consultation; Consultee Responses

September 10, 2007

GY/LPA/Q/0149/717		Nigel	Smith	South Denes	Support Increase Parking Facilities Provide social, community and arts facilities Area is in need of regeneration
GY/LPA/Q/0149/718		Nigel	Smith	Nelson's Bridge	Support Improve the congestion and problems relating to Gapton Hall Roundabout Further river crossing should be provided
GY/LPA/Q/0151/725		Colin	Denny		Traffic problems should be addressed
GY/LPA/Q/0151/726		Colin	Denny	Runham Broad	Object Traffic problems should be addressed
GY/LPA/Q/0151/727		Colin	Denny	Breydon Reach	Object Traffic problems should be addressed
GY/LPA/Q/0151/728		Colin	Denny	Haven Approach	Object Traffic problems should be addressed
GY/LPA/Q/0151/729		Colin	Denny	Ice House Quay	Object Traffic problems should be addressed
GY/LPA/Q/0151/730		Colin	Denny	South Denes	Object Traffic problems should be addressed
GY/LPA/Q/0151/731		Colin	Denny	Nelson's Bridge	Object Traffic problems should be addressed
GY/LPA/Q/0152/732		G E	Atkinson	Runham Broad	Support Concerns over provision of emergency services Flooding concerns from climate change and sea level rise
GY/LPA/Q/0152/733		G E	Atkinson	Breydon Reach	Object Growing Utility demand Growing health and education requirements should be met
GY/LPA/Q/0152/734		G E	Atkinson	Haven Approach	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0152/735		G E	Atkinson	Ice House Quay	Object Growing Utility demand
GY/LPA/Q/0152/736		G E	Atkinson	South Denes	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0152/737		G E	Atkinson	Nelson's Bridge	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0153/738		D A	Bavington		Support for the whole scheme
GY/LPA/Q/0153/739		D A	Bavington	Runham Broad	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0153/740		D A	Bavington	Breydon Reach	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0153/741		D A	Bavington	Haven Approach	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0153/742		D A	Bavington	Ice House Quay	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0153/743		D A	Bavington	South Denes	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0153/744		D A	Bavington	Nelson's Bridge	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0154/745					A wide mix of housing should be provided, including affordable housing

## Great Yarmouth URC Area Action Plan

Preferred Options Consultation; Consultee Responses

September 10, 2007

GY/LPA/Q/0154/746				Runham Broad	Support Consideration should be given to environmental issues and impacts from development/sustainable development should be encouraged
GY/LPA/Q/0154/747				Breydon Reach	Support Increase Parking Facilities Support improvement/revamp of train station
GY/LPA/Q/0154/748				Haven Approach	Support Local Organisations/Business and workers should be supported
GY/LPA/Q/0154/749				Ice House Quay	Object Local Organisations/Business and workers should be supported
GY/LPA/Q/0154/750				South Denes	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0154/751				Nelson's Bridge	Support Further river crossing should be provided
GY/LPA/Q/0155/752		S R	Hubbard		Cycle and pedestrain routes should be provided and improved Plans require more detail to enable comments to be made
GY/LPA/Q/0155/753		S R	Hubbard	Runham Broad	Support will any of the housing have their own water frontage?
GY/LPA/Q/0155/754		S R	Hubbard	Breydon Reach	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0155/755		S R	Hubbard	Haven Approach	Support Consideration should be given to environmental issues and impacts from development/sustainable development should be encouraged
GY/LPA/Q/0155/756		S R	Hubbard	Ice House Quay	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0155/757		S R	Hubbard	South Denes	Support Plans require more detail to enable comments to be made
GY/LPA/Q/0155/758		S R	Hubbard	Nelson's Bridge	Support Acle straight should be dualled Further river crossing should be provided
GY/LPA/Q/0156/759		R J	Cleland		Traffic problems should be addressed Further river crossing should be provided Tourism should be encouraged Develop attractive entrances to town Policies should be included to protect and enhance cultural heritage
GY/LPA/Q/0156/760		R J	Cleland	Runham Broad	Support Support improvement/revamp of train station Develop attractive entrances to town
GY/LPA/Q/0156/761		R J	Cleland	Breydon Reach	Support Develop attractive entrances to town
GY/LPA/Q/0156/762		R J	Cleland	Haven Approach	Support Develop attractive entrances to town
GY/LPA/Q/0156/763		R J	Cleland	Ice House Quay	Object Nelson's monument should be relocated/made more of a feature
GY/LPA/Q/0156/764		R J	Cleland	South Denes	Support Area is in need of regeneration

## Great Yarmouth URC Area Action Plan

### Preferred Options Consultation; Consultee Responses

September 10, 2007

GY/LPA/Q/0156/765		R J	Cleland	Nelson's Bridge	Support Improve the congestion and problems relating to Gapton Hall Roundabout Traffic problems should be addressed Further river crossing should be provided
GY/LPA/Q/0157/766		A	Mason	Breydon Reach	Support Would like to know how many in my road the date, the plan, My options Area is in need of regeneration
GY/LPA/Q/0158/767		Mark	Speight	Ice House Quay	Support Tourism should be encouraged Ice House should be developed
GY/LPA/Q/0158/768		Mark	Speight	Breydon Reach	Support Develop attractive entrances to town Area is in need of regeneration
GY/LPA/Q/0158/769		Mark	Speight	Haven Approach	Object
GY/LPA/Q/0158/770		Mark	Speight	Ice House Quay	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0159/770				Breydon Reach	Support Increase Parking Facilities Support improvement/revamp of train station
GY/LPA/Q/0159/771				Nelson's Bridge	Support Further river crossing should be provided
GY/LPA/Q/0160/772		S	Hecquet		Increase Parking Facilities Create more jobs and employment opportunities A wide mix of housing should be provided, including affordable housing Improve access to and maintenance of existing and provide more open space Develop attractive entrances to town
GY/LPA/Q/0160/773		S	Hecquet	Runham Broad	Support A wide mix of housing should be provided, including affordable housing Provide social, community and arts facilities
GY/LPA/Q/0160/774		S	Hecquet	Breydon Reach	Support Support improvement/revamp of train station Further river crossing should be provided Develop attractive entrances to town Area is in need of regeneration
GY/LPA/Q/0160/775		S	Hecquet	Haven Approach	Support Traffic problems should be addressed
GY/LPA/Q/0160/776		S	Hecquet	Ice House Quay	Support Provide social, community and arts facilities
GY/LPA/Q/0160/777		S	Hecquet	South Denes	Support Area is in need of regeneration
GY/LPA/Q/0160/778		S	Hecquet	Nelson's Bridge	Support Improve the congestion and problems relating to Gapton Hall Roundabout Further river crossing should be provided
GY/LPA/Q/0161/779		Alan	Gay		Ensure that the potential of the waterfront is fully exploited
GY/LPA/Q/0161/780		Alan	Gay	Runham Broad	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0161/781		Alan	Gay	Breydon Reach	Support Provide social, community and arts facilities

## Great Yarmouth URC Area Action Plan

### Preferred Options Consultation; Consultee Responses

September 10, 2007

GY/LPA/Q/0161/782		Alan	Gay	Haven Approach	Support Do not 'overdevelop'
GY/LPA/Q/0161/783		Alan	Gay	Ice House Quay	Support Do not 'overdevelop'
GY/LPA/Q/0161/784		Alan	Gay	South Denes	Support Do not 'overdevelop'
GY/LPA/Q/0161/785		Alan	Gay	Nelson's Bridge	Support Do not 'overdevelop'
GY/LPA/Q/0162/786					Support Tourism should be encouraged Diversify Economy A wide mix of housing should be provided, including affordable housing Provide social, community and arts facilities Develop attractive entrances to town Support for the whole scheme
GY/LPA/Q/0162/787				Runham Broad	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0162/788				Breydon Reach	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0162/789				Haven Approach	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0162/790				Ice House Quay	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0162/791				South Denes	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0162/792				Nelson's Bridge	Support Further river crossing should be provided
GY/LPA/Q/0163/793		L	Pearson		Support Support for the whole scheme
GY/LPA/Q/0163/794		L	Pearson	Runham Broad	Tourism should be encouraged Provide social, community and arts facilities
GY/LPA/Q/0163/795		L	Pearson	Breydon Reach	
GY/LPA/Q/0163/796		L	Pearson	Haven Approach	Develop attractive entrances to town
GY/LPA/Q/0163/797		L	Pearson	Ice House Quay	Tourism should be encouraged Area is in need of regeneration
GY/LPA/Q/0163/798		L	Pearson	South Denes	Nelson's monument should be relocated/made more of a feature
GY/LPA/Q/0163/799		L	Pearson	Nelson's Bridge	Further river crossing should be provided
GY/LPA/Q/0164/800		A	Budas		Plans require more detail to enable comments to be made
GY/LPA/Q/0164/801		A	Budas	Runham Broad	Support Area is in need of regeneration
GY/LPA/Q/0164/802		A	Budas	Breydon Reach	Support Area is in need of regeneration
GY/LPA/Q/0164/803		A	Budas	Haven Approach	Support Area is in need of regeneration
GY/LPA/Q/0164/804		A	Budas	Ice House Quay	Support Area is in need of regeneration
GY/LPA/Q/0164/805		A	Budas	South Denes	Support Area is in need of regeneration
GY/LPA/Q/0164/806		A	Budas	Nelson's Bridge	Support Further river crossing should be provided
GY/LPA/Q/0165/807		A	Chaplin	Nelson's Bridge	Support Further river crossing should be provided

## Great Yarmouth URC Area Action Plan

Preferred Options Consultation; Consultee Responses  
September 10, 2007

GY/LPA/Q/0166/808				Runham Broad	Object Will housing be affordable? The river is tidal, so are locks needed as is proposed?
GY/LPA/Q/0166/809				Breydon Reach	Support Plans require more detail to enable comments to be made
GY/LPA/Q/0166/810				Haven Approach	Support Area is in need of regeneration
GY/LPA/Q/0166/811				Ice House Quay	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0166/812				South Denes	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0166/813				Nelson's Bridge	Support Traffic problems should be addressed Further river crossing should be provided
GY/LPA/Q/0167/814		S M	Allen	Runham Broad	Support How will tides affect Broads? Will it be lots of mud at low tide? Will there be public transport to new area? Provide social, community and arts facilities Will be expensive/Where is the funding coming from?
GY/LPA/Q/0167/815		S M	Allen	Breydon Reach	Object Use space to develop conservation area
GY/LPA/Q/0167/816		S M	Allen	Haven Approach	Support Access to Cobholm and Southtown is bad. Don't know how you will help this but if you keep marsh on corner of Pasteur Road this will help to keep some green space and also provide an area for natural drainage. Trees are often planted and then not maintained.
GY/LPA/Q/0167/817		S M	Allen	Ice House Quay	Support Foot bridge - will this restrict the height of river traffic? Seems to contradict your plan for marina. Provide social, community and arts facilities
GY/LPA/Q/0167/818		S M	Allen	South Denes	Object Traffic problems should be addressed Ensure that the potential of the waterfront is fully exploited Area is in need of regeneration
GY/LPA/Q/0167/819		S M	Allen	Nelson's Bridge	Traffic problems should be addressed
GY/LPA/Q/0168/820		J G	Craig	Runham Broad	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0168/821		J G	Craig	Breydon Reach	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0168/822		J G	Craig	Haven Approach	Support Improve the congestion and problems relating to Gapton Hall Roundabout Traffic problems should be addressed Develop attractive entrances to town

## Great Yarmouth URC Area Action Plan

### Preferred Options Consultation; Consultee Responses

September 10, 2007

GY/LPA/Q/0168/823		J G	Craig	Ice House Quay	Support Ice House should be developed
GY/LPA/Q/0168/824		J G	Craig	South Denes	Support Area is in need of regeneration
GY/LPA/Q/0168/825		J G	Craig	Nelson's Bridge	Support Further river crossing should be provided
GY/LPA/Q/0169/826		J	Pretty		Improve the congestion and problems relating to Gapton Hall Roundabout Traffic problems should be addressed Concern over the generation of traffic from future development Support for the whole scheme
GY/LPA/Q/0169/827		J	Pretty	Nelson's Bridge	Support Further river crossing should be provided
GY/LPA/Q/0170/828		M R	Gray		Parking policies should consider contributors to the economy eg. Theatres, cultural and entertainment facilities, visitors Increase Parking Facilities
GY/LPA/Q/0170/829		M R	Gray	Runham Broad	Object
GY/LPA/Q/0170/830		M R	Gray	Breydon Reach	Object
GY/LPA/Q/0170/831		M R	Gray	Haven Approach	Object
GY/LPA/Q/0170/832		M R	Gray	Ice House Quay	Object
GY/LPA/Q/0170/833		M R	Gray	South Denes	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0170/834		M R	Gray	Nelson's Bridge	Support Further river crossing should be provided
GY/LPA/Q/0172/841		Cameron	Newark	Runham Broad	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0172/842		Cameron	Newark	Breydon Reach	Support Support improvement/revamp of train station
GY/LPA/Q/0172/843		Cameron	Newark	Haven Approach	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0172/844		Cameron	Newark	Ice House Quay	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0172/845		Cameron	Newark	South Denes	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0172/846		Cameron	Newark	Nelson's Bridge	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0173/847		P	Jacobs		Acle straight should be dualled
GY/LPA/Q/0173/848		P	Jacobs	Runham Broad	Support Tourism should be encouraged Consideration should be given to environmental issues and impacts from development/sustainable development should be encouraged
GY/LPA/Q/0173/849		P	Jacobs	Breydon Reach	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0173/850		P	Jacobs	Haven Approach	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0173/851		P	Jacobs	Ice House Quay	Support Ice House should be developed Area is in need of regeneration
GY/LPA/Q/0173/852		P	Jacobs	South Denes	Support Acle straight should be dualled Further river crossing should be provided
GY/LPA/Q/0173/853		P	Jacobs	Nelson's Bridge	I can not see how the South Denes and Nelson's Bridge Schemes can be considered as separate as one is impossible without the other.

## Great Yarmouth URC Area Action Plan

Preferred Options Consultation; Consultee Responses  
September 10, 2007

GY/LPA/Q/0174/854		Pat	Hillier		Provide an improved and integrated transport system, including public transport Consideration should be given to special needs Provide social, community and arts facilities
GY/LPA/Q/0179/860		J	English		More park and ride schemes should be provided Increase Parking Facilities New facilities/Existing town centre facilities/appearance need improving Growing Utility demand Growing health and education requirements should be met
GY/LPA/Q/0179/861		J	English	Runham Broad	Support Tourism should be encouraged Area is in need of regeneration
GY/LPA/Q/0179/862		J	English	Breydon Reach	Support Increase Parking Facilities Develop attractive entrances to town Area is in need of regeneration
GY/LPA/Q/0179/863		J	English	Haven Approach	Support Develop attractive entrances to town
GY/LPA/Q/0179/864		J	English	Ice House Quay	Support Provide infrastructure and amenities to support future development Area is in need of regeneration
GY/LPA/Q/0179/865		J	English	South Denes	Support Nelson's monument should be relocated/made more of a feature Area is in need of regeneration
GY/LPA/Q/0179/866		J	English	Nelson's Bridge	Support Further river crossing should be provided
GY/LPA/Q/0894/3982					Concern over the generation of traffic from future development
GY/LPA/Q/0894/3983				East of England Park	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0894/3984				Fishers' Wharf	Object
GY/LPA/Q/0894/3985				Peto Square	Object Improve access to and maintenance of existing and provide more open space
GY/LPA/Q/0894/3986				Kirkley Waterfront	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0894/3987				Lothing Crossing	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0894/3988				Brooke Peninsula	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0931/4097		Margaret	Farrow		Plans require more detail to enable comments to be made
GY/LPA/Q/0931/4098		Margaret	Farrow	Runham Broad	Support Plans require more detail to enable comments to be made
GY/LPA/Q/0931/4099		Margaret	Farrow	Breydon Reach	Support Area is in need of regeneration
GY/LPA/Q/0931/4100		Margaret	Farrow	Haven Approach	Support check if other groups are landscaping to avoid duplication

## Great Yarmouth URC Area Action Plan

### Preferred Options Consultation; Consultee Responses

September 10, 2007

GY/LPA/Q/0931/4101		Margaret	Farrow	Ice House Quay	Support Policies should be included to protect and enhance cultural heritage
GY/LPA/Q/0931/4102		Margaret	Farrow	South Denes	Support Bridge should be a tunnel
GY/LPA/Q/0931/4103		Margaret	Farrow	Nelson's Bridge	Support Plans require more detail to enable comments to be made
GY/LPA/Q/0940/4135				Runham Broad	Support Tourism should be encouraged
GY/LPA/Q/0940/4136				Breydon Reach	Support Area is in need of regeneration
GY/LPA/Q/0940/4137				Haven Approach	Support
GY/LPA/Q/0940/4138				Ice House Quay	Support More restaurants, bars and coffee shops should be provided Improve public access to the waterfront
GY/LPA/Q/0940/4139				South Denes	Support Traffic problems should be addressed Further river crossing should be provided
GY/LPA/Q/0940/4140				Nelson's Bridge	Support Further river crossing should be provided
GY/LPA/Q/1086/4951		J	Claydon		Give attention to back streets behind sea front. Renovate buildings. Clean up streets Area is in need of regeneration
GY/LPA/Q/1086/4952		J	Claydon	Runham Broad	Object Broad's needs to be set back from commercial extension
GY/LPA/Q/1086/4953		J	Claydon	Breydon Reach	Support
GY/LPA/Q/1086/4954		J	Claydon	Haven Approach	Support
GY/LPA/Q/1086/4955		J	Claydon	Ice House Quay	Support
GY/LPA/Q/1086/4956		J	Claydon	South Denes	Support
GY/LPA/Q/1086/4957		J	Claydon	Nelson's Bridge	Support
GY/LPA/R/0194/993		Paul	Clements	South Denes	Object Local Organisations/Business and workers should be supported
GYLW /LPA/L/0929/4088		Rosamunde	Gouldby	Bure Harbour Quay	Support - but a greater number of new homes should be built

## Great Yarmouth URC Area Action Plan

### Preferred Options Consultation; Consultee Responses

September 10, 2007

GYLW /LPAL/0929/4089		Rosamunde	Gouldby	Runham Broad	Potential loss of jobs in Eurocentre area needs to be considered. Concern over the amount of excavation required and whether fine silt would be useful for flood defence work. How would this project be funded.
GYLW /LPAL/0929/4090		Rosamunde	Gouldby	Ice House Quay	Concern over loss of Pasta Foods Local Organisations/Business and workers should be supported Organisations/Businesses should not have to move
GYLW /LPAL/0929/4091		Rosamunde	Gouldby	Nelson's Bridge	This location is one of the best and busiest quays in the town. Concern that traffic will be increased at Gapton Hall Roundabout
GYLW/1st /L/1085/4950		Jane	Leighton		Include health in strategic plans for the geographical area covered by 1st East Growing health and education requirements should be met
GYLW/1st/W/0981/4310		Marion	Gander	Runham Broad	Support
GYLW/1st/W/0981/4311		Marion	Gander	Breydon Reach	Support
GYLW/1st/W/0981/4312		Marion	Gander	Ice House Quay	Support
GYLW/1st/W/0981/4313		Marion	Gander	Haven Approach	Support
GYLW/1st/W/0981/4314		Marion	Gander	Nelsons' Bridge	Support
GYLW/1st/W/0981/4315		Marion	Gander	South Denes	Support
GYLW/1st/W/0981/4316		Marion	Gander	East of England Park	Support Create more jobs and employment opportunities
GYLW/1st/W/0981/4317		Marion	Gander	Fisher's Wharf	Support Tourism should be encouraged
GYLW/1st/W/0981/4318		Marion	Gander	Peto Square	Support
GYLW/1st/W/0981/4319		Marion	Gander	Kirkley Waterfront	Support
GYLW/1st/W/0981/4320		Marion	Gander	Brooke Peninsula	Support Concern over the generation of traffic from future development Growing health and education requirements should be met
GYLW/1st/W/0981/4321		Marion	Gander	Lothing Crossing	Support Further river crossing should be provided
GYLW/1st/W/0985/4335		Lorraine	Secker	Runham Broad	Support Will bring more people into area as well as providing much need leisure facilities for the area

## Great Yarmouth URC Area Action Plan

### Preferred Options Consultation; Consultee Responses

September 10, 2007

GYLW/1st/W/0985/4336		Lorraine	Secker	Breydon Reach	Support will give a better use of water front, will upgrade the area for residents and tourist. Better use of space in area of railway station and a much needed car park and extension of retail outlets. Much needed upgrade of first impression of Great Yarmouth. The restoration of our lovely pedestrian bridge.
GYLW/1st/W/0985/4337		Lorraine	Secker	Ice House Quay	Support Much needed landscaping and development of this area which at present is an eyesore. Expansion of college campus will bring more people in which will enhance the area.
GYLW/1st/W/0985/4338		Lorraine	Secker	Haven Approach	Area in plans greatly improved, landscaping will enhance the area making it a nicer approach to the town and a better environment for the residents. I would not like to see the two Bears Hotel demolished or altered in any ways.
GYLW/1st/W/0985/4339		Lorraine	Secker	Nelsons' Bridge	Support A third river crossing is a must to allow for the development of this area. More landscaping would be nice.
GYLW/1st/W/0985/4340		Lorraine	Secker	South Denes	Support Leisure and recreational areas are much needed and will enhance the area.
GYLW/1st/W/1004/4444		Tim	Burford	Breydon Reach	Support
GYLW/1st/W/1008/4458				Runham Broad	Support
GYLW/1st/W/1008/4459				Breydon Reach	Support
GYLW/1st/W/1008/4460				Ice House Quay	Support
GYLW/1st/W/1008/4461				Haven Approach	Support
GYLW/1st/W/1008/4462				Nelsons' Bridge	Support
GYLW/1st/W/1008/4463				South Denes	Support
GYLW/1st/W/1013/4485		Andrew	Warren	Runham Broad	Support
GYLW/1st/W/1013/4486		Andrew	Warren	Breydon Reach	Support
GYLW/1st/W/1013/4487		Andrew	Warren	Ice House Quay	Support
GYLW/1st/W/1013/4488		Andrew	Warren	Haven Approach	Support
GYLW/1st/W/1013/4489		Andrew	Warren	Nelsons' Bridge	Support
GYLW/1st/W/1013/4490		Andrew	Warren	South Denes	Support
GYLW/1st/W/1021/4539		Peter	Morris	Ice House Quay	Support This could be the cherry on the cake. The area has been dead since the wood yards left.
GYLW/1st/W/1021/4540		Peter	Morris	Haven Approach	Support Its important for peoples first impression on entering Gt Yarmouth by road from the south.
GYLW/1st/W/1021/4541		Peter	Morris	Nelsons' Bridge	Support The whole project relies on the road links. For so long it seem to have been the aim of planning to slow the progress into Gt Yarmouth. Without another river crossing there is no point to the Outer Harbour, no one would want to live in Gt Yarmouth.
GYLW/1st/W/1021/4542		Peter	Morris	South Denes	Support The area died when the oil moved to Aberdeen, it makes best use of the land available.
GYLW/1st/W/1025/4563		Philip	Oldman	Runham Broad	Support
GYLW/1st/W/1025/4564		Philip	Oldman	Breydon Reach	Support
GYLW/1st/W/1025/4565		Philip	Oldman	Ice House Quay	Support
GYLW/1st/W/1025/4566		Philip	Oldman	Haven Approach	Support
GYLW/1st/W/1025/4567		Philip	Oldman	Nelsons' Bridge	Support
GYLW/1st/W/1025/4568		Philip	Oldman	South Denes	Support

## Great Yarmouth URC Area Action Plan

Preferred Options Consultation; Consultee Responses  
September 10, 2007

GYLW/1st/W/1026/4575				Runham Broad	Object Natural wildlife areas should be preserved - not destroyed for commercial reasons.
GYLW/1st/W/1026/4576				Breydon Reach	Support
GYLW/1st/W/1026/4577				Ice House Quay	Support
GYLW/1st/W/1026/4578				Haven Approach	Support
GYLW/1st/W/1026/4579				Nelsons' Bridge	Object We need a tunnel not another bridge. What happens when bad weather hits, currently both bridges shut, if the this bridge shuts as well, the town is yet again grid locked.
GYLW/1st/W/1026/4580				South Denes	Support
GYLW/1st/W/1027/4586		K	Hastings	Runham Broad	Support The area is in desperate need of something
GYLW/1st/W/1027/4587		K	Hastings	Breydon Reach	Support The area is in desperate need of something
GYLW/1st/W/1027/4588		K	Hastings	Ice House Quay	Support The area is in desperate need of something
GYLW/1st/W/1027/4589		K	Hastings	Haven Approach	Support
GYLW/1st/W/1027/4590		K	Hastings	Nelsons' Bridge	Object The outer harbour is thirty years too late and will soon become the biggest white elephant in the area. I agree that the area needs to be improved and the third river crossing is a must but no outer harbour.
GYLW/1st/W/1027/4591		K	Hastings	South Denes	Support
GYLW/1st/W/1030/4605		Kathleen	Gosling	Runham Broad	Support A further boost to tourism, which will enhance not only those visitors that head for the sea, but also the boating enthusiasts, a boost to jobs for locals
GYLW/1st/W/1030/4606		Kathleen	Gosling	Breydon Reach	Support This is an area that is overdue for regeneration and this investment sounds right
GYLW/1st/W/1030/4607		Kathleen	Gosling	Ice House Quay	Support
GYLW/1st/W/1030/4608		Kathleen	Gosling	Haven Approach	Support
GYLW/1st/W/1030/4609		Kathleen	Gosling	Nelsons' Bridge	Support
GYLW/1st/W/1030/4610		Kathleen	Gosling	South Denes	Support
GYLW/1st/W/1068/4843				Runham Broad	Support
GYLW/1st/W/1068/4844				Breydon Reach	Support
GYLW/1st/W/1068/4845				Ice House Quay	Object
GYLW/1st/W/1068/4846				Haven Approach	Object
GYLW/1st/W/1068/4847				Nelsons' Bridge	Support
GYLW/1st/W/1068/4848				South Denes	Support
GYLW/1st/W/1072/4873		Simon	Norton	Runham Broad	Object I have no objection in principle, but the plan simply doesn't provide the information I need to decide. How far does the new broad extend, and will there be public access all the way round ? Until I see a full plan I can't give a definitive comment on the proposal.

## Great Yarmouth URC Area Action Plan

### Preferred Options Consultation; Consultee Responses

September 10, 2007

GYLW/1st/W/1072/4874		Simon	Norton	Breydon Reach	Object Again, not enough info. If land is available why not extend the railway back towards the town centre ? Will development of the area have negative consequences for the prospects of getting rail freight back into the port ?
GYLW/1st/W/1072/4875		Simon	Norton	Nelsons' Bridge	Object If a crossing is needed why was the ferry withdrawn ?
GYLW/1st/W/1074/4884			Way	Runham Broad	Object Increases risk of flooding with raising sea levels
GYLW/1st/W/1074/4885			Way	Breydon Reach	Object Increases risk of flooding with raising sea levels
GYLW/1st/W/1074/4886			Way	Ice House Quay	Object Destroys jobs in Yarmouth
GYLW/1st/W/1074/4887			Way	Haven Approach	Support Makes a run down area look attractive
GYLW/1st/W/1074/4888			Way	Nelsons' Bridge	Support Makes access to the new harbour easier.
GYLW/1st/W/1074/4889			Way	South Denes	Support An attractive option for an area that needs investment
GYLW/1st/W/1081/4919				Runham Broad	Support
GYLW/1st/W/1081/4920				Breydon Reach	Support
GYLW/1st/W/1081/4921				Ice House Quay	Support
GYLW/1st/W/1081/4922				Haven Approach	Support
GYLW/1st/W/1081/4923				Nelsons' Bridge	Support
GYLW/1st/W/1081/4924				South Denes	Support
GYLW/1st/W/1082/4931		Jamie	McColl	Runham Broad	Support Great Yarmouth has no broad. Whittlingham broad is very popular and imagine this would be of a similar vein. would there be a link to the river bure? Will I have a circular walk around like at whittlingham?
GYLW/1st/W/1082/4932		Jamie	McColl	Breydon Reach	Support Area by the train station is currently very run down, and gives a bad first impression of great Yarmouth for rail users. Train station could also do with renovating but guess its not part of the plan. Quayside walk way would be a more pleasant walk into town. Marina would be a good starting point for people to explore the broads. Would also attract more 'money' into Yarmouth
GYLW/1st/W/1082/4933		Jamie	McColl	Ice House Quay	Support land between southtown road and river very run down and underused. large presumably empty sheds especially land between rumbold arms and anson arms. making it a more vibrant area especially with the college nearby is a very good idea, maybe using Norwich riverside as a similar example. giving an alternative nightlife to the rather tacky seafront.
GYLW/1st/W/1082/4934		Jamie	McColl	Haven Approach	Support making the approach into Yarmouth more attractive cannot be a bad thing. Could something be done to the area around (green areas) Tesco's if no retail units go in there

## Great Yarmouth URC Area Action Plan

### Preferred Options Consultation; Consultee Responses

September 10, 2007

GYLW/1st/W/1082/4935		Jamie	McColl	Nelsons' Bridge	Support Yarmouth desperately needs another river crossing. If the outer harbour is to be a success will need quick access on to A12/47 without having to go through town. Nelson bridge is the logical place to put it. (also very close to my house so would be double convenient for me as only about 10min walk to beach)
GYLW/1st/W/1082/4936		Jamie	McColl	South Denes	Support its a shame the nelson monument is so overlooked because of its location. The land between the power station and peninsular is like a ghost town currently so renovating has to be a good idea. Renewable energy activities does this mean we could get our own turbine to rival Lowestoft's -hope so
LWGY/1st/W/1065/4824		Norman	Ward	Runham Broad	Object You don't mention the bridge which will be needed to dispose of the soil at Winterton. Your map shows the road ending each side of the river. This is a revival of the Bure Crossing Scheme. It took time to get the 3rd Yare Crossing on the agenda and any proposal which threatens this -as this clearly does - should be resisted. By all means put the Broad on the seaward side of the Bure, thus not needing a bridge. Don't mess up Halvergate Marshes.
LWGY/1st/W/1065/4825		Norman	Ward	Breydon Reach	Object I only agree with part of this scheme. The marina site will be useless as a marina - its just housing with a nice expensive outlook. Much of the rest is acceptable but much will depend on the quality of design.
LWGY/1st/W/1065/4826		Norman	Ward	Ice House Quay	I am very concerned that employment rates so lowly in the scheme. In my view existiing businesses that want to remain where they are should not be forced out. In my view, if they are, they will probably leave - surely it won't all be welfare or second homes. Your mention the college. The plans for this are not ambitious enough. Here is the site for a theatre, leisure pool, hotel etc. Ideally there should be good student accommodation which serves as holiday accommodation outside term time. Just like a university! The 3rd Yare Crossing will make this a regional focus.
LWGY/1st/W/1065/4827		Norman	Ward	Haven Approach	Not a very high priority for me - so that will probably mean its the first to be done.
LWGY/1st/W/1065/4828		Norman	Ward	Nelsons' Bridge	Support This is the critical focus for the local plan. Until this is built the traffic and regeneration of the peninsula and the trunk roads will not later. With a good crossing lots will happen. I am alarmed that Port issues appear not to be addressed. I don't yet see a plan for a large park and ride scheme on the peninsula serving port and town. The Park and Ride Sign on Bure Bridge still points to the Racecourse!
LWGY/1st/W/1065/4829		Norman	Ward	South Denes	I don't see sufficient public access to river and harbour.
GYLW/1st/W/1021/4537		Peter	Morris	Runham Broad	Object Your plan shows limited water sports areas. There's better areas around already. Braydon water has limited use for water sports.

## Great Yarmouth URC Area Action Plan

### Preferred Options Consultation; Consultee Responses

September 10, 2007

GYLW/1st/W/1021/4538		Peter	Morris	Breydon Reach	Support There is not enough sailing related ports along the east coast. The nearest of any size being Ipswich. Of course there is Lowestoft but nothing north until Cromer, Wells.
LWGY/1st/W/0974/4250		Norman	Ward	Runham Broad	Object The original proposal for this was a cover for the road behind Vauxhall Park and the Bure Crossing. Anything that hinders the Yare Crossing should be avoided. By all means divert the river and have the Broad on the Caister side. That would not involve road and bridge building and would enhance the area.
LWGY/1st/W/0974/4251		Norman	Ward	Breydon Reach	The marina is really an excuse for attractive housing. As a working marina there are issues - tides, bridges.
LWGY/1st/W/0974/4252		Norman	Ward	Ice House Quay	The new Yare Bridge ought to be a major consideration in any plan. Southtown is where the regional theatre, Marina Leisure etc ought to be. The College plans appear to be too modest. Needs hotel/hostel accommodation to attract students and holidaymakers
LWGY/1st/W/0974/4253		Norman	Ward	Nelsons' Bridge	Support Critical to peninsula regeneration and Outer Harbour development.
LWGY/1st/W/0974/4254		Norman	Ward	South Denes	Support
LWGY/1st/W/0976/4261		Bob	Taylor	Runham Broad	Support Area is in need of regeneration
LWGY/1st/W/0976/4262		Bob	Taylor	Breydon Reach	Support Good use of land
LWGY/1st/W/0976/4263		Bob	Taylor	Ice House Quay	Support What will happen to Richards ship builders? Good use of land
LWGY/1st/W/0976/4264		Bob	Taylor	Haven Approach	Object Doesn't look as if you are doing enough
LWGY/1st/W/0976/4265		Bob	Taylor	Nelsons' Bridge	Object Would prefer to see it at the Gorleston end of the estuary
LWGY/1st/W/0976/4266		Bob	Taylor	South Denes	Object Not enough being done and needs to extend to the estuary
LWGY/1st/W/0977/4273		Karen	Barber	Runham Broad	Support
LWGY/1st/W/0977/4274		Karen	Barber	Breydon Reach	Support
LWGY/1st/W/0977/4275		Karen	Barber	Ice House Quay	Support
LWGY/1st/W/0977/4276		Karen	Barber	Haven Approach	Support
LWGY/1st/W/0977/4277		Karen	Barber	Nelsons' Bridge	Support
LWGY/1st/W/0977/4278		Karen	Barber	South Denes	Support
LWGY/1st/W/0978/4285		John	Grint	South Denes	Object where is the Rail connection to the new Harbour
LWGY/1st/W/0979/4292		Mark	Lowen	Runham Broad	Support Develop attractive entrances to town
LWGY/1st/W/0979/4293		Mark	Lowen	Breydon Reach	Support Area is in need of regeneration
LWGY/1st/W/0979/4294		Mark	Lowen	Ice House Quay	Object There are currently many businesses located in this area and I cannot envisage this part of the town attracting many sightseers.
LWGY/1st/W/0979/4295		Mark	Lowen	Haven Approach	Object This area would not benefit as much as previous plans due to the current level of landscaping already in place which does not seriously affect peoples perception of the town.

## Great Yarmouth URC Area Action Plan

### Preferred Options Consultation; Consultee Responses

September 10, 2007

LWGY/1st/W/0979/4296		Mark	Lowen	Nelsons' Bridge	Support A valuable addition that will prove vital to the outer harbour project's success.
LWGY/1st/W/0979/4297		Mark	Lowen	South Denes	Support An improvement in the outlook of this area will expand the appeal of the seafront further South that at present.
LWGY/1st/W/0990/4365		Alexander	Bateman	Runham Broad	Support
LWGY/1st/W/0990/4366		Alexander	Bateman	Breydon Reach	Support
LWGY/1st/W/0990/4367		Alexander	Bateman	Ice House Quay	Support
LWGY/1st/W/0990/4368		Alexander	Bateman	Haven Approach	Support
LWGY/1st/W/0990/4369		Alexander	Bateman	Nelsons' Bridge	Support
LWGY/1st/W/0990/4370		Alexander	Bateman	South Denes	Support
LWGY/1st/W/0993/4387		David	Westgate	Runham Broad	Support Good for tourism and access to seafront via the broads, increased employment, high quality living
LWGY/1st/W/0993/4388		David	Westgate	Breydon Reach	Support More jobs, better public transport, high quality living, extra parking
LWGY/1st/W/0993/4389		David	Westgate	Ice House Quay	Support Better quality of life in general
LWGY/1st/W/0993/4390		David	Westgate	Haven Approach	Support First impressions are very important for tourists and visitors in general and for future investors
LWGY/1st/W/0993/4391		David	Westgate	Nelsons' Bridge	Support Will help development to progress more efficiently and quicker thus stimulating economy further
LWGY/1st/W/0993/4392		David	Westgate	South Denes	Support good for jobs now & future, tourism, environment
LWGY/1st/W/1019/4519		David	Stone	Runham Broad	Object The Broads National park should be free from such developments
LWGY/1st/W/1019/4520		David	Stone	Breydon Reach	Support
LWGY/1st/W/1019/4521		David	Stone	Ice House Quay	Support
LWGY/1st/W/1019/4522		David	Stone	Haven Approach	Support
LWGY/1st/W/1019/4523		David	Stone	Nelsons' Bridge	Support
LWGY/1st/W/1019/4524		David	Stone	South Denes	Support