



Local Development Framework
Statement of Community Involvement

**PRE-SUBMISSION CONSULTATION
AND RESPONSES STATEMENT**

June 2005

Peter Warner
Head of Planning & Development
Planning & Development Department
Maltings House
Malthouse Lane
Gorleston
Great Yarmouth
NR31 OGY

Great Yarmouth Borough Council
STATEMENT OF COMMUNITY INVOLVEMENT
June 2005

**Pre-submission Consultation and
Responses Statement**

This statement provides information on the activities of Great Yarmouth Borough Council in preparing its Statement of Community Involvement (SCI) for submission to the Secretary of State. The document is presented in two sections:

Section One: outlines the activities of the Council in ensuring the participation and adequate consultation of key stakeholders, statutory DPD Bodies and the general public in pursuance of Regulations 25 and 26 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Development)(England) Regulations 2004.

Section Two: outlines how the responses received during Regulation 25 & 26 were handled and addressed in the submitted Statement of Community Involvement.

Section One: Involving Stakeholders in the SCI

1.0 Pre submission consultation (Regulation 25)

- 1.1 The draft Statement of Community Involvement (SCI) was produced prior to the full publication of the Town and Country Planning (Local Development) Regulations 2004. There were wide ranging discussions throughout this period and the draft SCI was approved for wider public participation and consultation by Great Yarmouth Borough Council Local Development Framework Working Party on the 13th December 2004.
- 1.2 To gain an understanding of how we could best involve the community in the plan making process, in January 2005 we consulted with the entirety of our LDF database (refer to Appendix 1: Schedule of Consultees) to respond to a Local Development Framework Consultation questionnaire. An on-line questionnaire was posted on the planning policy section of the website (www.great-yarmouth.gov.uk) which respondents could use to submit their comments electronically. This process did not only raise awareness of the new planning system, but also included various questions of how the community and stakeholders could best be involved and informed during the preparation of the LDF. The questionnaire also encouraged respondents to highlight any hard to reach group or sector that the Council should be engaging in the LDF process.

- 1.3 From the representations received, 96% responded via the electronic response form and 4% by letter. The preferred method of **informing** the local community and stakeholders on Development Plan Documents (DPDs), Supplementary Planning Documents (SPDs) and major planning applications was via letter and email/website. The preferred method of **involving** the community and stakeholders on DPDs, SPDs and major planning applications was via leaflets and newsletters, website, Planning for Real events and more individual consultation. The majority of respondents put forward suggestions for hard to reach groups and methods of involvement. They included groups in hostels, young women's organisations and religious groups. The suggestion to use the Community Liaison and Information Points (CLIP) throughout the Borough and their associated databases has provided a vital link with the local community.
- 1.4 Furthermore, in December 2004 all the 20 Parish Councils within the Borough of Great Yarmouth were sent a questionnaire with the intention of establishing what methods of informing their local community had been used in the past and if they had a regular parish newsletter, website, magazine or notice board that could be used to inform the community on local issues and in preparation of the LDF. From the 100% response, 10 had a newsletter/magazine/leaflet, 5 had a website, all had a notice board and further suggestion was made to use the local press, CLIP offices and local post offices.
- 1.5 In order to meet the requirements of Regulation 25 (pre-submission consultation) of the Town and Country Planning (Local Development) (England) Regulation 2004, there was further consultation formally asking the specific bodies set out in Annex E of Planning Policy Statement 12 for their views on the following:
- The types and methods of consultation we should be undertaking;
 - The types of groups, organisations and individuals we should be consulting; and
 - Their preferred type of consultation methods
- 1.6 The main issues and how they were addressed are set out in section 2 (table 1) of this document.
- 1.7 Whilst Great Yarmouth Borough Council and Waveney District Council, as a sub-region, may not be in the position to produce joint Local development Documents at the present time, we are working jointly to align our timetables for these, with the potential to ensure that consultation with key stakeholders throughout the sub-region is effective. Joint background studies are being undertaken which should provide a greater understanding of the needs of the sub-region as a whole. Regular communication with neighbouring authorities in Norfolk is an on-going feature of working practice in Great Yarmouth

Pre-submission public participation on the draft SCI (Regulation 26)

- 1.8 The Statement of Community Involvement was published for consultation on Monday 28th February 2005 for a statutory period of six weeks ending on Monday April 11th 2005. Copies of the draft the Statement of Community Involvement were distributed to the specific bodies set out in Annex E of Planning Policy Statement 12 along with a copy of the public notice letter and a reply form with details of how to submit their representations, including methods to submit via an electronic reply form on the Council's website. The entirety of the LDF consultation database (refer to Appendix 1) were also sent a letter detailing the statutory six (6) week period of SCI consultation detailing where the document was available and how they could make representations. In addition to the minimum requirements of the Regulations, the SCI was made available at Community Liaison and Information Points and Libraries throughout the Borough.

Availability at Council Offices and Buildings

- 1.9 Copies of the draft Statement of Community Involvement were made available for inspection, with copies of the reply form at:

Great Yarmouth Borough Council, Planning and Development Department Reception, Maltings House, Malthouse Lane, Gorleston, Great Yarmouth, NR31 0GY, Monday - Thursday 09.00 – 17.00 and Friday 09.00 – 16.30.

Great Yarmouth Town Hall Reception, Town Hall, Great Yarmouth, NR30 2QF, Monday – Thursday 09.00 – 17.00 and Friday 09.00 – 16.30.

Copies of the SCI document were also supplied to local libraries and Community Liaison & Information Points (CLIP) throughout the Borough and the SCI can also available to view on the Great Yarmouth Borough Council website at: www.great-yarmouth.gov.uk

- 1.10 At each location, posters advertised the availability of the document, and gave information about the period within which representations could be made and contact details.

Advertisement in the Local Press

- 1.11 Public notices informing people of the SCI and how they could get involved were placed in the Great Yarmouth Mercury on the 25th February, 2005, the Lowestoft and Beccles and Bungay Journals on Friday 25th February 2005 and the East Anglian Daily Times on Saturday 26th February 2005 along with a press release with the heading "HAVE YOUR SAY ABOUT HOW TO BE INVOLVED IN THE PLANNING PROCESS". Mid way through the consultation period a reminder notice was placed in the advertised in the Great Yarmouth Mercury.

Great Yarmouth Borough Council Website

- 1.12 Information relating to the preparation of the Local Development Framework and the SCI were posted on the Great Yarmouth Borough Council website during the summer of 2004 giving notice of the forthcoming SCI and other related LDF documents. On the 25th February 2005 the documents were posted on the planning policy section of the web site <http://www.great-yarmouth.gov.uk> together with an online electronic response form. Notice of the SCI consultation was also placed on the front page of the Great Yarmouth Borough Council website with direct links to the SCI and consultation arrangements.

What we did Prior to Submission - Further Consultation

- 1.13 In addition to Regulation 26 consultations, a further stage of consultation was carried out in May, 2005 with existing networks and partnerships to attempt to engage the hard to reach groups within the Borough. This was in response to further discussion with Planning Aid and the views submitted regarding the use of existing networks and partnerships. So that the Council was in a position to involve all the 'hard to reach' groups within the Borough we encouraged those existing networks and partnerships to inform the Council of the best methods of involving their hard to reach group.

Section Two: The Responses

Pre submission consultation (Regulation 25)

- 2.0 Much of the feedback to consultation, questionnaires and discussions undertaken through Regulation 25 was received on quite an informal basis. These comments were then incorporated into the SCI from inception.
- 2.1 However, where comments have been made through Council meetings the minutes of those events are available as a record.
- 2.2 Responses at this stage were generally positive, supporting the guiding principles of Statements of Community Involvement. A key issue for most stakeholders involved at this stage was the availability of information to them and their inclusion in the LDF database. This leads from a general feeling that if the community can be engaged and informed in the process, this is the best approach. The majority of the representations that were made clarified their role as an organisation and what areas of the Borough were of concern to them and the impacts that the LDF may have.
- 2.3 Table 1, below sets-out all Regulation 25 representations received and the actions taken towards the SCI.

Table 1: Regulation 25 Representations and Responses

Ref	Issue Raised By	Summary of Issue Raised	Officer Comment	Action Taken
001	The Countryside Agency	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Our principal responsibilities are landscape character and quality, visual amenity and enjoyment by the countryside as a whole, recreational opportunities and enjoyment of access land or public rights of way. 2. Happy to be consulted by e-mail or mail. 	<p>The Countryside Agency is a statutory consultee and will be consulted at every stage of the LDF process. DPD policies and proposals will protect the quality of the landscape and provide for recreational opportunity. Where Greenfield land is to be allocated for development, ensure as best as practicable, the use of best and most versatile agricultural land.</p>	<p>Statutory Consultee, will consult at every statutory stage in the preparation of the LDF</p> <p>(Possibly consult by e-mail)</p> <p>No Change to the SCI</p>
002	Royal Society for the Protection of Birds (RSPB)	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The two main areas of concern in the local area are Great Yarmouth North Denes Special Protection Area (SPA) and Breydon Water SPA. These sites are designated under the Birds Directive (1979) as sites of international importance for birds. Great Yarmouth Borough Council has obligations under section 28G of the Countryside and Rights of Way Act (2000) to further the nature conservation of these sites and the RSBP would expect the LDF to fully reflect this. 2. Firstly, the potential impacts of policies included in the LDF, upon the Little Tern Project at North Denes would be of concern to RSPB. 1. The second area of concern is the area including and surrounding Breydon Water. The RSPB would not 	<p>The LDF will not contain policies, which are contrary to protection of the nature conservation of the National, European and Local sites in the Borough</p>	<p>Statutory Consultee. We will consult at every statutory stage in the preparation of the LDF</p> <p>Reflected in the revised draft</p>

Ref	Issue Raised By	Summary of Issue Raised	Officer Comment	Action Taken
		expect the LDF to contain policies, which are contrary to protection of the nature conservation of the site. Our main concerns in this area relate to hydrology, including surface run off and appropriate and sympathetic management of the storm water drains to ensure minimal pollution in the area.		
003	Potter Heigham Parish Council	1. No comments.	No comments	No change
004	Dialogue	1. As part of the process of preparing the SCI you will need to consult with your community to agree your future approach to consultation, both for your own local development plan and around major planning applications.	The Great Yarmouth Statement of Community Involvement sets-out our approach to involving stakeholders and the community in the preparation of the LDF and major planning applications.	No change
005	Acle Parish Council	1. The Parish Council would be interested in transport issues.	Consult on all issues as well as transport issues in preparation of the LDF.	All Parish Council's in the Borough are a statutory consultee and will be consulted on all issues. No change
006	Norfolk County Council	1. The consultees referred to in para 6.4 are not, but I think should be, referred to in Table 5 under the column 'Consultation & Notification, What we must do and what extra we will do (shown in italics)'.	Noted	Document has been amended to reflect the representations made
007 to 30	English Nature, Rollesby	Of the 24 completed questionnaires which were returned the response was as follows :	Those who have made representations and request to be kept informed on	The SCI has been prepared to reflect the results of the SCI

<p>Parish Council, Cllr Mrs P Linden, Winterton Parish Council, Cllr S Ames, The Theatres Trust, Cllr R Peck, Great Yarmouth Learning Community, Cllr G Plant, CPRE Norfolk, Great Yarmouth Port Authority, Gorleston Virtual Museum, Essex & Suffolk Water, Mrs S Tubby, Aldreds House Builders Federation,</p>	<p>Those wishing to be consulted on:</p> <p>Policy Matters (On which type do wish to be consulted?)</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Policy</th> <th>Yes</th> <th>No</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>New Housing</td> <td>21</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Affordable housing</td> <td>17</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Business and employment</td> <td>15</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Leisure and recreation</td> <td>17</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Travel and access</td> <td>14</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Wildlife and countryside</td> <td>12</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Heritage</td> <td>12</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Design</td> <td>10</td> <td>0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Other: More local parish involvement regarding planning policies and applications. Will Parish Council have input? Life long learning. Port Development Transport. Open space provision. Services relating to any planning development. Mill Road, Burgh Castle.</p> <p>Large-Scale Planning Application (On which type do wish to be consulted?)</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Application</th> <th>Yes</th> <th>No</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Housing</td> <td>19</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Employment</td> <td>14</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Infrastructure</td> <td>16</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Other</td> <td>7</td> <td>0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Policy	Yes	No	New Housing	21	0	Affordable housing	17	0	Business and employment	15	0	Leisure and recreation	17	0	Travel and access	14	0	Wildlife and countryside	12	0	Heritage	12	0	Design	10	0	Application	Yes	No	Housing	19	0	Employment	14	0	Infrastructure	16	0	Other	7	0	<p>certain matters in preparation of the LDF will be consulted accordingly.</p>	<p>consultation questionnaire.</p> <p>The results have indicated which ways of informing and involving are more appropriate than others. As a result, the SCI has been prepared to reflect this.</p> <p>Where statutory methods of informing and involving have received less interest than others, these will still be used in the preparation of the SCI</p>
Policy	Yes	No																																											
New Housing	21	0																																											
Affordable housing	17	0																																											
Business and employment	15	0																																											
Leisure and recreation	17	0																																											
Travel and access	14	0																																											
Wildlife and countryside	12	0																																											
Heritage	12	0																																											
Design	10	0																																											
Application	Yes	No																																											
Housing	19	0																																											
Employment	14	0																																											
Infrastructure	16	0																																											
Other	7	0																																											

Bradwell Parish Council, Anglian Water Services, R K Construction, Diocese of Norwich, Runham Vauxhall Residents Assoc., Great Yarmouth & District citizens' Advice Bureau, Filby Parish Council, Mr & Mrs Skipper,

Geographical Limits (Do you wish to be consulted on policies and/or applications that fall within geographical limits?)

Area	Yes	No
Local only	11	0
Borough-wide	17	0
Sub-region or wider	10	0

Informing on the Local Development framework. (How do wish to be informed)

Development Plan Documents

Method	Yes	No
Notice by email	11	0
Notice by letter	16	0
Public notices	3	0
Newspaper articles	2	0

Supplementary Planning Documents

Method	Yes	No
Notice by email	13	0
Notice by letter	14	0
Public notices	2	0
Newspaper articles	2	0

Major Planning Applications

Method	Yes	No
Notice by email	12	0
Notice by letter	12	0
Public notices	3	0
Newspaper articles	2	0

Involvement in Planning Policy Issues (How do wish to be involved?)**Development Plan Documents**

Method	Yes	No
Exhibitions	4	0
Surveys of opinion	6	0
Website information	12	0
Leaflets and newsletters	12	0
Information via councillors	7	0
Area forums	6	0
Consultation with groups	6	0
Discussion groups	4	0
Planning for Real	6	0
More individual consultation	8	0
Other	0	0

Supplementary Planning Documents

Method	Yes	No
Exhibitions	3	0
Surveys of opinion	5	0
Website information	11	0
Leaflets and newsletters	12	0
Information via councillors	6	0
Area forums	4	0
Consultation with groups	5	0
Discussion groups	6	0
Planning for Real	6	0
More individual consultation	7	0
Other	0	0

Major Planning Applications

Method	Yes	No
Exhibitions	7	0
Surveys of opinion	5	0
Website information	12	0
Leaflets and newsletters	14	0
Information via councillors	7	0
Area forums	5	0
Consultation with groups	5	0
Discussion groups	5	0
Planning for Real	7	0
More individual consultation	6	0
Other	0	0

Policy Consultations (How would best like to respond to consultation)

Method	Yes	No
Online questionnaire	7	0
Pro-forma in MS Word format for emailing	5	0
Printed questionnaire or pro-forma	7	0
Unstructured email	2	0
Unstructured letter	4	0

Facilitated meeting (generally with others)	3	0
Other	0	0

Large-Scale Planning Applications

Method	Yes	No
Pro-forma in MS Word format for emailing	9	0
Unstructured email	3	0
Unstructured letter	8	0
Facilitated meeting (generally with others)	4	0
Other	0	0

Hard to Reach Groups (What groups are you aware off that we should be involving?)

Groups in hostels. Young womens organisations – those ready to ‘move on’ and the same groups residing with St. Matthews Housing.

We have a data base of providers, but the CLIPs – Community Connections should provide contact with the local community.

The Church of England Archdeacon David Hayden in terms of spiritual welfare and the possibility of erecting a new church on a new housing estate.

Representations at pre-submission public participation stage (Regulations 26 and 27)

- 2.3 There were twenty three (23) written responses. Ten (10) responses were submitted electronically; twelve (12) respondents made representations by letter, whilst one (1) used fax.
- 2.4 Written responses were acknowledged following receipt of representations, informing all that their comments and suggested amendments would be taken to the Great Yarmouth Local development Framework Working Party on 13th April 2005 for discussion prior to going to Executive Committee on 20th April 2005 and Full Council on 18 May 2005 to submit the SCI to the Secretary of State. All responses received were duly noted and a summary of all the responses upon completion of the consultation period was placed on the planning policy section of the Council's website. A full schedule of the comments received and the officer response to these comments is included at Appendix II of this statement.

Responses to further Consultation

- 2.5 Following further pre-submission consultation with existing networks and partnerships to attempt to engage the hard to reach groups within the Borough, the revised draft SCI reflects the existing possible methods of involvement. As a result of meetings with groups in the area, we are able to involve those hard to reach through existing mechanisms used by each group. This involves using existing consultee databases, forums and resources.
- 2.6 The session with the Youth Forum Officer explored methods of involving young people in planning. As a result, along with the planning policy team, the Youth Officer is arranging several youth workshops, to include young mothers, young offenders and young ethnic minorities to discuss the planning issues in the Borough. The Youth Officer will be collating the information and producing a report of issues, which will help with in-house resources.
- 2.7 As a result of our session with the Ethnic Minorities Officer, Great Yarmouth Borough Council are in a position to best involve the ethnic minorities of the Borough. It is intended to regularly update the Ethnic Minorities Workgroup on consultations and use their existing databases. The SCI sets-out further ways of involving other hard to reach groups in the Borough. Of all those groups remaining, we have not yet received correspondence detailing their best methods of involvement. However, the SCI now lists the existing networks and partnership contacts as the best way of involving those remaining hard to reach. The Council will continue to make contact with them to clearly define the best methods of involvement.

Proposed Changes Arising

- 2.8 Several alterations have been made to the SCI in response to comments and suggestions received from the public and other. These have included changes to improve the clarity and understanding of the document and the clarity of the

requirements on all stakeholders in the planning and development process. Significant alterations include our approach to involving the hard to reach groups in the area and the existing partnerships and networks which we can use as a way of involvement. A full list of the changes made and the reasons for those changes is included at Appendix II.

Appendix I: Schedule of Consultees - Specific Consultation Bodies

Government Office for the East of England	Govt	Belton Parish Council	Parish Council	Blyford and Sotherton	Adjacent Parish
East of England Regional Assembly	Govt	Hemsby Parish Council	Parish Council	Horning Parish Council	Adjacent Parish
East of England Regional Development Agency	Govt	Mautby Parish Council	Parish Council	Ludham Parish Council	Adjacent Parish
Countryside Agency (Eastern Region)	Govt agency	Bradwell Parish Council	Parish Council	Potter Heigham Parish Council	Adjacent Parish
English Heritage	Govt agency	Burgh Castle Parish Council	Parish Council	Horsey Parish Council	Adjacent Parish
English Nature	Govt agency	Filby Parish Council	Parish Council	British Telecom	Utility
Environment Agency (Eastern Region)	Govt agency	Winterton Parish Council	Parish Council	Essex & Suffolk Water	Utility
Highways Agency	Govt agency	Martham Parish Council	Parish Council	Transco	Utility
NHS Trust	Health	West Caister Parish Council	Parish Council	Anglian Water	Utility
Great Yarmouth Primary Care Trust	Health	Caister Parish Council	Parish Council	EDF Energy Connections	Utility
James Paget Health Care NHS Trust	Health	Fleggburgh Parish Council	Parish Council	Royal Mail & Post Office Ltd	Utility
Norfolk, & Suffolk Strategic Health Authority	Health	Fritton with St Olaves Parish Council	Parish Council	Sewerage Services	Utility
Norfolk County Council	LG/Norfolk	Hopton-on-Sea Parish Council	Parish Council	NTL	Utility
Breckland District Council	LG/Norfolk	Ormesby St Margaret with Scratby Parish Council	Parish Council	British Wind Energy Association	Utility
Great Yarmouth port Authority	LG/Norfolk	Ormesby St Michael Parish Council	Parish Council	Strategic Rail Authority	Rail/Trans
Norfolk Association of Parish & Town Councils	LG/Norfolk	Repps with Bastwick Parish Council	Parish Council	Network Rail	Rail/Trans
Norwich City Council	LG/Norfolk	Rolleby Parish Council	Parish Council	NTL	Utility
Suffolk County Council	LG/Norfolk	Somerton Parish Council	Parish Council	British Wind Energy Association	Utility
Norfolk Rural Community Council	LG/Norfolk	Thurne Parish Council	Parish Council	Anglian Water Services Ltd	Utility
Waveney District Council	LG/Suffolk	Acle & Upton/Fishley Parish Council	Adjacent Parish	Mercury Communications	Utility
Broadland District Council	LG/Norfolk	Reedham Parish Council	Adjacent Parish	Transco East Anglia	Utility
North Norfolk District Council	LG/Norfolk	Freethorpe Parish Council	Adjacent Parish	Norfolk Euro Office	MEP
Broads Authority	LG/Norfolk	Halvergate Parish Council	Adjacent Parish	Internal Drainage Board	Utility
North Norfolk District Council	LG/Norfolk	Freethorpe Parish Council	Adjacent Parish		
Broads Authority	LG/Norfolk	Halvergate Parish Council	Adjacent Parish		
Broads Authority	LG/Norfolk	Haddiscoe Parish Council	Adjacent Parish		
South Norfolk District Council	LG/Norfolk	Asnby, Herringfleet & Somerlayton Parish Council	Adjacent Parish		
Great Yarmouth Local Strategic Partnership	LG/ GY	Blundeston and Flixton Parish Council	Adjacent Parish		
Broads Authority	LG/Norfolk	Flixton, South Elmham St Cross/ St Margaret	Adjacent Parish		

Appendix I: Schedule of Consultees - General & Other Consultation Bodies

Norfolk Fire Service	Emergency services	Local Strategic Partnership	LG/Great Yarmouth	Councillor Mrs C M Walker	Councillor
Support Services Department	Emergency services	Environmental Forum	LG/Great Yarmouth	Councillor M T Jeal	Councillor
Norfolk Constabulary	Emergency services	Social Forum	LG/Great Yarmouth	Councillor M V Castle	Councillor
Great Yarmouth Constabulary	Emergency services	Economic Forum	LG/Great Yarmouth	Councillor Ms J A Fitzgerald	Councillor
East Anglian Ambulance Service NHS Trust	Emergency services	Learning Forum	LG/Great Yarmouth	Councillor J Hudson	Councillor
Civil Aviation 1a, Department for Transport	Govt	GY Central Library	Local Service	Councillor C D Hodds	Councillor
Crown Estate Commissioners	Govt	Gorleston Library	Local Service	Councillor B M Stone	Councillor
DEFRA	Govt	Martham	Local Service	Councillor Ms P E Page	Councillor
BNHS Executive Eastern Region	Govt	Caister Library	Local Service	Councillor P G Cook	Councillor
Ministry of Defence	Govt	Chief Executive Officer	LG/Great Yarmouth	Councillor B W Watts	Councillor
Secretary of State for Trade & Industry	Govt	Corporate Directors	LG/Great Yarmouth	Councillor G R Plant	Councillor
Neighbourhood Renewal Team	Govt	Crime Reduction Officer	LG/Great Yarmouth	Councillor B G Coleman	Councillor
Regeneration and Cultural Development Team	Govt	Corporate Strategy Department	LG/County	Councillor M Thompson	Councillor
Environment Group	Govt	Planning and Transportation	LG/County	Councillor G W Jermany	Councillor
EEDA Business centre	Govt	Head of Democratic Services	LG/County	Councillor M Taylor	Councillor
Legal Services Commission	Govt agency	Leader	LG/County	Councillor B J E Collins	Councillor
Commission for Racial Equality	Govt agency	Norfolk & Norwich REC	LG/County	Councillor Mrs E V Giles	Councillor
Equal Opportunities Commission	Govt agency	Norfolk County Library Services	LG/County	Councillor P T Halcon	Councillor
Future Energy Solutions	Govt agency	Senior Education Officer	LG/County	Councillor J M Russell	Councillor
Health & Safety Executive	Govt agency	Norfolk Care Council	LG/County	Councillor P Linden	Councillor
Defence Estates East	Govt agency	Anthony Wright	MP	Councillor T R Easter	Councillor
Health and Safety Executive	Govt agency	Councillor A A Blyth	Councillor	Councillor J R Shrimplin	Councillor
Home Office	Govt	Councillor Mrs S B Robinson	Councillor	Councillor Ms S C Lawley	Councillor
Crown Estates/Marine Estates	Govt	Councillor B J Williamson	Councillor	Councillor D W Thompson	Councillor
Civil Aviation 1a, Department for Transport	Govt	Councillor B K Cunniffe	Councillor	Councillor Mrs S W Weymouth	Councillor
Crown Estate Commissioners	Govt	Councillor A T Smith	Councillor	Councillor C J Reynolds	Councillor
DEFRA	Govt	Councillor T J Wainwright	Councillor	Norfolk Fire Service	Emergency services
BNHS Executive Eastern Region	Govt	Councillor Mrs J L Cosaitis	Councillor	Support Services Department	Emergency services
Ministry of Defence	Govt	Councillor A A Blyth	Councillor	Norfolk Constabulary	Emergency services
Secretary of State for Trade & Industry	Govt	Councillor Mrs S B Robinson	Councillor	Great Yarmouth Constabulary	Emergency services
Civil Aviation Authority	Govt agency	Councillor J Tate	Councillor	East Anglian Ambulance Service	Emergency services
English Nature	Govt agency	Councillor B L Howard	Councillor	NHS Trust	
Forestry Commission	Govt agency	Councillor Mr R Peck	Councillor		
Sport England	Govt agency	Councillor B R Walker	Councillor		
BNHS Executive Eastern Region	Govt	Councillor Mrs J L Cosaitis	Councillor		
Ministry of Defence	Govt	Councillor A A Blyth	Councillor		
Secretary of State for Trade & Industry	Govt	Councillor Mrs S B Robinson	Councillor		

Butterfly Conservation (East of England)	Environment	Long Sutton Veteran Cyclists Club	Cycling	Norwich and Norfolk Racial Equality Group	Community/Hard to Reach
CPRE	Environment	Great Yarmouth Cycling Club	Cycling	GY Chamber of Commerce and Industry	Community/Hard to Reach
Civic Trust	Environment	Great Yarmouth Cycle Forum	Cycling	InteGreat	Community/Hard to Reach
Norfolk Wildlife Trust	Environment	National Car Parks Ltd	Parking	Visually Impaired Persons Group	Community/Hard to Reach
Ramblers Association	Environment	British Railways Board, Railfreight Distribution	Rail/Trans	GY Community Strategy Officer	Community/Hard to Reach
Ramblers Association, Norfolk Group	Environment	Central Trains	Rail Trans	SureStart	Community/Hard to Reach
Great Yarmouth Wildfowlers & Conservation Association	Environment	Freightliner	Rail Trans	Great Yarmouth Disability Forum	Community/Hard to Reach
RSPB (East of England Office)	Environment	Network Rail	Rail Trans	Great Yarmouth Access Officer	Community/Hard to Reach
River & Fisheries Assoc.	Environment	Virgin Trains	Rail Trans	Great Yarmouth Access Group	Community/Hard to Reach
Ancient Monuments Society	Environment	One Railway	Rail Trans	Great Yarmouth Youth Forum	Community/Hard to Reach
CABE	Environment	National Air Traffic Services	Air Traffic	Ethnic minorities Co-ordinator	Community/Hard to Reach
Campaign to Protect Rural England	Environment	Network Rail, Suite 2, Floor 1, Bay G, Territory Infrastructure Protection Manager	Rail/Trans	Centre 81	Community/Hard to Reach
	Environment	Shadow Strategic Rail Authority	Rail/Trans	Norfolk Youth & Community Service	Community/Hard to Reach
Council for British Archaeology	Environment	Rail Passenger Committee	Rail/Trans	Great Yarmouth Empowerment	Community/Hard to Reach
Friends of the Earth	Environment	Network Rail	Rail/Trans	Gypsy & Traveller Community	Community/Hard to Reach
Garden History Society	Environment	START (St Andrews Riverside Triangle Group)	Community Groups	Felicity Wye, Malcolm Judd & Partners	Con/Agent
	Environment	GOSH	Community Groups	Ashwell Developments Limited	Con/Agent
Open Spaces Society	Environment	Southtown Community Liaison & Information Point (CLIP)	Community Groups	Strutt and Parker	Con/Agent
RSPB	Environment	Runham Vauxhall CLIP	Community Groups	E. On UK plc	Con/Agent
SAVE Britain's Heritage	Environment	Claydon and St Andrews CLIP	Community Groups	Pegasus Planning Group	Con/Agent
Society for the Protection of Ancient Buildings	Environment	Magdalen CLIP	Community Groups	Acorus Rural Property Services Ltd	Con/Agent
Twentieth Century Society	Environment	Rural (CLIP) Martham	Community Groups	Tetlow King Planning	Con/Agent
Victorian Society	Environment	North Yarmouth CLIP	Community Groups	Edward Watson Partnership	Con/Agent
Streets for People Co-Ordinator	Environment	South Yarmouth CLIP	Community Groups	Brown & Co	Con/Agent
Herring House Trust	Environment	Runham Vauxhall residents Association	Community Groups	Biddenham & Clearbrook	Con/Agent
National Wind Power	Environment	Magdalen Residents Association	Community Groups	Architectual Design Services	Con/Agent
Friends of the Earth (Norfolk)	Environment	Norfolk Society	Community/Hard to Reach	Laurence Brooks (ITRON)	Con/Agent
Norfolk Garden Trust	Environment	Norfolk Partnership Against Crime Taskforce	Community/Hard to Reach	Planning Solutions	Con/Agent
Norfolk Landscape Archaeology	Environment	Health & Inequality Working Group	Community/Hard to Reach	Econet Limited	Con/Agent
Norfolk Coast Partnership (AONB)	Environment	Community Connections	Community/Hard to Reach	Landspeed Development	Con/Agent
Great Yarmouth & District Archaeological Society	Environment	Age Concern Great Yarmouth	Community/Hard to Reach	Consultancy	Con/Agent
Great Yarmouth Museums and Arch. Services	Environment	Great Yarmouth People First	Community/Hard to Reach	BG plc, Property Division	Con/Agent
East Port Great Yarmouth Ltd	Environment	GY Community Safety Partnership	Community/Hard to Reach	Gought Planning Services	Con/Agent
British Pipeline Agency	Con/Agent	Businesses Link Norfolk	Community/Hard to Reach		
Mott MacDonalds	Con/Agent	Gorleston Chamber of Trade	Community/Hard to Reach	Seachange Trust	Voluntary/Partnerships
		St. George's First & Nursery School	Education	Community Rep for South	Voluntary/Partnerships
		Sure Start (Great Yarmouth)	Education		

RPS Consultancy	Con/Agent	Gorleston Golf Club	Sport	Yarmouth Rosa Alfonso, CEN Representative	Voluntary/Partnerships
Bidwells	Con/Agent	Disability Sport England	Sport	Great Yarmouth & Waveney Mind	Voluntary/Partnerships
Aldreds	Con/Agent	Sports Development Officer	Sport	First Move Furnish Aid	Voluntary/Partnerships
Entec UK Ltd	Con/Agent	National Playing Fields Association	Sport	Community Rep for South Yarmouth	Voluntary/Partnerships
John Littman Partnership	Con/Agent	Great Yarmouth Transport Ltd	Business	Norfolk and Waveney Enterprise Service	Voluntary/Partnerships
DTZ Piedad Consulting	Con/Agent	RAF B Flight 22 Squadron	Air	GYROS	Voluntary/Partnerships
Healey & Baker	Con/Agent	BBC Anglia	Media	Community Rep – North Yarmouth	Voluntary/Partnerships
ASDA Stores Ltd	Business	BBC Radio Broadland	Media	Great Yarmouth Holiday Association	Voluntary/Partnerships
MillerGroup	Business	Great Yarmouth Mercury	Media	Great Yarmouth Port Users' Assoc	Voluntary/Partnerships
National Farmers Union	Business	EDP	Media	Great Yarmouth People First	Voluntary/Partnerships
East of England Tourist Board	Business	Boroughwide Churches Forum	Religion	GYTA Leisure Target	Voluntary/Partnerships
The Beacon Innovation Centre	Business	Church Commissioners	Religion	St John Ambulance	Voluntary/Partnerships
Gorleston Guest House & Hoteliers Association	Business	Sealife Centre	Business	Age Concern Great Yarmouth	Voluntary/Partnerships
Great Yarmouth Jobcentre Plus	Business	House Builders Federation	Business	Broads Society	Voluntary/Partnerships
Councillor S A Ames	Councillor	Mobile Operators Association	Utility	British Pipeline Agency	Voluntary/Partnerships
Councillor P A N Garrod	Councillor	Royal Mail Letters	Utility	Age Concern	Voluntary
Councillor C J Reynolds	Councillor	Post Office Counters plc	Utility	Shelter	Voluntary
Commissions East Ltd	Education	Eastern Landlords Association	Voluntary/Partnerships	Council for British Archaeology	Voluntary
Great Yarmouth College	Education	Racial Equality Council	Voluntary/Partnerships	Civic Trust Regeneration Unit	Voluntary
University of East Anglia	Education	Great Yarmouth MIND	Voluntary/Partnerships	Heritage Lottery Fund	Lottery
Great Yarmouth VA High School	Education	Great Yarmouth Senior Citizens Association	Voluntary/Partnerships	Big Lottery Fund	National Lottery
Principal, Great Yarmouth College	Education	Dial Great Yarmouth	Voluntary/Partnerships	The Gypsy Council	Travellers
Edward Worledge Middle School	Education	Chair, Lifelong Learning & Training Working Group, Education Action Zone	Voluntary/Partnerships	Eastern Arts Board	Arts
East Norfolk Sixth Form College	Education	Citizens Advice Bureau Great Yarmouth	Voluntary/Partnerships	Wm. Morrison Supermarkets plc	Con/Agent
Dept of Education & Employment	Education	Great Yarmouth Voluntary Sector Partnership	Voluntary/Partnerships	Eastern Arts Board	Arts
Council for Physical Education	Education/Sport	Norfolk Youth & Community Service	Voluntary/Partnerships		
Royal Town Planning Institute	Education	Connections Norfolk	Voluntary/Partnerships		
Early Years, Norfolk LEA	Education	Access through Co-operation	Voluntary/Partnerships		
Norfolk Learning & Skills Council Norfolk	Education	Town Centre Partnership	Voluntary/Partnerships		

Ref	Issue Raised By	Summary of Issue Raised	Officer Comment	Action Taken
001	Government Office for the East of England (GO-EAST)	<p>1. Generally considers the SCI to be logically structured, accessible and to include much of the necessary content for the tests of soundness to be applied.</p> <p>2. Concerned that the use of the phrase "new planning policy framework" in the Forward (page 3) may be confusing to the general public. The consistent use of the prescribed terminology of Local Development Framework may be more helpful.</p> <p>3. In the penultimate paragraph of the forward, the term "new policy framework" is used. It is unclear as to what this refers to.</p> <p>4. In para. 2.9 (page 10) the key stages in preparation of the LDDs are described. To be exact these are the key stages for DPDs only. This should be amended to make it clear which regulations are applicable to which type of LDDs, ie to SPDs as well as DPDs.</p> <p>5. Table 1 Schedule of LDDs p11-13. The timetable does not appear to accurately reflect the agreed timetable in the approved LDS. It is unnecessary to include specific dates in the SCI as this is the purpose of the LDS. It may lead to inconsistencies and the need to formally review the SCI when/if dates change. Suggest that any dates are removed and the LDS is cross-referred to in the SCI so that readers know to refer to the LDS for the indicative dates cross-referred to in the SCI so that readers know to refer to the LDS for the indicative dates.</p>	<p>Noted</p> <p>Noted</p> <p>Noted</p> <p>Noted</p> <p>An earlier LDS was being referred to. Both timetables for the SCI and the LDS are now the same.</p>	<p>No Change</p> <p>The SCI has been amended to reflect the comments raised by GO-EAST.</p> <p>The SCI has been amended to reflect the comments. The term Local Development Framework has been used generically.</p> <p>The key stages for SPDs have been included in the SCI to reflect the comments.</p> <p>No change</p>

		<p>6. The Green Space Strategy has been titled as SPG, however following the commencement of the Act, no new SPG can be adopted. This could be confusing as well as technically incorrect and should be changed.</p> <p>7. More thought may need to be given as to whether tables 2 and 6 are set out in the most digestible way ie. In the interest of accessibility the key points could be put in the main documents and cross refer to the bulk of the information which could be set out in tables in the annex.</p> <p>8. Table 5. Recent guidance from ODMP explains that the Sustainability Appraisal Guidance is to be revised, removing reference to the preparation of an Initial Sustainability Appraisal Report. Sustainability Appraisal work is intended to be conducted throughout the preparation of LDDs, rather than producing a draft report. This appraisal information will then need to be made available to the public and relevant bodies at the preferred options stage in the form of the final Sustainability Report for the LDD. Table 5 therefore needs to be amended to reflect this latest guidance.</p>	<p>It was the Councils intention to have a Green Space Strategy SPD in the initial stages of the preparation. However, due to comments from GO-EAST, the document will be a background document.</p> <p>This has been a relevant comment made by other consultees.</p> <p>Noted</p>	<p>The SCI has now been amended to reflect the Green Space Strategy as a background document and not an SPG.</p> <p>The SCI now reflects the comments rose. The revised SCI now has a generic DPD, SPD and Major Planning Application table that states our commitment to who, how and when the community and stakeholders can get involved.</p> <p>SCI now reflects the comments.</p>
--	--	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

		<p>9. Checklist 7A in creating LDFs states that the local bodies and community groups that will be consulted should be identified in general terms. The current list of Voluntary Consultees in Appendix 3 however, refers in some instances to the names of those bodies or groups rather than the general type of the body or group. This very specific approach may lead to a continual need to review the SCI as new groups are formed or existing groups are changed. It also runs the risk of being challenged for not including a particular group where a similar named one is included in the SCI consultee list. Subsequent DPDs could also be rendered unsound simply because not all the local groups on the list were consulted perhaps because of a change of address/contact details that had not been picked up at the time of the consultation. Therefore, suggests that a more general identification of community groups and local bodies by type is included in the SCI. The SCI could refer to a separate database containing a comprehensive list of groups and bodies with their contact details which would be easier to maintain than reviewing the SCI. The SCI should also clearly indicate how any bodies or organisations can get their details included on the database.</p>	Noted	<p>The SCI has been amended to reflect the comments rose. Reference to an LDF database list is made in the document. It explains that the database list may change. It also invites the community and stakeholders to write in to be included on the list.</p>
		<p>10. Appendix 1 lists all the members of the Local Strategic Partnership. This may change which may mean having to unnecessarily update this list through formal review of the SCI. Reference should be made to where up-to-date LSP membership is held and maintained so members of the public can find out who the members are.</p>	Noted	<p>The SCI has been amended to reflect the comments rose. Reference to an LSP database list is made in the document. It explains that the database list may change. It also gives a website address to view the members.</p>

		<p>11. PPS12 (para 3.6) requires that SCIs demonstrate that the process of involvement can be resourced. A paragraph should be included on resources, detailing what resources might be needed for each type of consultation and demonstrating that the selected methods/techniques of involvement are the most effective use of the resources available</p> <p>12. The SCI should explain how the local 'Compact', which provides a framework for partnership working between Local Government and the voluntary and community sector, would help to engage the community in the planning process.</p> <p>13. The SCI should contain evidence of the consideration of how it is intended to target 'hard to-reach' groups within the district.</p> <p>14. The SCI should explain that the District Council provides the development control function for that part of the Broads Authority that falls within our boundary and whether the procedures and consultation arrangements are the same as for other applications within the area</p>	<p>Noted</p> <p>Links with the LSP to be established with <i>The Compact</i>.</p> <p>This was an accruing comment. When including reference to the hard to reach groups, it was felt that this information needed to be backed by a section clarifying the current situation in Great Yarmouth.</p> <p>Noted</p>	<p>A paragraph giving an explanation of the resource implication and measures to resolve problem areas has now been provided in the SCI.</p> <p>Reference is now made to <i>The Compact</i> and its benefits to links with the LSP.</p> <p>A considerable amount of work has now been done to reflect the Hard to reach groups in the Borough and ways of making contact through existing partnerships and networks. The SCI now reflects the concerns raised by GO-EAST and a section clarifying the current situation.</p> <p>A section has now been included in the SCI.</p>
--	--	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

002	Bradwell Parish Council	<p>1. The Parish Council's name should be included on the list of partners (as other Parish Council's already have been).</p> <p>2. It is questioned why certain areas of the Borough should have an 'action area plan' while Bradwell, the largest parish in the Borough does not</p>	<p>Noted</p> <p>A letter was sent to the Parish Council detailing what merits an AAP and Bradwell was not considered as an area in need of change or conversion.</p>	<p>Included as a specific consultee in the SCI</p> <p>No change.</p>
003	RPS for Pleasure and Leisure Corporation plc	<p>1. Remove Table 2 as all the bodies listed in subsection (a) are already referred to in Appendix 2.</p> <p>2. Table 2, point 1(c) should be changed where it states "relevant bodies" to "relevant bodies as stated in Appendix 2 where the Authority considers it appropriate" to ensure all relevant bodies already consulted in previous stages are aware of future consultation opportunities.</p> <p>3. Remove the second Table 2 as this is a copy of the same table.</p> <p>4. Table 2. Change "make a request" to "make a request for conformity with the Regional Strategies" to clarify to the general public the exact request being made in submitting the SPDs.</p> <p>5. Objects to para. 3.6 as GYBC has not described or detailed its established formal, semi-formal and informal stakeholder methods. Then following change: remove "</p>	<p>Noted</p> <p>The comments raised have now been covered through the rewriting of the informing and involving stages.</p> <p>Duplication</p> <p>Noted</p> <p>Noted</p>	<p>The SCI has been changed to reflect the comments rose.</p> <p>The SCI has been changed to reflect the comments rose.</p> <p>The SCI has been changed to reflect the comments rose.</p> <p>The SCI has been changed to reflect the comments rose.</p> <p>The SCI has been changed to reflect the comments raised and includes methods to be used.</p>

	<p>will build upon its established" and add "will facilitate consultation through".</p> <p>6. Objects to para. 3.6 as GYBC should be enabling pre-preparation consultation of LDDs. By stating that "the stage of preparation" will be considered a factor in the in the form of consultation, it removes semi-formal and informal methods the GYBC should already have begun as part of the production of an LDD.</p> <p>7. Remove from para. 3.18 "and public participation". This is implied in stakeholder events and does not fit with the adjacent words.</p> <p>8. Change the first sentence of para. 3.28 where it states "has been made" to "will be made" to reflect that the local development documents are still to be produced.</p> <p>9. Paras. 3.28 and 3.32. Supports use of interactive and other facilities available on the internet to provide information and documents related to the LDF. However, suggest that all documents, maps and information be placed in their entirety on the internet at readily available and easy-to-find locations, such as the Planning Portal,</p>	<p>An important part of involvement is through involving existing networks and partnerships and strengthening those links. Now that the SCI has included those groups the statement is still valid.</p> <p>Noted</p> <p>Noted</p> <p>Noted</p> <p>This is the intention of the Borough Council. We now have strong links with the Planning Portal and a new Website. Interactive</p>	<p>No change</p> <p>The SCI has been changed to reflect the comments rose.</p> <p>The SCI has been changed to reflect the comments rose.</p> <p>The SCI has been changed to reflect the comments rose.</p>
--	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

	<p>and also on the GYBC website.</p> <p>10. Supports paras. 4.10 and 4.11.</p> <p>11. Objects to the second sentence of para. 5.1 as certain discussions between the GYBC and stakeholders may need to be confidential until the formal process of consultation of LDDs has begun due to the commercial sensitivity of proposals being discussed. Requests the paragraph be changed to:- "In the early stages of drawing up ideas and opinions for the Local Development Documents including Development Plan Documents, meetings, written and electronic comments will be acknowledged on the day they are received. A summary of comments received either during meetings or in writing will be produced and circulated to the community groups and stakeholders involved except where proposals are discussed in commercial confidence. The summary of comments in these situations will only be distributed to the Council and the interested party. As this is a rolling process of engagement, the feedback summaries will only be regularly produced and updated.</p> <p>12. Objects to point 1 under Additional Engagement in Table 6 as these paragraphs do not include the Council's plans to consult with stakeholders as part of the Evidence gathering scheme recorded in para.8.2 of the draft LDS. In addition it is not in accordance with national policy in Planning Policy Statement 12: Local Development Frameworks.</p>	<p>maps will be made available in the near future</p> <p>Noted</p> <p>Noted</p> <p>Noted</p>	<p>No Change</p> <p>The SCI has been changed to reflect the comments rose.</p> <p>The SCI has been changed to reflect the comments rose.</p>
--	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

		<p>13. Pleasure and Leisure Corporation plc/Pleasure Beach, Great Yarmouth should be included within Appendix 3: Voluntary Consultees under Tourism.</p> <p>14. Requests that Pleasure and Leisure Corporation be consulted during GYBC's survey of community groups and stakeholders within the Borough as part of the Pre-production stage of the Local Development Documents.</p>	Due to comments raised by GO-EAST the consultee will not be included in the SCI document, however, they will be consulted via our LDF database at the appropriate occasion	No change
004	The Burgh Castle, Bradwell, Gorleston, Southtown and Cobholm Island Internal Drainage Board	1. The Internal Drainage Board should be added to the list of consultees as they have an interest in the area.	Noted	The SCI has been changed to reflect the comments rose.
005	Peacock & Smith for Wm. Morrison Supermarkets Plc.	1. Wm. Morrison supermarkets plc would like to be kept informed and consulted on further stages of preparation of documents which are to comprise the LDF. In particular, they wish to be informed of any potential Area Action Plans which may be prepared for Great Yarmouth Town Centre, and any proposals for new district or local centres in the Borough. Therefore Peacock & Smith should be placed on the consultation database on behalf of Wm, Morrison Supermarkets plc to be informed at future stages of document preparation/consultation.	Placed on the LDF Consultation database	No change to SCI
006	House Builders Federation	1. The Council will need to satisfy itself that it is in full compliance with the contents of the recently published document 'A framework for assessing soundness and	Noted	No change

		<p>focussing representations on Statements of Community Involvement' from the Planning Inspectorate.</p> <p>2. The Council refers to DPD's in paras. 2.5 and 2.7. In particular, with regard to its 'Green Space Strategy' and 'Codes of Practice on Section 106 Planning Obligations'. The HBF that in terms of such matters as affordable housing, planning obligations and developer contributions, such an approach will not be permissible under the new planning act if the proposed SPD's are introducing matters of new policy (rather than implementation detail), or if they will be setting out revised guidelines and standards from those contained within an Adopted statutory document. Indeed, on p.48 the Council itself refers to Planning Obligations SPD setting out standards. The Federation does not consider such an approach to be an appropriate use of SPD. Any matters of importance to development costs will instead need to be clearly set out in a Development Plan Document (DPD), rather than being delegated down to SPD. Given that they could potentially have a significant impact on development viability, they must instead be dealt with in DPD's and subject to the appropriate public scrutiny bestowed upon these.</p> <p>The HBF welcomes the Council's reference to discussions and meetings to be held with stakeholders. The HBF considers that the development industry has an important role to play in the planning process, particularly with regard to all matters related to the actual implementation of policies and proposals. Consequently the Council might wish to consider creating a Developers</p>	<p>The Council have clarified the situation with GO-EAST.</p> <p>Noted</p>	<p>No change</p> <p>No change</p>
--	--	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------

		<p>Forum.</p> <p>4. Whilst clear and detailed information on the Council's website will be welcomed, it will be of little benefit unless it is specifically drawn to the attention of all interested parties (e.g. by letters and/or e-mails).</p> <p>5. Para. 10.4 and table 4 both identify a 'Housing Needs Study' as one of a range of key background documents that will be part of the evidence gathering process. In addition, and in order to comply with recent government guidance, the HBF believes that mention also needs to be made to Housing Market Assessments. The Council will need to instigate this work (probably in association with some neighbouring local authorities and the Regional Assembly).</p>	<p>All correspondence relating to the LDF will highlight links to the website and associated documents.</p> <p>Noted</p>	<p>No change</p> <p>No change</p>
007	Norwich City Council	1. Would like to be consulted on the core strategy, site allocation plan and development control policies document.	Noted	No change
008	Great Yarmouth Port Authority	1. Would expect Port Authorities to be consulted and the Broads Authority as a neighbouring authority.	Port Authority is highlighted as a specific consultee	No change
009	Broads Authority	1. It would be helpful if the Broads Authority could be specifically named in the list of statutory consultees.	Noted	The SCI has been amended to reflect the comments made

010	St. Andrews Riverside Triangle Action Group (START)	<p>1. The following groups of people should be involved:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) Groups in the local community concerned with the environment, heritage and conservation. (ii) Other groups already working with local and county planners and other officers. (iii) St. Andrews Riverside Triangle Action Group. (iv) Gorleston-on-Sea Heritage Group (GOSH). (v) Port Authorities Stakeholders Forum. <p>2. The written proposals seem appropriate and sound. However, notices in the press and to the CLIP offices (for example) lack impact. The 'main in the street' will not appreciate their significance.</p>	<p>These groups are included on our LDF database, however, not in the specific consultee list. This reflects the comments made by GO-EAST</p> <p>The methods of consultation will take account of this statement.</p>	<p>No Change</p> <p>The SCI has been amended to reflect further possible methods of consultation.</p>
011	Highways Agency	No comments.	Noted	No Change
012	Cllr. Ms. P Page (GYBC)	1. All statutory bodies and environmental groups e.g. Royal Society for the Protection of Birds, Norfolk Wildlife Trust and Naturalists.	Specific Bodies in the SCI	No change
013	Norfolk County Council Social Services	No comments.	Specific Bodies in the SCI	No change
014	Sport England East	1. Sport England wish to be consulted on all LDF documents that may be relevant to their interests. In particular, documents that have implications for the provision of sport and recreation facilities or which have implications for existing facilities. In particular they would wish to be consulted on the DPDs for the core strategy and Policies, Generic Development Control Policies and any relevant Site Specific Policies and Area Action Plans, as well as the proposed SPDs on Planning Obligations and Green Spaces.	Noted	No change

		<p>2. Would prefer to be consulted in writing, although they would have no objection to consultation documents being available for inspection on the website. If the consultation includes maps/plans, these should be legible and capable of being printed.</p> <p>3. In addition to Sport England, more local organisations that have an interest in sport facility provision be consulted on LDF documents e.g. the Borough's principal sports clubs, companies/trusts that may manage local authority sports facilities, school/colleges that provide community sports facilities.</p>	<p>Noted</p> <p>Other sporting interest groups and organisations are included on the LDF database</p>	<p>No change</p> <p>No change</p>
015	Filby Parish Council	<p>1. The Councillors would like the village to be preserved as it is at present with no large scale development. The open spaces along the main road should be maintained and the village considered for conservation status, bearing in mind that Thrigby Road is already within the Broads Authority area.</p>	<p>Site specific issue. Noted</p>	<p>No change</p>
016	Countryside Agency	<p>1. The wording of 12.1 'In addition, all environmental policies are also subject....' Would read more correctly as 'In addition, all policies that may have environmental implications are also subject....'.</p>	<p>Noted</p>	<p>The SCI has been amended to reflect the comments made</p>
017	The Littman Partnership for SRA	<p>1. Any proposal for development of the rail network or of railway facilities must be developed in consultation with the SRA to ensure compatibility and feasibility.</p> <p>2. It is important that the SRA continues to be involved in the production of this and any other Local Development</p>	<p>A specific consultee</p> <p>A specific consultee</p>	<p>No change</p> <p>No change</p>

		Document.		
018	Runham Vauxhall CLIP Office for Runham Vauxhall Residents' Association	<p>1. Community involvement: There is no point in having an extensive list of consultees if you are not prepared to take on board what they are saying.</p> <p>2. All industry should be located at the Eurocentre, freeing off land for housing and recreational use. Access to the Eurocentre should be via spur off A47/A149 Link Road and not via private, unadopted, part of North River Road as present. Residential and industrial uses should be separated.</p>	<p>All comments are to be taken very seriously and will only be taken on board if they will improve the documents purpose.</p> <p>Site Specific Issue</p>	<p>No change</p> <p>No change</p>
019	East of England Planning Aid	<p>1. Focused involvement of young people through work, for example, with School Councils.</p> <p>2. Despite stated aims the overall emphasis within the document appears to be on more traditional methods of consultation rather than involvement or participation. Planning for Real is mentioned but this is one technique amongst many. Other methods may be more appropriate in some circumstances.</p> <p>3. The aim of achieving consensus stated on page 7 is supported, but reference could be made here and elsewhere within the document to the use of community-</p>	<p>Noted</p> <p>Noted</p> <p>Noted</p>	<p>The SCI now includes the hard to reach groups in the area and the existing networks and partnerships to get them involved.</p> <p>The SCI has now been updated to reflect further involvement and informing methods.</p> <p>The SCI has been changed to reflect this.</p>

	<p>led work already undertaken.</p> <p>4. Queries the purpose of the document in its current form. As a process manual for planning officers it could be helpful. However, has serious concerns as to the accessibility of its current format to other people. Would ask that careful consideration be given to the overall length and content of the SCI.</p> <p>5. Specific comments on text:</p> <p>(a) Page 8 :refers to consensus and the ownership of the process rather than the outcomes.</p> <p>(b) Page 14 para 3.3 : the meaning and specific implications of this paragraph are not clear.</p> <p>(c) Page 20 para 3.11 – 3.13 : these are very repetitive.</p> <p>(d) Page 21 para 3.17 : first mention of local community events.</p> <p>(e) Page 21 para 3.20 : emphasis appears to be on informing the public and inviting comments on specific proposals rather than involving the various communities in shaping the proposals.</p> <p>(f) Page 22 para 3.26 : not explained how the information contained within Parish Plans will inform the LDF process.</p>	<p>Following further consultation with Planning Aid and taking these comments on board the SCI has been amended dramatically to reflect these comments.</p> <p>Noted</p> <p>Noted</p> <p>Noted</p> <p>Noted</p> <p>Noted</p> <p>Noted</p>	<p>The SCI has been changed in consultation with Planning Aid to help consider and resolve the issues.</p> <p>The SCI has been changed to reflect the comments made.</p> <p>The SCI has been changed to reflect the comments made.</p> <p>The SCI has been changed to reflect the comments made.</p> <p>The SCI has been changed to reflect the comments made.</p> <p>The SCI has been changed to reflect the comments made.</p> <p>The SCI has been changed to reflect the comments made.</p>
--	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

		<p>(g) Page 23 : traditional methods being used with little evidence of innovative techniques designed to inform those traditionally excluded e.g. young people, the elderly, women, ethnic minorities, and people with disabilities. Use could be made for example of existing networks or services.</p> <p>(h) Section 4: This would be more helpful if the content demonstrated how the links between the LDF and other strategies were going to be made, when and by whom, rather than summarising the aims of other strategies.</p> <p>(i) Section 7 : No mechanism is provided for reporting back to the community the impact of their involvement.</p>	<p>Noted</p> <p>Noted</p> <p>Noted</p>	<p>The SCI has been changed to reflect the comments made.</p> <p>The SCI has been changed to reflect the comments made.</p> <p>The SCI has been changed to reflect the comments made.</p>
020	Anglian Water Services Ltd	1. No comments but are interested to see any future Local Development documents for Great Yarmouth.	Noted	No Change
021	Environment Agency	1. Satisfied as to the comprehensiveness of the SCI and have no further comments.	Noted	No Change
022	Norfolk Youth and Community Service	1. Involve young people. They could be consulted through school councils and Norfolk Youth And Community Service.	We have since involved the Community Youth Forum and Officer. They will be conducting workshops on our behalf and collating issues for the LDF.	The SCI has been changed to reflect the comments made.

